

Plan Change 78 - Intensification

Further Submissions Report

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.1	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain the Business Mixed Use zone for [inferred] 259, 261 and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.2	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Approve the amendment to I332 Tāmaki Precinct as notified.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.3	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Include the properties at 259 Morrin Road, 261 Morrin Road and 263 Morrin Road, St Johns in the walkable catchment of Glen Innes train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.4	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject introduction of QMs into zones [refer to submission for details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 77I(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.5	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete Council identified Qualifying Matters under sections 771(j) of the RMA.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.6	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.7	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that six storey development is enabled across the zone, not just inside walkable catchments	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.8	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Reject the narrow application of walkable catchments through limiting their use to metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.9	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Request that precincts across the region, and specifically in the city centre, be reviewed to ensure constraints on development imposed within precincts remain relevant given NPS-UD and MDRS requirements.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.10	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply a Height Variation Control at 261 Morrin Road St Johns to enable height to 35m [refer to submission for details].	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.11	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend the plan change provisions so that they give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act [refer to page 10 of submission for further details].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.12	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Apply zoning appropriate to give effect to the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.13	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove overlays and QM that inappropriately restrict the implementation of the NPS UD and RMA Enabling Act.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.14	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain proposed rule C1.6A.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.15	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain objective D14.2 (3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.16	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain policy D14.3 (5A) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.17	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.4.1 (A7A) - (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.18	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.1 and D14.6.3 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.19	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.20	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain D14.8.1 (2) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.21	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Retain standards D14.6.5, D14.6.6 and D14.6.7 as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.22	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove local and public views as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Local Public Views (D16)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.23	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete 'The management of significant risks from natural hazards is a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 771(a) and 770(a) of the RMA.' statement under E36 heading.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.24	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E36.1 Background [refer to submission for further details].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.25	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under E38.1 Introduction [refer to submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.26	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(d).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.27	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.28	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.29	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.3 Policies. [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.30	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.8.2 (7), (8), and (9). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.31	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.1 (1) and (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.32	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed provisions E38.11.2 (2). [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.33	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.1 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.34	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete proposed amendments to E38.12.2 [refer to the submission for further details].	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.35	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove references to QM under H13.1 Zone description.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.36	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend zone description to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.37	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.2. Objectives.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.38	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend 13.2 Objectives to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.39	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under H13.3 Policies.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.40	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend H13.3 Policies to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.41	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Remove reference to QM under purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.42	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Amend purpose of Standard H13.6.1 Building Height to enable six-storey development across the zone, regardless of position inside or outside walkable catchments.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1586.43	Shundi Tamaki Village Limited	Delete rule I332.4.1 (A1).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I332 Tāmaki Precinct	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1587.1	Hugh Butler Lusk	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1587.2	Hugh Butler Lusk	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1587.3	Hugh Butler Lusk	Classify Wastewater Constraints as a qualifying matter [Inferred for properties on Seaview Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1587.4	Hugh Butler Lusk	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1587.5	Hugh Butler Lusk	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1588.1	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1589.1	JOSHUA MANO NGAWHAU GILBERTSON	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1593.1	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Reject Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1593.3	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Amend the extent of the SCA in Devonport town centre to remove the side and back streets including Clarence Street, Wynyard Street, and Fleet Street with few or no buildings of special character. [refer to submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support in part
1593.4	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Amend the extent of the SCA in Birkenhead Point to allow for greater intensification. [refer to submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support in part
1593.5	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Amend the extent of the SCA in Devonport to allow for greater intensification. [refer to submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support in part
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1593.7	Logan Paul O'Callahan	Review all Special Character Areas to allow for the protection of actual special character and to ensure the overlay is not preventing intensification.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1594.2	Mary Peters	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1594.3	Mary Peters	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1594.7	Mary Peters	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1595.2	Kate Acland McHardy	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1595.3	Kate Acland McHardy	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1595.7	Kate Acland McHardy	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1597.2	Gabriela King	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1597.3	Gabriela King	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1597.7	Gabriela King	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1601.2	D. Gene Dillman	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1601.3	D. Gene Dillman	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1601.7	D. Gene Dillman	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1602.2	Mark Stuart van Kaathoven	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1602.3	Mark Stuart van Kaathoven	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1602.7	Mark Stuart van Kaathoven	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1603.1	Mike Flood-Smith	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1605.1	Rosemary Wall	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1606.1	Julie Inglis	Reject proposed intensification in St Mary's Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS300	Al Acland and Margot Acland	support
1606.1	Julie Inglis	Reject proposed intensification in St Mary's Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS301	Roderick Inglis	support
1606.1	Julie Inglis	Reject proposed intensification in St Mary's Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS302	Pawel Grochwic and	support
1606.1	Julie Inglis	Reject proposed intensification in St Mary's Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS303	Paul Mead and Sara	support
1606.1	Julie Inglis	Reject proposed intensification in St Mary's Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on Harbour Street, Waitemata Street, London Street, New Street, Dunedin Street, St Francis De Sales Street, Green Street, St Marys Road, Dublin Street, Caroline Street, Melford Street, Vine Street, Dedwood Terrace, Yarborough Street, Seymour Street, Selby Street, Jervois Road, Cameron Street, Shelly Beach Road, Westwood Terrace, Hackett Street, Swift Avenue, Ring Terrace, Percival Parade, Amiria Street, Tweed Street, Emmett Street and Sarsfield Street, St Marys Bay.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS306	Julie Inglis	support
1606.2	Julie Inglis	Reject three storeys [inferred dwellings] per site and/or up to six storeys [in St Mary's Bay].	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS300	Al Acland and Margot Acland	support
1606.2	Julie Inglis	Reject three storeys [inferred dwellings] per site and/or up to six storeys [in St Mary's Bay].	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS301	Roderick Inglis	support
1606.2	Julie Inglis	Reject three storeys [inferred dwellings] per site and/or up to six storeys [in St Mary's Bay].	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS302	Pawel Grochwic and	support
1606.2	Julie Inglis	Reject three storeys [inferred dwellings] per site and/or up to six storeys [in St Mary's Bay].	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS303	Paul Mead and Sara	support
1606.2	Julie Inglis	Reject three storeys [inferred dwellings] per site and/or up to six storeys [in St Mary's Bay].	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS307	Julie Inglis	support
1607.1	Nikita Ann Kearsley	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1609.1	Ann Hackett	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1610.1	Neville Fong	Remove the Special Character Areas Residential overlay from the property at 500 Remuera Road, Remuera, as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1610.2	Neville Fong	Rezone 500 Remuera Road, Remuera to the Mixed Housing Urban zone, as notified.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1611.1	Margot Acland	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1613.1	Miranda Lang	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1614.7	Stuart Bode and Jan Hewitt	Allow for intensification in the following locations: the northern side of College Hill, running from the corner of Beaumont Street up to Saint Marys College; and the precinct around Drake Street, Sale Street and the former Auckland City Council workshops.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS121	College Hill Investments Ltd.	Support
1615.1	1 Manui Ltd / 58 James Laurie Ltd / 34 Moore Ltd	Rezone 1 Manui Place, Sunnyvale to THAB zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1615.1	1 Manui Ltd / 58 James Laurie Ltd / 34 Moore Ltd	Rezone 1 Manui Place, Sunnyvale to THAB zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1615.1	1 Manui Ltd / 58 James Laurie Ltd / 34 Moore Ltd	Rezone 1 Manui Place, Sunnyvale to THAB zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1616.1	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise all operative SCA in residential non-walkable catchment areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.1	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise all operative SCA in residential non-walkable catchment areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1616.2	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise as Special Character Areas those areas that reach a threshold of 66 per cent (or to be more precautionary 60 per cent) of properties scoring 4, 5 and 6, and then assess the retained SCA and any areas of SCA that are currently assessed as low, or not even of any Special Character, whether in a walkable catchment or not, as part of the s35 RMA review of the Unitary Plan which is required 2025/26.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.2	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise as Special Character Areas those areas that reach a threshold of 66 per cent (or to be more precautionary 60 per cent) of properties scoring 4, 5 and 6, and then assess the retained SCA and any areas of SCA that are currently assessed as low, or not even of any Special Character, whether in a walkable catchment or not, as part of the s35 RMA review of the Unitary Plan which is required 2025/26.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1616.3	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Concerns relating to the NPS-UD implementation providing three times the supply above forecast demand even when all SCA is retained. There being no risk to Council or this IHP in retaining all SCA in the proposed plan change and recognising characters of different areas through a broader range of zones	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.3	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Concerns relating to the NPS-UD implementation providing three times the supply above forecast demand even when all SCA is retained. There being no risk to Council or this IHP in retaining all SCA in the proposed plan change and recognising characters of different areas through a broader range of zones	Plan making and procedural	Development Capacity Analysis	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1616.4	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Retain the Special Character Area for East Remuera from Ranui Road to Ara Street and all in between inclusive of Ōrākei Road, Rangitoto Avenue, Woodley Avenue as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.4	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Retain the Special Character Area for East Remuera from Ranui Road to Ara Street and all in between inclusive of Ōrākei Road, Rangitoto Avenue, Woodley Avenue as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS176	BeGroup NZ Limited	oppose
1616.4	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Retain the Special Character Area for East Remuera from Ranui Road to Ara Street and all in between inclusive of Ōrākei Road, Rangitoto Avenue, Woodley Avenue as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1616.5	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Reinstate all other operative Special Character Areas in Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.5	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Reinstate all other operative Special Character Areas in Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1616.6	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Request the IHP to apply the rationale from the Environment Court case Eden- Epsom Residents protection Society v Auckland Council 2022 NZEnvC 60 at para 99 – and also apply the further character assessment guidance offered in a decision refusing resource consent regarding 69 Roberta Avenue and 71 Roberta Avenue, Glendowie dated 26 July 2022.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.6	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Request the IHP to apply the rationale from the Environment Court case Eden- Epsom Residents protection Society v Auckland Council 2022 NZEnvC 60 at para 99 – and also apply the further character assessment guidance offered in a decision refusing resource consent regarding 69 Roberta Avenue and 71 Roberta Avenue, Glendowie dated 26 July 2022.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1616.7	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise the importance of retaining more lower density residential areas in Remuera also contributes to our biodiversity, much of which flows from Hauraki Gulf islands through the Pourewa valley and remaining bush areas dotted through the Ōrākei area including more significant ecological areas in Remuera valleys between Portland Road and Ōrākei Road.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1616.7	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise the importance of retaining more lower density residential areas in Remuera also contributes to our biodiversity, much of which flows from Hauraki Gulf islands through the Pourewa valley and remaining bush areas dotted through the Ōrākei area including more significant ecological areas in Remuera valleys between Portland Road and Ōrākei Road.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS176	BeGroup NZ Limited	oppose
1616.7	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Recognise the importance of retaining more lower density residential areas in Remuera also contributes to our biodiversity, much of which flows from Hauraki Gulf islands through the Pourewa valley and remaining bush areas dotted through the Ōrākei area including more significant ecological areas in Remuera valleys between Portland Road and Ōrākei Road.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1617.2	Kathleen Jane Clarke	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1617.3	Kathleen Jane Clarke	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1617.7	Kathleen Jane Clarke	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1618.1	Sarah Louise Edmondson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1619.1	Iain Aitken	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1620.1	Christine Margaret Caughey	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1620.1	Christine Margaret Caughey	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1620.2	Christine Margaret Caughey	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1620.2	Christine Margaret Caughey	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1620.3	Christine Margaret Caughey	Classify Wastewater Constraints as a qualifying matter [Inferred for properties on Seaview Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1620.3	Christine Margaret Caughey	Classify Wastewater Constraints as a qualifying matter [Inferred for properties on Seaview Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1620.4	Christine Margaret Caughey	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1620.4	Christine Margaret Caughey	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1620.5	Christine Margaret Caughey	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1620.5	Christine Margaret Caughey	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1622.1	Angus Haslett and Deborah Haslett	Retain the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and height sensitive overlay as a qualifying matter limiting height of building around the Maunga.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1624.1	Danny Holtschke	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Oppose
1626.1	Remuera Residents Association Inc	Amend the Policy 3d response to Remuera Town Centre distance from 200m to 100m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1627.1	Jessie Kim	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1633.1	Peter Tiedemann	Approve the amendments to I610 Redhills Precinct and specifically Policy I610.3(2).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	support
1634.1	Susan Austad	Reject the city centre walkable catchment of 1200m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1634.1	Susan Austad	Reject the city centre walkable catchment of 1200m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1634.1	Susan Austad	Reject the city centre walkable catchment of 1200m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1634.2	Susan Austad	Reject the Policy 3d response to Ponsonby Town Centre of 400m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1634.2	Susan Austad	Reject the Policy 3d response to Ponsonby Town Centre of 400m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1634.3	Susan Austad	Reject the Policy 3d response to Grey Lynn Local Centre of 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1634.3	Susan Austad	Reject the Policy 3d response to Grey Lynn Local Centre of 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1634.4	Susan Austad	Reject the removal of Special Character overlay on Edwards Road, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1634.4	Susan Austad	Reject the removal of Special Character overlay on Edwards Road, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1634.5	Susan Austad	Reject the methodology used to determine the Policy 3d response to Grey Lynn Local Centre including the use of centre footprint size and taking an average.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1634.5	Susan Austad	Reject the methodology used to determine the Policy 3d response to Grey Lynn Local Centre including the use of centre footprint size and taking an average.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1635.1	Michael and Shirley Webb-Speight	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1637.2	Morgan McConnell	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1637.3	Morgan McConnell	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1637.7	Morgan McConnell	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1638.1	Daniel Moore	Oppose the MDRS provisions based on lack of infrastructure including wastewater, transportation and schools.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS380	Daniel Moore	support
1638.2	Daniel Moore	Oppose the lack of provisions to control sunlight and privacy and to provide for outdoor space.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS380	Daniel Moore	support
1638.4	Daniel Moore	Support the Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshaft qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1640.1	Alan Clifford Hill	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1642.1	ACP Two Trust	Remove the coastal hazards qualifying matter from 85 Kings Road, Panmure.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1643.1	Deborah Chambers	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1643.1	Deborah Chambers	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1643.2	Deborah Chambers	Rezone 77A Seaview Road and 77B Seaview Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1643.2	Deborah Chambers	Rezone 77A Seaview Road and 77B Seaview Road, Remuera to Mixed Housing Urban zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1643.3	Deborah Chambers	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1643.3	Deborah Chambers	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1643.4	Deborah Chambers	Support 738-470 [inferred 738-740 Great South Road] and 742 Great South Road, Penrose being included in the Penrose RTN walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1643.4	Deborah Chambers	Support 738-470 [inferred 738-740 Great South Road] and 742 Great South Road, Penrose being included in the Penrose RTN walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1643.5	Deborah Chambers	Review designations with Requiring Authorities, and amend where these do not align with policies and purpose of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS10	Channel Terminal Services Limited	Oppose
1643.5	Deborah Chambers	Review designations with Requiring Authorities, and amend where these do not align with policies and purpose of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1643.5	Deborah Chambers	Review designations with Requiring Authorities, and amend where these do not align with policies and purpose of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1643.5	Deborah Chambers	Review designations with Requiring Authorities, and amend where these do not align with policies and purpose of the NPS-UD.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
1644.1	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East. [Inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS246	Elizabeth Jane Barret	support
1644.1	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East. [Inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS247	Barrie Mackechnie B	support
1644.1	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East. [Inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS255	Hamish Firth	support
1644.1	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East. [Inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1644.2	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended. [Inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS246	Elizabeth Jane Barret	support
1644.2	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended. [Inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS247	Barrie Mackechnie B	support
1644.2	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended. [Inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS255	Hamish Firth	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1644.2	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the area of Parnell East to remove any THAB zoning once City Centre walkable catchment has been amended. [Inferred Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1644.3	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Approve the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay in Parnell East, as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS246	Elizabeth Jane Barret	support
1644.3	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Approve the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay in Parnell East, as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS247	Barrie Mackechnie B	support
1644.3	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Approve the proposed Special Character Areas Residential overlay in Parnell East, as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS255	Hamish Firth	support
1644.4	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Approve the proposed Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East in all areas subject to the Special Character Areas.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS246	Elizabeth Jane Barret	support
1644.4	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Approve the proposed Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East in all areas subject to the Special Character Areas.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS247	Barrie Mackechnie B	support
1644.4	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Approve the proposed Low Density Residential zoning in Parnell East in all areas subject to the Special Character Areas.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS255	Hamish Firth	support
1644.5	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue and 4 and 6 Judges Street, Parnell [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS246	Elizabeth Jane Barret	support
1644.5	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue and 4 and 6 Judges Street, Parnell [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS247	Barrie Mackechnie B	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1644.5	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue and 4 and 6 Judges Street, Parnell [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS255	Hamish Firth	support
1644.6	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the properties at 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue and 4 and 6 Judges Street, Parnell to Low Density Residential [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS246	Elizabeth Jane Barrett	support
1644.6	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the properties at 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue and 4 and 6 Judges Street, Parnell to Low Density Residential [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS247	Barrie Mackechnie B	support
1644.6	Peter, Rolf, Anatole and Joanna Masfen	Rezone the properties at 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue and 4 and 6 Judges Street, Parnell to Low Density Residential [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS255	Hamish Firth	support
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS253	Bill Endean and Chris	oppose
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1645.1	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to run only to the east side of The Parnell Rose Gardens and Gladstone Road, and no more than 1200m measured east from Spark Arena, as the closest city amenity to Parnell.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1645.2	Parnell Community Committee	Rezone all of St Stephens Avenue, Parnell as Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS132	David Southcombe T	support
1645.2	Parnell Community Committee	Rezone all of St Stephens Avenue, Parnell as Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.2	Parnell Community Committee	Rezone all of St Stephens Avenue, Parnell as Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.2	Parnell Community Committee	Rezone all of St Stephens Avenue, Parnell as Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1645.2	Parnell Community Committee	Rezone all of St Stephens Avenue, Parnell as Low Density Residential.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1645.3	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2-6 Judges Street, Parnell. [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2 Judge Street, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.3	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2-6 Judges Street, Parnell. [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2 Judge Street, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.3	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2-6 Judges Street, Parnell. [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2 Judge Street, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1645.3	Parnell Community Committee	Amend the Special Character Overlay to include 104-112 and 118-130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2-6 Judges Street, Parnell. [inferred to include 104 St Stephens Avenue, 106 St Stephens Avenue, 108 St Stephens Avenue, 110 St Stephens Avenue, 112 St Stephens Avenue, 118 St Stephens Avenue, 120 St Stephens Avenue, 122 St Stephens Avenue, 124 St Stephens Avenue, 124B St Stephens Avenue, 126 St Stephens Avenue, 128 St Stephens Avenue and 130 St Stephens Avenue, and 2 Judge Street, 4 Judge Street and 6 Judge Street, Parnell	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1645.4	Parnell Community Committee	Reinstate all operative Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.4	Parnell Community Committee	Reinstate all operative Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1645.4	Parnell Community Committee	Reinstate all operative Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1645.4	Parnell Community Committee	Reinstate all operative Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1645.4	Parnell Community Committee	Reinstate all operative Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1646.1	Audrey Williams	Reject the removal of Special Character Areas Residential overlay in Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1647.1	Phil Bramley	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1648.1	Vanessa Bramley	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1649.2	Louisa McKnight	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1649.7	Louisa McKnight	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1650.1	Gregory Shanahan and Vlasta Shanahan	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment as it applies to Parnell to exclude all of Parnell East. [Inferred exclude Gladstone Road, some properties in St Saint Stephens Avenue, Judges Bay Road, Bridgewater Road, Rota Place, Judge Street, Canterbury Place, and Taurarua Terrace, Parnell]	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1651.2	Lewis Holdings Limited	Remove the coastal erosion overlay from 8 Greydene Place, Takapuna.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1652.1	Mark Levene	Approve the Low Density Residential zoning along Ōrākei Road, Remuera, [unclear exactly which properties from map].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1652.2	Mark Levene	Approve the Special Character Areas Residential overlay along Ōrākei Road, Remuera, [unclear exactly which properties from map].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1653.1	Mikel Jon Thorogood and Cheng-Kwang Yang	Rezone 43 McKinney Road, Warkworth (currently FUZ) to Mixed Housing Urban, with no qualifying matters.	Outside Urban Environment	Future Urban Land Proposals - Excluded from IPI PC	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose
1654.1	Ferndale Estate Limited	Rezone 14 Wikitoria Lane and 15 Wikitoria Lane, Warkworth and 99 Great North Road, Warkworth to Mixed Housing Urban.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS18	DAGS Limited	Support
1654.1	Ferndale Estate Limited	Rezone 14 Wikitoria Lane and 15 Wikitoria Lane, Warkworth and 99 Great North Road, Warkworth to Mixed Housing Urban.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose
1655.2	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of Akoranga RTN to include Northcote Town Centre and all land show on figure provided in submission. Additional increase the height to 6 storey.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
1655.3	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of Smales Farm RTN to include Northcote Town Centre and all land show on figure provided in submission. Additional increase the height to 6 storey.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS341	Christopher Robert Smale	support
1655.6	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Rezone 209 - 215 Kepa Road, Mission Bay to Business - Town Centre zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
1655.6	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Rezone 209 - 215 Kepa Road, Mission Bay to Business - Town Centre zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS352	Next Gen Places Limited	Support
1655.6	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Rezone 209 - 215 Kepa Road, Mission Bay to Business - Town Centre zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS391	Tūpuna Maunga o Tā	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1655.7	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Increase the maximum height for 209 - 215 Kapa Road, Mission Bay to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support
1655.7	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Increase the maximum height for 209 - 215 Kapa Road, Mission Bay to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS352	Next Gen Places Limited	Support
1655.7	Masfen Holdings Ltd	Increase the maximum height for 209 - 215 Kapa Road, Mission Bay to 50m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose
1656.4	777 Investments Limited	Delete standard H8.6.34. and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
1657.1	Masfen Holdings Ltd and 777 Investments Limited	Amend the maximum height of 69 St Georges Bay Road and 79 St Georges Bay Road, Parnell and 16 York Street, Parnell and surrounding Business - Mixed Use zone to allowing buildings up 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS66	Donald William Huse	Oppose
1657.1	Masfen Holdings Ltd and 777 Investments Limited	Amend the maximum height of 69 St Georges Bay Road and 79 St Georges Bay Road, Parnell and 16 York Street, Parnell and surrounding Business - Mixed Use zone to allowing buildings up 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS248	Elizabeth N Brown	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1657.1	Masfen Holdings Ltd and 777 Investments Limited	Amend the maximum height of 69 St Georges Bay Road and 79 St Georges Bay Road, Parnell and 16 York Street, Parnell and surrounding Business - Mixed Use zone to allowing buildings up 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	oppose
1663.2	Stephen Dey	Approve the Coastal Inundation qualifying matter applying to 2/52 Wairoa Road, Narrow Neck and surrounding properties.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1664.1	Brett Rutherford Hellier	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1665.1	Erica Margaret Hellier	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1667.2	Kimberly C Sumner	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1667.3	Kimberly C Sumner	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1667.8	Kimberly C Sumner	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1671.1	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove 524 Parnell Road, Parnell from the "Buildings in a walkable catchment" so that the 27m height of the current AUP still applies.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1671.1	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove 524 Parnell Road, Parnell from the "Buildings in a walkable catchment" so that the 27m height of the current AUP still applies.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1671.2	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove the HVC from 524 Parnell Road, Parnell so that the 27m height of the current AUP still applies.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1671.2	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove the HVC from 524 Parnell Road, Parnell so that the 27m height of the current AUP still applies.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1671.3	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove the Height Variation control development standard from 584 Great North Road and 4-8 Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn .	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1671.3	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove the Height Variation control development standard from 584 Great North Road and 4-8 Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn .	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1671.4	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove the Special Character Business Overlay from 584 Great North Road and 4-8 Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1671.4	BA Trustees Ltd	Remove the Special Character Business Overlay from 584 Great North Road and 4-8 Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1675.1	Lawrie Knight	Approve the THAB zoning, 21m height and height in relation to boundary of 20 Park Avenue, Grafton.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1675.1	Lawrie Knight	Approve the THAB zoning, 21m height and height in relation to boundary of 20 Park Avenue, Grafton.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1675.1	Lawrie Knight	Approve the THAB zoning, 21m height and height in relation to boundary of 20 Park Avenue, Grafton.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1677.1	Alan and Diane Wallace	Remove the Coastal Erosion qualifying matter from 34 Marine Parade, Mellons Bay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1677.1	Alan and Diane Wallace	Remove the Coastal Erosion qualifying matter from 34 Marine Parade, Mellons Bay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1677.3	Alan and Diane Wallace	Amend the Coastal Erosion qualifying matter so that it only applies where relevant on a site and allow for building bulk and density where justifiable to the coastal risk.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1678.1	Phillipa Budler	Add a Transportation related qualifying matter at Matija Place, Red Beach as appropriate for development to be limited and resource consent application take in account infrastructure related constraints. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.2	Phillipa Budler	Rezone to Single House zone (SHZ) for Red Beach, Orewa, Silverdale and the Hibiscus Coast and in particular Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.3	Phillipa Budler	Reject the Mixed Housing Urban zone for sites in Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.4	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that resource consent applications can be declined when there is insufficient servicing capacity, or servicing options are proposed that are suboptimal. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.5	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that the density of development is appropriate for the site, including having regard to development on adjacent sites (including character and amenity effects experienced on adjacent sites) and infrastructure capacity. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.6	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objective, policies, rules and criteria so that all residential developments must be required to have close regard to the interface with existing residential development on adjoining sites as detailed on page 5 and 6 of the submission. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.7	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that landscaping on-site is maximised, to improve amenity both on site and on those adjoining. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.8	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that applications, including those that do not provide a suitable interface with adjoining sites, can be notified and that affected parties can become involved in the resource consent process. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.9	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are identified for waste and recycling storage and on-site collection. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.10	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria to ensure that potential effects on adjacent residential sites are avoided, remedied or mitigated and the owners/ occupiers of adjoining sites can become involved in resource consent applications so that waste and recycling areas are screened from adjoining sites and in larger development with communal waste storage areas, that waste is managed to control odour. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.11	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are provided on-site for delivery vehicles, parking and visitors to remove or minimise the need for on- street parking. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.12	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that there is provision for on-going management of communal areas (including access, parking and landscaped areas) and services on sites, such as a body corporate or similar. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.13	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.14	Phillipa Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.15	Phillipa Budler	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Oppose
1678.15	Phillipa Budler	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.16	Phillipa Budler	Reword Objective E38.2(10)(d) to "Avoid subdivision that cannot be appropriately serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks".	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.17	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.18	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.19	Phillipa Budler	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.20	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(31).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.21	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(32).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.22	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(33)(c).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.23	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(34).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.24	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(35).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.25	Phillipa Budler	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29A)-sites subject to Infrastructure stormwater disposals constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.26	Phillipa Budler	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.26	Phillipa Budler	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1678.27	Phillipa Budler	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2A), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.28	Phillipa Budler	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2B), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.29	Phillipa Budler	Amend Standard E38.6.3 Services to refer to appropriate services or words to that effect.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.30	Phillipa Budler	Amend E38.8.2.8 (2) to read: “The technical report must demonstrate: a) That infrastructure and servicing can be achieved. b) The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; c) The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; d) The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; e) The potential effects of the proposed servicing; f) Proposed long term management of the system/s.”	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.31	Phillipa Budler	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.31	Phillipa Budler	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
1678.32	Phillipa Budler	Approve E38.11.1(2) Matters of Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.33	Phillipa Budler	Approve E38.11.2(2) Assessment Criteria - All controlled activities in Table E38.4.2...	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.34	Phillipa Budler	Approve E38.12.1(10) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.35	Phillipa Budler	Approve E38.12.1(11) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.36	Phillipa Budler	Approve E38.12.2(10) Assessment criteria required in stormwater QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.37	Phillipa Budler	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.38	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.39	Phillipa Budler	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.40	Phillipa Budler	Amend Objective H5.2(11) to read: Land near the Business – Metropolitan Centre Zone and the Business – Town Centre Zone, high-density residential areas and close to the public transport network is efficiently used for higher density residential living and to provide urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to public transport, unless it is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.41	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(3), in particular where it refers to high quality amenity in relation to adjacent sites and the street.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.42	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.43	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.44	Phillipa Budler	Amend Objective H5.2(7) to read: "Development that cannot be appropriately serviced for water supply, wastewater or stormwater is avoided."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.45	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.46	Phillipa Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.47	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy 5.3(B1) wording "...except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.48	Phillipa Budler	Amend Policy H5.3(C1) to read: "Encourage Require development to achieve attractive and safe streets and public open spaces, including by providing for passive surveillance."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.49	Phillipa Budler	Amend Policy H5.3(E1) to read: Provide for developments not meeting permitted activity status, while encouraging high-quality developments "Require developments to achieve a high-quality environment."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.50	Phillipa Budler	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) to include: ...(j) Establishing landscaping (vegetation) to create quality on- site amenity and soften the interface between the site and adjoining residential sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.51	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.52	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.53	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.54	Phillipa Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.55	Phillipa Budler	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14B) where one dwelling on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a permitted activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.56	Phillipa Budler	Amend Table H5.4.1 to introduce "Standards to be complied with". The section should include reference to standards H5.6.3 - H5.6.21 and the new standard sought with respect to the wastewater and/or wastewater constraints control to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed, and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.57	Phillipa Budler	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14C) where two or more dwellings on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a restricted discretionary activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.58	Phillipa Budler	Insert in Table H5.4.1 a new row (A14D) to reflect the QM applied to Matija Place, Red Beach: "Two or more dwellings per site in the Matija Place Transportation Qualifying Matter Control"- Restricted Discretionary Activity."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.59	Phillipa Budler	Reject Notification H5.5(5) which precludes limited or full notification for a development of four or more dwellings, irrespective of meeting standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.60	Phillipa Budler	Approve Notification H5.5(6) where development is subject to the normal tests for notification.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.61	Phillipa Budler	Introduce specific Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control standard to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.62	Phillipa Budler	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard and the removal of consideration of boundaries adjoining lower zones [inferred standard H5.6.5(2)(b)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.63	Phillipa Budler	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard where the HIRB should apply from the site boundary, not right of way/access lot boundaries.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.64	Phillipa Budler	Reject the minimum yard requirements in Table H5.6.8.1.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.65	Phillipa Budler	Amend the "Yard" definition to reduce the length of eaves that can encroach into yard setbacks.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.66	Phillipa Budler	Amend H5.11(5)-Landscaped Area to read: The minimum landscaped area must be at least 35 percent of the net site area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.67	Phillipa Budler	Approve the H5.6.12-Outlook Space standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.68	Phillipa Budler	Amend H5.6.14(4)-Communal Outdoor Living Space to read: (h) Is managed and maintained by a body corporate or similar legal entity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.69	Phillipa Budler	Amend H5.6.21 Residential waste management to read: H5.6.21(6) A waste management and minimisation plan must be provided and implemented for 10 or more dwellings, and this must include details of how odour will be controlled.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.70	Phillipa Budler	Reject the deletion of the word "intensity" from Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2)(a)(i). Amend H5.8.1 Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2) for four or more dwellings per site: (a)(i): (i)building intensity, scale and location, including:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.71	Phillipa Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(A)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.72	Phillipa Budler	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(B) to read: "the extent to which the height, roof form and design of buildings respond to the local streetscape, adjacent sites, ..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.73	Phillipa Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(ai) [inferred (ia)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.74	Phillipa Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.75	Phillipa Budler	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(d) to read: "the effects of the development on the safe access for pedestrians and other transportation users on the adjacent road network."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.76	Phillipa Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.77	Phillipa Budler	Amend Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ab) by adding: (ix) Placing lower buildings adjacent to residential dwellings on adjoining sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.78	Phillipa Budler	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ac).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.79	Phillipa Budler	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ae).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1678.80	Phillipa Budler	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(af).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.81	Phillipa Budler	Insert new Assessment Criteria related to H.8.1(6) (More than one dwelling in Waste and Wastewater Constraints Control). Insert the following or words to same effect: I. The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; II. The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; III. The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; IV. The potential effects of the proposed servicing; V. Proposed long term management of the system/s.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1678.82	Phillipa Budler	Approve the definition of "landscaped area."	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.1	Quintin Craig Budler	Add a Transportation related qualifying matter at Matija Place, Red Beach as appropriate for development to be limited and resource consent application take in account infrastructure related constraints. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.2	Quintin Craig Budler	Rezone to Single House zone (SHZ) for Red Beach, Orewa, Silverdale and the Hibiscus Coast and in particular Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.3	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject the Mixed Housing Urban zone for sites in Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.4	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that resource consent applications can be declined when there is insufficient servicing capacity, or servicing options are proposed that are suboptimal. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.5	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that the density of development is appropriate for the site, including having regard to development on adjacent sites (including character and amenity effects experienced on adjacent sites) and infrastructure capacity. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.6	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objective, policies, rules and criteria so that all residential developments must be required to have close regard to the interface with existing residential development on adjoining sites as detailed on page 5 and 6 of the submission. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.7	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that landscaping on-site is maximised, to improve amenity both on site and on those adjoining. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.8	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that applications, including those that do not provide a suitable interface with adjoining sites, can be notified and that affected parties can become involved in the resource consent process. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.9	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are identified for waste and recycling storage and on-site collection. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.10	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria to ensure that potential effects on adjacent residential sites are avoided, remedied or mitigated and the owners/ occupiers of adjoining sites can become involved in resource consent applications so that waste and recycling areas are screened from adjoining sites and in larger development with communal waste storage areas, that waste is managed to control odour. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.11	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are provided on-site for delivery vehicles, parking and visitors to remove or minimise the need for on- street parking. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.12	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that there is provision for on-going management of communal areas (including access, parking and landscaped areas) and services on sites, such as a body corporate or similar. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.13	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.14	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.15	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.15	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.16	Quintin Craig Budler	Reword Objective E38.2(10)(d) to "Avoid subdivision that cannot be appropriately serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks".	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.17	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.18	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.19	Quintin Craig Budler	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.20	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(31).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.21	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(32).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.22	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(33)(c).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.23	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(34).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.24	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy E38.3(35).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.25	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29A)-sites subject to Infrastructure stormwater disposals constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.26	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.26	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1679.27	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2A), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.28	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2B), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.29	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Standard E38.6.3 Services to refer to appropriate services or words to that effect.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.30	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend E38.8.2.8 (2) to read: "The technical report must demonstrate: a) That infrastructure and servicing can be achieved. b) The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; c) The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; d) The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; e) The potential effects of the proposed servicing; f) Proposed long term management of the system/s."	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.31	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.31	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.32	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve E38.11.1(2) Matters of Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.33	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve E38.11.2(2) Assessment Criteria - All controlled activities in Table E38.4.2...	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.34	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve E38.12.1(10) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.35	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve E38.12.1(11) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.36	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve E38.12.2(10) Assessment criteria required in stormwater QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.37	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.38	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.39	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.40	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Objective H5.2(11) to read: Land near the Business – Metropolitan Centre Zone and the Business – Town Centre Zone, high-density residential areas and close to the public transport network is efficiently used for higher density residential living and to provide urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to public transport, unless it is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.41	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(3), in particular where it refers to high quality amenity in relation to adjacent sites and the street.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.42	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.43	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.44	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Objective H5.2(7) to read: "Development that cannot be appropriately serviced for water supply, wastewater or stormwater is avoided."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.45	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.46	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Objective H5.2(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.47	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy 5.3(B1) wording "...except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.48	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Policy H5.3(C1) to read: "Encourage Require development to achieve attractive and safe streets and public open spaces, including by providing for passive surveillance."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.49	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Policy H5.3(E1) to read: Provide for developments not meeting permitted activity status, while encouraging high-quality developments "Require developments to achieve a high-quality environment."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.50	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) to include: ...(j) Establishing landscaping (vegetation) to create quality on- site amenity and soften the interface between the site and adjoining residential sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.51	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.52	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.53	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.54	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.55	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14B) where one dwelling on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a permitted activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.56	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Table H5.4.1 to introduce "Standards to be complied with". The section should include reference to standards H5.6.3 - H5.6.21 and the new standard sought with respect to the wastewater and/or wastewater constraints control to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed, and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.57	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14C) where two or more dwellings on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a restricted discretionary activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.58	Quintin Craig Budler	Insert in Table H5.4.1 a new row (A14D) to reflect the QM applied to Matija Place, Red Beach: "Two or more dwellings per site in the Matija Place Transportation Qualifying Matter Control"- Restricted Discretionary Activity."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.59	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject Notification H5.5(5) which precludes limited or full notification for a development of four or more dwellings, irrespective of meeting standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.60	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Notification H5.5(6)where development is subject to the normal tests for notification.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.61	Quintin Craig Budler	Introduce specific Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control standard to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.62	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard and the removal of consideration of boundaries adjoining lower zones [inferred standard H5.6.5(2)(b)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.63	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard where the HIRB should apply from the site boundary, not right of way/access lot boundaries.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.64	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject the minimum yard requirements in Table H5.6.8.1.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.65	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend the "Yard" definition to reduce the length of eaves that can encroach into yard setbacks.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.66	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend H5.11(5)-Landscaped Area to read: The minimum landscaped area must be at least 35 percent of the net site area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.67	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve the H5.6.12-Outlook Space standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.68	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend H5.6.14(4)-Communal Outdoor Living Space to read: (h) Is managed and maintained by a body corporate or similar legal entity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.69	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend H5.6.21 Residential waste management to read: H5.6.21(6) A waste management and minimisation plan must be provided and implemented for 10 or more dwellings, and this must include details of how odour will be controlled.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.70	Quintin Craig Budler	Reject the deletion of the word "intensity" from Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2)(a)(i). Amend H5.8.1 Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2) for four or more dwellings per site: (a)(i): (i)building intensity, scale and location, including:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.71	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(A)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.72	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(B) to read: "the extent to which the height, roof form and design of buildings respond to the local streetscape, adjacent sites, ..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.73	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(ai) [inferred (ia)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.74	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.75	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(d) to read: "the effects of the development on the safe access for pedestrians and other transportation users on the adjacent road network."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.76	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.77	Quintin Craig Budler	Amend Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ab) by adding: (ix) Placing lower buildings adjacent to residential dwellings on adjoining sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1679.78	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ac).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.79	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ae).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.80	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(af).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.81	Quintin Craig Budler	Insert new Assessment Criteria related to H.8.1(6) (More than one dwelling in Waste and Wastewater Constraints Control). Insert the following or words to same effect: I. The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; II. The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; III. The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; IV. The potential effects of the proposed servicing; V. Proposed long term management of the system/s.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1679.82	Quintin Craig Budler	Approve the definition of "landscaped area."	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1680.1	Youthline	Delete the Special Character Area Business overlay on the north-eastern side of Maidstone Street, Grey Lynn. [Inferred to include 2 Crummer Road, 17 Maidstone Street, 13 Maidstone Street, 26 Ponsonby Road, 9 Maidstone Street, 7A Maidstone Street 1-7 Maidstone Street, 1A Maidstone Street and 29-45 Great North Road, Grey Lynn].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1680.1	Youthline	Delete the Special Character Area Business overlay on the north-eastern side of Maidstone Street, Grey Lynn. [Inferred to include 2 Crummer Road, 17 Maidstone Street, 13 Maidstone Street, 26 Ponsonby Road, 9 Maidstone Street, 7A Maidstone Street 1-7 Maidstone Street, 1A Maidstone Street and 29-45 Great North Road, Grey Lynn].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1680.2	Youthline	Apply a height variation control of 27m over the northern-side of Maidstone Street, Grey Lynn.	Outside Urban Environment	Future Urban Land Proposals - Excluded from IPI PC	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1680.2	Youthline	Apply a height variation control of 27m over the northern-side of Maidstone Street, Grey Lynn.	Outside Urban Environment	Future Urban Land Proposals - Excluded from IPI PC	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1681.1	Teresa Norris	Add a Transportation related qualifying matter at Matija Place, Red Beach as appropriate for development to be limited and resource consent application take in account infrastructure related constraints. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.2	Teresa Norris	Rezoning to Single House zone (SHZ) for Red Beach, Orewa, Silverdale and the Hibiscus Coast and in particular Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.3	Teresa Norris	Reject the Mixed Housing Urban zone for sites in Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.4	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that resource consent applications can be declined when there is insufficient servicing capacity, or servicing options are proposed that are suboptimal. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.5	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that the density of development is appropriate for the site, including having regard to development on adjacent sites (including character and amenity effects experienced on adjacent sites) and infrastructure capacity. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.6	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objective, policies, rules and criteria so that all residential developments must be required to have close regard to the interface with existing residential development on adjoining sites as detailed on page 5 and 6 of the submission. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.7	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that landscaping on-site is maximised, to improve amenity both on site and on those adjoining. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.8	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that applications, including those that do not provide a suitable interface with adjoining sites, can be notified and that affected parties can become involved in the resource consent process. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.9	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are identified for waste and recycling storage and on-site collection. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.10	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria to ensure that potential effects on adjacent residential sites are avoided, remedied or mitigated and the owners/ occupiers of adjoining sites can become involved in resource consent applications so that waste and recycling areas are screened from adjoining sites and in larger development with communal waste storage areas, that waste is managed to control odour. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.11	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are provided on-site for delivery vehicles, parking and visitors to remove or minimise the need for on-street parking. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.12	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that there is provision for on-going management of communal areas (including access, parking and landscaped areas) and services on sites, such as a body corporate or similar. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.13	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.14	Teresa Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.15	Teresa Norris	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Oppose
1681.15	Teresa Norris	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.16	Teresa Norris	Reword Objective E38.2(10)(d) to "Avoid subdivision that cannot be appropriately serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks".	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.17	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.18	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.19	Teresa Norris	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.20	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(31).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.21	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(32).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.22	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(33)(c).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.23	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(34).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.24	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(35).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.25	Teresa Norris	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29A)-sites subject to Infrastructure stormwater disposals constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.26	Teresa Norris	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.26	Teresa Norris	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1681.27	Teresa Norris	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2A), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.28	Teresa Norris	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2B), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.29	Teresa Norris	Amend Standard E38.6.3 Services to refer to appropriate services or words to that effect.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.30	Teresa Norris	Amend E38.8.2.8 (2) to read: “The technical report must demonstrate: a) That infrastructure and servicing can be achieved. b) The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; c) The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; d) The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; e) The potential effects of the proposed servicing; f) Proposed long term management of the system/s.”	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.31	Teresa Norris	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.31	Teresa Norris	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
1681.32	Teresa Norris	Approve E38.11.1(2) Matters of Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.33	Teresa Norris	Approve E38.11.2(2) Assessment Criteria - All controlled activities in Table E38.4.2...	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.34	Teresa Norris	Approve E38.12.1(10) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.35	Teresa Norris	Approve E38.12.1(11) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.36	Teresa Norris	Approve E38.12.2(10) Assessment criteria required in stormwater QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.37	Teresa Norris	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.38	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.39	Teresa Norris	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.40	Teresa Norris	Amend Objective H5.2(11) to read: Land near the Business – Metropolitan Centre Zone and the Business – Town Centre Zone, high-density residential areas and close to the public transport network is efficiently used for higher density residential living and to provide urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to public transport, unless it is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.41	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(3), in particular where it refers to high quality amenity in relation to adjacent sites and the street.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.42	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.43	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.44	Teresa Norris	Amend Objective H5.2(7) to read: "Development that cannot be appropriately serviced for water supply, wastewater or stormwater is avoided."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.45	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.46	Teresa Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.47	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy 5.3(B1) wording "...except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.48	Teresa Norris	Amend Policy H5.3(C1) to read: "Encourage Require development to achieve attractive and safe streets and public open spaces, including by providing for passive surveillance."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.49	Teresa Norris	Amend Policy H5.3(E1) to read: Provide for developments not meeting permitted activity status, while encouraging high-quality developments "Require developments to achieve a high-quality environment."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.50	Teresa Norris	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) to include: ...(j) Establishing landscaping (vegetation) to create quality on- site amenity and soften the interface between the site and adjoining residential sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.51	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.52	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.53	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.54	Teresa Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.55	Teresa Norris	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14B) where one dwelling on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a permitted activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.56	Teresa Norris	Amend Table H5.4.1 to introduce "Standards to be complied with". The section should include reference to standards H5.6.3 - H5.6.21 and the new standard sought with respect to the wastewater and/or wastewater constraints control to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed, and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.57	Teresa Norris	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14C) where two or more dwellings on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a restricted discretionary activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.58	Teresa Norris	Insert in Table H5.4.1 a new row (A14D) to reflect the QM applied to Matija Place, Red Beach: "Two or more dwellings per site in the Matija Place Transportation Qualifying Matter Control"- Restricted Discretionary Activity."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.59	Teresa Norris	Reject Notification H5.5(5) which precludes limited or full notification for a development of four or more dwellings, irrespective of meeting standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.60	Teresa Norris	Approve Notification H5.5(6) where development is subject to the normal tests for notification.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.61	Teresa Norris	Introduce specific Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control standard to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.62	Teresa Norris	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard and the removal of consideration of boundaries adjoining lower zones [inferred standard H5.6.5(2)(b)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.63	Teresa Norris	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard where the HIRB should apply from the site boundary, not right of way/access lot boundaries.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.64	Teresa Norris	Reject the minimum yard requirements in Table H5.6.8.1.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.65	Teresa Norris	Amend the "Yard" definition to reduce the length of eaves that can encroach into yard setbacks.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.66	Teresa Norris	Amend H5.11(5)-Landscaped Area to read: The minimum landscaped area must be at least 35 percent of the net site area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.67	Teresa Norris	Approve the H5.6.12-Outlook Space standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.68	Teresa Norris	Amend H5.6.14(4)-Communal Outdoor Living Space to read: (h) Is managed and maintained by a body corporate or similar legal entity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.69	Teresa Norris	Amend H5.6.21 Residential waste management to read: H5.6.21(6) A waste management and minimisation plan must be provided and implemented for 10 or more dwellings, and this must include details of how odour will be controlled.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.70	Teresa Norris	Reject the deletion of the word "intensity" from Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2)(a)(i). Amend H5.8.1 Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2) for four or more dwellings per site: (a)(i): (i)building intensity, scale and location, including:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.71	Teresa Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(A)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.72	Teresa Norris	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(B) to read: "the extent to which the height, roof form and design of buildings respond to the local streetscape, adjacent sites, ..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.73	Teresa Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(ai) [inferred (ia)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.74	Teresa Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.75	Teresa Norris	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(d) to read: "the effects of the development on the safe access for pedestrians and other transportation users on the adjacent road network."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.76	Teresa Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.77	Teresa Norris	Amend Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ab) by adding: (ix) Placing lower buildings adjacent to residential dwellings on adjoining sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.78	Teresa Norris	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ac).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.79	Teresa Norris	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ae).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.80	Teresa Norris	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(af).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1681.81	Teresa Norris	Insert new Assessment Criteria related to H.8.1(6) (More than one dwelling in Waste and Wastewater Constraints Control). Insert the following or words to same effect: I. The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; II. The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; III. The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; IV. The potential effects of the proposed servicing; V. Proposed long term management of the system/s.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1681.82	Teresa Norris	Approve the definition of "landscaped area."	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1682.1	Julian Delano	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1682.1	Julian Delano	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1682.2	Julian Delano	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1682.2	Julian Delano	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1682.3	Julian Delano	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1682.3	Julian Delano	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1682.4	Julian Delano	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1682.4	Julian Delano	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1685.1	Margaret Averil Sutherland Bryson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1688.1	Robert Poole	Reject the THAB zoning of 13 Knox Road, Swanson (Redwood Park Golf Club).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1690.1	Vikram Fritschi	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1693.1	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Approve THAB zoning for 3 Park Avenue, Grafton.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1693.2	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Approve 21m height standard and height in relation to boundary standard, applying to 3 Park Avenue, Grafton.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1693.2	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Approve 21m height standard and height in relation to boundary standard, applying to 3 Park Avenue, Grafton.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1694.1	Alison Hunter	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1696.1	Huiqiang Zhang	Support the Mixed Housing Urban zoning for 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera and 163a-163b Portland Road, Remuera.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1696.2	Huiqiang Zhang	Remove the Special Character Areas Residential overlay from 158 Victoria Avenue, Remuera and 163a-163b Portland Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1696.3	Huiqiang Zhang	Delete the Combined Wastewater Network control or allow the flexibility for the developer to demonstrate that the site can be fully serviced.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1696.3	Huiqiang Zhang	Delete the Combined Wastewater Network control or allow the flexibility for the developer to demonstrate that the site can be fully serviced.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS205	Gerrad Hall	support
1697.1	Remuera Project Limited	Increase the maximum height of 127 Remuera Road, 129 Remuera Road and 131 Remuera Road, Remuera and the surrounding Mixed Use zoned land (shown in submission) to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1697.1	Remuera Project Limited	Increase the maximum height of 127 Remuera Road, 129 Remuera Road and 131 Remuera Road, Remuera and the surrounding Mixed Use zoned land (shown in submission) to 32.5m.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1700.3	Anna Woodroffe	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1703.1	Graeme Skeates	Amend Rules H3A.4.1(A9) to provide for two or more dwellings as a restricted discretionary activity, and to clarify the application of [inferred] Rule H3A.4.1 (A8) as follows: Table H3A.4.1 Activity table (A8) One dwelling per site where located in subject to a Significant Ecological Area Overlay which complies with Standards E15.4.2(A29) and E15.6.5 – C (A9) Two or more dwellings per site subject to an Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to Vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43)) – RD	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1703.2	Graeme Skeates	Amend Rules H5.4.1 (A2B) to provide for two or more dwellings as a restricted discretionary activity, and to clarify the application of [inferred] Rule H5.4.1 (A2A) as follows: Table H5.4.1 Activity table (A2A) One dwelling per site subject to a Significant Ecological Area Overlay which complies with Standards E15.4.2(A29) and E15.6.5 – C (A2B) Two or more dwellings per site subject to a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to Vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5) – RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1703.2	Graeme Skeates	Amend Rules H5.4.1 (A2B) to provide for two or more dwellings as a restricted discretionary activity, and to clarify the application of [inferred] Rule H5.4.1 (A2A) as follows: Table H5.4.1 Activity table (A2A) One dwelling per site subject to a Significant Ecological Area Overlay which complies with Standards E15.4.2(A29) and E15.6.5 – C (A2B) Two or more dwellings per site subject to a Significant Ecological Area Overlay (refer to Vegetation management and biodiversity E15.4.2(A43) and E15.6.5) – RD	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1703.3	Graeme Skeates	Rezone 1A Godwit Place, Lynfield to Mixed Housing Urban.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1707.2	Julie Thompson	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1707.3	Julie Thompson	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1707.7	Julie Thompson	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1709.1	Andrew James Smith	Reject the THAB zoning of 13 Knox Road, Swanson (Redwood Park Golf Club).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1710.2	Roger Purdy	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1710.3	Roger Purdy	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1710.7	Roger Purdy	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1711.1	Gabrielle Capp	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1714.1	Sara Barrett	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Oppose
1715.1	Thomas Matthew Buchanan	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1717.1	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing the walkable catchments including around the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1717.2	Sarah C	Amend the plan by increasing housing supply around the city centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.3	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing Special Character Areas as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1717.4	Sarah C	Amend the plan by removing height restrictions.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1722.1	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend the plan so that MDRS and policy 3 are not made any less enabling for sites located within floodplains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
1722.1	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend the plan so that MDRS and policy 3 are not made any less enabling for sites located within floodplains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1722.1	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend the plan so that MDRS and policy 3 are not made any less enabling for sites located within floodplains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1722.1	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend the plan so that MDRS and policy 3 are not made any less enabling for sites located within floodplains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1722.2	Sentinel Planning Ltd	[Inferred] Reject the application of the Low Density Residential zone to accommodate the flood plain qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
1722.2	Sentinel Planning Ltd	[Inferred] Reject the application of the Low Density Residential zone to accommodate the flood plain qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1722.2	Sentinel Planning Ltd	[Inferred] Reject the application of the Low Density Residential zone to accommodate the flood plain qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1722.15	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend and expand the Takapuna Metropolitan centre walkable catchment to include all residential sites between Takapuna and Smales Farm, all sites on Shakespeare Road, all sites on Kitchener Road, all sites on the Lake Pupuke side of Killarney Street, Hurstmere Road, Kitchener Road and Shakespeare Road, and a 400m catchment minimum to the north of Kitchener Road towards the beach, including coastal properties.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS341	Christopher Robert Smale	support
1722.16	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend and expand Smales Farm RTN walkable catchment to include all residential sites between Takapuna and Smales Farm, all sites on Shakespeare Road, all sites on Kitchener Road, all sites on the Lake Pupuke side of Killarney Street, Hurstmere Road, Kitchener Road and Shakespeare Road, and a 400m catchment minimum to the north of Kitchener Road towards the beach, including coastal properties.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS341	Christopher Robert Smale	support
1722.17	Sentinel Planning Ltd	Amend and expand the Milford town centre policy 3(d) intensification response to include all residential sites between Takapuna and Smales Farm, all sites on Shakespeare Road, all sites on Kitchener Road, all sites on the Lake Pupuke side of Killarney Street, Hurstmere Road, Kitchener Road and Shakespeare Road, and a 400m catchment minimum to the north of Kitchener Road towards the beach, including coastal properties.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Milford Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS341	Christopher Robert Smale	support
1726.1	Xudong Wang	Recognise Mairangi Bay as a small Town Centre and apply further intensification surrounding 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1726.1	Xudong Wang	Recognise Mairangi Bay as a small Town Centre and apply further intensification surrounding 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1726.1	Xudong Wang	Recognise Mairangi Bay as a small Town Centre and apply further intensification surrounding 200m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1726.5	Xudong Wang	That the council abandon the use of the Two Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area (TSSDRA) and Two Storey Medium Density Residential Area (TSMMDRA) zones to accommodate the Coastal Inundation Layer qualifying matter and instead accommodate it in a manner that does not curtail development unnecessarily, such as by using overlay rules that are applicable only to the areas of land that are subject to the overlay and not entire sites.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1726.5	Xudong Wang	That the council abandon the use of the Two Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area (TSSDRA) and Two Storey Medium Density Residential Area (TSMMDRA) zones to accommodate the Coastal Inundation Layer qualifying matter and instead accommodate it in a manner that does not curtail development unnecessarily, such as by using overlay rules that are applicable only to the areas of land that are subject to the overlay and not entire sites.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS338	Terry Zeng	support
1726.5	Xudong Wang	That the council abandon the use of the Two Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area (TSSDRA) and Two Storey Medium Density Residential Area (TSMMDRA) zones to accommodate the Coastal Inundation Layer qualifying matter and instead accommodate it in a manner that does not curtail development unnecessarily, such as by using overlay rules that are applicable only to the areas of land that are subject to the overlay and not entire sites.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS343	Waiwera Properties Limited	Support in part
1726.5	Xudong Wang	That the council abandon the use of the Two Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area (TSSDRA) and Two Storey Medium Density Residential Area (TSMMDRA) zones to accommodate the Coastal Inundation Layer qualifying matter and instead accommodate it in a manner that does not curtail development unnecessarily, such as by using overlay rules that are applicable only to the areas of land that are subject to the overlay and not entire sites.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS351	Drive Holdings Limited	Support in part
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1729.1	Scott M Winton	Amend the plan to enable perimeter block housing forms to occur by removing front and side yards and leaving open space at the rear of sites.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1729.2	Scott M Winton	Remove special character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1729.3	Scott M Winton	Reduce the number of Special Character Areas Residential to 5% of what currently exists.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1730.1	Alan and Jamie Hellyer	Amend the climate change related qualifying matters and propose using an overlay with objectives, policies, standards, assessment criteria and information requirements to assess the risk of sites during consenting process.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1730.3	Alan and Jamie Hellyer	Remove the Coastal Erosion qualifying matter from 16 Duncansby Road, Stanmore Bay and reassess the qualifying matter for other properties.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1732.1	A Clark	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1733.1	Grant Dickson	Reinstate the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to all properties between 5-49 Upland Road, Remuera [inferred to include 5 Upland Road, 7 Upland Road, 9 Upland Road, 11 Upland Road, 13 Upland Road, 15 Upland Road, 17 Upland Road, 19 Upland Road, 19A Upland Road, 21 Upland Road, 25 Upland Road, 27 Upland Road, 27A Upland Road, 29 Upland Road, 29A Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 31A Upland Road, 33 Upland Road, 35 Upland Road, 35A Upland Road, 37 Upland Road, 39 Upland Road, 41 Upland Road, 41A Upland Road, 43 Upland Road, 43A Upland Road, 45 Upland Road, 47 Upland Road, 49 Upland Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1733.1	Grant Dickson	Reinstate the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to all properties between 5-49 Upland Road, Remuera [inferred to include 5 Upland Road, 7 Upland Road, 9 Upland Road, 11 Upland Road, 13 Upland Road, 15 Upland Road, 17 Upland Road, 19 Upland Road, 19A Upland Road, 21 Upland Road, 25 Upland Road, 27 Upland Road, 27A Upland Road, 29 Upland Road, 29A Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 31A Upland Road, 33 Upland Road, 35 Upland Road, 35A Upland Road, 37 Upland Road, 39 Upland Road, 41 Upland Road, 41A Upland Road, 43 Upland Road, 43A Upland Road, 45 Upland Road, 47 Upland Road, 49 Upland Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS235	HND Upland Limited	oppose
1733.2	Grant Dickson	Rezone all properties between 5-49 Upland Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential [inferred to include 5 Upland Road, 7 Upland Road, 9 Upland Road, 11 Upland Road, 13 Upland Road, 15 Upland Road, 17 Upland Road, 19 Upland Road, 19A Upland Road, 21 Upland Road, 25 Upland Road, 27 Upland Road, 27A Upland Road, 29 Upland Road, 29A Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 31A Upland Road, 33 Upland Road, 35 Upland Road, 35A Upland Road, 37 Upland Road, 39 Upland Road, 41 Upland Road, 41A Upland Road, 43 Upland Road, 43A Upland Road, 45 Upland Road, 47 Upland Road, 49 Upland Road, Remuera Upland Road, Remuera.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1733.2	Grant Dickson	Rezone all properties between 5-49 Upland Road, Remuera to Low Density Residential [inferred to include 5 Upland Road, 7 Upland Road, 9 Upland Road, 11 Upland Road, 13 Upland Road, 15 Upland Road, 17 Upland Road, 19 Upland Road, 19A Upland Road, 21 Upland Road, 25 Upland Road, 27 Upland Road, 27A Upland Road, 29 Upland Road, 29A Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 31A Upland Road, 33 Upland Road, 35 Upland Road, 35A Upland Road, 37 Upland Road, 39 Upland Road, 41 Upland Road, 41A Upland Road, 43 Upland Road, 43A Upland Road, 45 Upland Road, 47 Upland Road, 49 Upland Road, Remuera Upland Road, Remuera.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS235	HND Upland Limited	oppose
1736.1	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 1200m city centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.1	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 1200m city centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.1	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 1200m city centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1736.2	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 400m of intensification adjacent to Ponsonby Town Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.2	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 400m of intensification adjacent to Ponsonby Town Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.3	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 200m of intensification adjacent to Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.3	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Reject the 200m of intensification adjacent to Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1736.4	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	[Inferred] Reinstate Special Character Areas Residential overlay for 73 Dryden Street, 75 Dryden Street, 77 Dryden Street, 79 Dryden Street, 81 Dryden Street and 83 Dryden Street, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.4	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	[Inferred] Reinstate Special Character Areas Residential overlay for 73 Dryden Street, 75 Dryden Street, 77 Dryden Street, 79 Dryden Street, 81 Dryden Street and 83 Dryden Street, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.5	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the Special [Inferred Character] qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.5	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the Special [Inferred Character] qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.6	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1736.6	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.6	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.6	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1736.7	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the infrastructure constraints qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.7	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the infrastructure constraints qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.8	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the flooding qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.8	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Approve the flooding qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.9	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Add the avenues of London plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street, Grey Lynn to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.9	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Add the avenues of London plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street, Grey Lynn to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1736.10	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Recognise neighbours' amenity when considering Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zoning adjoining a different zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.10	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Recognise neighbours' amenity when considering Mixed Housing Urban and THAB zoning adjoining a different zone.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1736.11	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Require a structure plan be prepared for all areas where intensification is planned.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1736.11	Henry Patrick James Ibbertson	Require a structure plan be prepared for all areas where intensification is planned.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS126	Wendy Johnston and Douglas Johnston	Support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS150	Anthony George Allen	Support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS170	Elizabeth Barrowman	support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS216	Kylie K Shaw	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS251	Diana Coleman	support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS283	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS509	Shanna Frost	support
1737.1	DOCOMOMO New Zealand	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS510	Amy Parlane	support
1738.1	John Dymond Projects	Reinstate all operative zoning to Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS249	Central Apartments	oppose
1738.1	John Dymond Projects	Reinstate all operative zoning to Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS260	Henla Limited	oppose
1738.10	John Dymond Projects	Reinstate all operative zoning to Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1738.1	John Dymond Projects	Reinstate all operative zoning to Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.1	John Dymond Projects	Reinstate all operative zoning to Grey Lynn.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.2	John Dymond Projects	Provide further protection for Special Character properties not currently covered by the overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1738.2	John Dymond Projects	Provide further protection for Special Character properties not currently covered by the overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.3	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 1200m city centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.3	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 1200m city centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.3	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 1200m city centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1738.4	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 400m of intensification adjacent to Ponsonby Town Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.4	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 400m of intensification adjacent to Ponsonby Town Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.5	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 200m of intensification adjacent to Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.5	John Dymond Projects	Reject the 200m of intensification adjacent to Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.6	John Dymond Projects	Rezone the Grey Lynn Local Centre to Business – Neighbourhood Centre Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS260	Henla Limited	oppose
1738.6	John Dymond Projects	Rezone the Grey Lynn Local Centre to Business – Neighbourhood Centre Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.6	John Dymond Projects	Rezone the Grey Lynn Local Centre to Business – Neighbourhood Centre Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.7	John Dymond Projects	[Inferred] Reinstate the operative Special Character Areas Residential overlay for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.7	John Dymond Projects	[Inferred] Reinstate the operative Special Character Areas Residential overlay for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1738.8	John Dymond Projects	[Inferred] Reinstate the operative Special Character Areas Business overlay for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS260	Henla Limited	oppose
1738.8	John Dymond Projects	[Inferred] Reinstate the operative Special Character Areas Business overlay for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.8	John Dymond Projects	[Inferred] Reinstate the operative Special Character Areas Business overlay for Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.9	John Dymond Projects	Approve Special Character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.9	John Dymond Projects	Approve Special Character as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.10	John Dymond Projects	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.10	John Dymond Projects	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.10	John Dymond Projects	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of Trees as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1738.11	John Dymond Projects	Approve infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.11	John Dymond Projects	Approve infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.12	John Dymond Projects	Support flooding as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1738.12	John Dymond Projects	Support flooding as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1738.13	John Dymond Projects	Add the avenues of London plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street, Grey Lynn to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1738.13	John Dymond Projects	Add the avenues of London plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street, Grey Lynn to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1742.1	Rodger McElroy	Reject the policy 3d response of THAB applied to Grey Lynn Local Centre and specifically applied to Rona Avenue and Herringson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1742.1	Rodger McElroy	Reject the policy 3d response of THAB applied to Grey Lynn Local Centre and specifically applied to Rona Avenue and Herringson Avenue, Grey Lynn.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1742.2	Rodger McElroy	Rezone the policy 3d response around Grey Lynn Centre to Mixed Housing Urban or limit height to 13m as current zoning is not commensurate with the centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1742.2	Rodger McElroy	Rezone the policy 3d response around Grey Lynn Centre to Mixed Housing Urban or limit height to 13m as current zoning is not commensurate with the centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1742.3	Rodger McElroy	Amend the Grey Lynn Local Centre as it is not commensurate with the larger local centre nor with the centres lack of amenities.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1742.3	Rodger McElroy	Amend the Grey Lynn Local Centre as it is not commensurate with the larger local centre nor with the centres lack of amenities.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1742.4	Rodger McElroy	Concerned with intensification and how it will interact with Special Character particularly in Grey Lynn.	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1742.4	Rodger McElroy	Concerned with intensification and how it will interact with Special Character particularly in Grey Lynn.	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1742.5	Rodger McElroy	Reject the methodology used to choose Policy 3d centres with lack of consideration for grocery stores. Examples given Richmond Road shops, Richmond road Countdown, Jervois Road shops.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1742.5	Rodger McElroy	Reject the methodology used to choose Policy 3d centres with lack of consideration for grocery stores. Examples given Richmond Road shops, Richmond road Countdown, Jervois Road shops.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1744.1	Fiona Moran	Approve the proposed intensification around the city centre and metropolitan centres.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support in
1744.1	Fiona Moran	Approve the proposed intensification around the city centre and metropolitan centres.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1744.1	Fiona Moran	Approve the proposed intensification around the city centre and metropolitan centres.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support in part
1744.2	Fiona Moran	[Inferred] Recognise infrastructure capacity or a city-wide analysis of financial and economic costs and benefits of intensification with regards to infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support in
1744.2	Fiona Moran	[Inferred] Recognise infrastructure capacity or a city-wide analysis of financial and economic costs and benefits of intensification with regards to infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1744.2	Fiona Moran	[Inferred] Recognise infrastructure capacity or a city-wide analysis of financial and economic costs and benefits of intensification with regards to infrastructure.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support in part
1744.3	Fiona Moran	Reject the non-targeted approach to intensification proposed under legislation.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support in
1744.3	Fiona Moran	Reject the non-targeted approach to intensification proposed under legislation.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1744.3	Fiona Moran	Reject the non-targeted approach to intensification proposed under legislation.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	support in part
1745.9	Motu Design	Add a new qualifying matter for "Ecological corridors and Ecological supporting areas". Reducing building coverage, increasing landscape area and/or deep soil and tree provisions.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS12	Southpark	Oppose
1745.9	Motu Design	Add a new qualifying matter for "Ecological corridors and Ecological supporting areas". Reducing building coverage, increasing landscape area and/or deep soil and tree provisions.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	oppose in
1745.9	Motu Design	Add a new qualifying matter for "Ecological corridors and Ecological supporting areas". Reducing building coverage, increasing landscape area and/or deep soil and tree provisions.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS460	Fletcher Residential Limited	Oppose
1746.1	Neil Construction Limited	Rezone 455 Whangaparāoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Mixed Housing Urban.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1746.1	Neil Construction Limited	Rezone 455 Whangaparāoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Mixed Housing Urban.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1746.1	Neil Construction Limited	Rezone 455 Whangaparāoa Road, Stanmore Bay to Mixed Housing Urban.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1746.4	Neil Construction Limited	Amend Babich Precinct I600 provisions of "I600.6.1. Maximum density" to delete the density provisions in relation to the MHU Zone parts of sub precincts A and C.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
1746.5	Neil Construction Limited	Delete the 3m front yard setback, with the MDRS standard (1.5 metres) to apply to front yards in Babich Precinct I600 provisions of "I600.6.3. Yards".	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
1746.6	Neil Construction Limited	Amended Babich Precinct I600 provisions "I600.6.6. Subdivision minimum site size" to apply E38.8.4.1. Vacant sites subdivision involving parent sites of 1 hectare or greater, as contained within E38 Subdivision - Urban.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1747.1	Harry Platt	Remove Special Character Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1749.1	Geraldine M Ritzema	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1749.2	Geraldine M Ritzema	Recognise the ecological and landscape value of Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1753.1	Sam Shears	Approve the plan change and the level of intensification in MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1753.1	Sam Shears	Approve the plan change and the level of intensification in MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1753.1	Sam Shears	Approve the plan change and the level of intensification in MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1755.1	Irvin Kaur and Tarandeep Singh	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
1755.1	Irvin Kaur and Tarandeep Singh	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1755.1	Irvin Kaur and Tarandeep Singh	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1755.1	Irvin Kaur and Tarandeep Singh	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1756.1	Smarty Home 2021 Ltd	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
1756.1	Smarty Home 2021 Ltd	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1756.1	Smarty Home 2021 Ltd	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1756.1	Smarty Home 2021 Ltd	Enable the MDRS provisions to apply on sites where flooding applies on a case-by-case scenario.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1757.3	Starboard Investment Ltd	Amend the methodology used to zone such that Low Density Residential is applied to sites subject to an Outstanding Natural Feature (ONF) qualifying matter only where the extent of the ONF covers a minimum proportion of the site (such as 30% proposed for the SEA qualifying matter).	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1759.1	Victoria Chen	Reinstate the Special Character Areas overlay to 4 Market Road to 251 Remuera Road, Remuera under its own sub-area [inferred to include 6 Dromorne Road, 4 Market Road, 2 Market Road, 2A Market Road, 235 Remuera Road, 241 Remuera Road, 243 Remuera Road, 247 Remuera Road and 251 Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1760.2	Karen McConnell	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1760.3	Karen McConnell	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1760.7	Karen McConnell	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1761.1	C and V Family Trust and the Ruthmarie Trust	Approve zoning of 18 The Promenade and 20 The Promenade, Takapuna, as THAB.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1761.1	C and V Family Trust and the Ruthmarie Trust	Approve zoning of 18 The Promenade and 20 The Promenade, Takapuna, as THAB.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1761.1	C and V Family Trust and the Ruthmarie Trust	Approve zoning of 18 The Promenade and 20 The Promenade, Takapuna, as THAB.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1762.1	The Rosanne Trust	Require a more nuanced and considered approach to intensification than the blanket "one size fits all" provisions introduced by both the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 ("EHSA") and National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 ("NPSUD"), which PC78 responds to.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.1	The Rosanne Trust	Require a more nuanced and considered approach to intensification than the blanket "one size fits all" provisions introduced by both the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 ("EHSA") and National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 ("NPSUD"), which PC78 responds to.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.1	The Rosanne Trust	Require a more nuanced and considered approach to intensification than the blanket "one size fits all" provisions introduced by both the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 ("EHSA") and National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 ("NPSUD"), which PC78 responds to.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.1	The Rosanne Trust	Require a more nuanced and considered approach to intensification than the blanket “one size fits all” provisions introduced by both the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 (“EHSA”) and National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (“NPSUD”), which PC78 responds to.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.1	The Rosanne Trust	Require a more nuanced and considered approach to intensification than the blanket “one size fits all” provisions introduced by both the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 (“EHSA”) and National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (“NPSUD”), which PC78 responds to.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.2	The Rosanne Trust	Further intensification within Eastern Parnell must be done in a way which enhances and further contributes to the existing character and amenity of the area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.2	The Rosanne Trust	Further intensification within Eastern Parnell must be done in a way which enhances and further contributes to the existing character and amenity of the area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.2	The Rosanne Trust	Further intensification within Eastern Parnell must be done in a way which enhances and further contributes to the existing character and amenity of the area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.2	The Rosanne Trust	Further intensification within Eastern Parnell must be done in a way which enhances and further contributes to the existing character and amenity of the area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.2	The Rosanne Trust	Further intensification within Eastern Parnell must be done in a way which enhances and further contributes to the existing character and amenity of the area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.3	The Rosanne Trust	The special nature of Eastern Parnell needs to be appropriately and sustainably managed, in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991 (“RMA”).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.3	The Rosanne Trust	The special nature of Eastern Parnell needs to be appropriately and sustainably managed, in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991 (“RMA”).	Plan making and procedural	General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.3	The Rosanne Trust	The special nature of Eastern Parnell needs to be appropriately and sustainably managed, in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA").	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.3	The Rosanne Trust	The special nature of Eastern Parnell needs to be appropriately and sustainably managed, in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA").	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.3	The Rosanne Trust	The special nature of Eastern Parnell needs to be appropriately and sustainably managed, in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA").	Plan making and procedural	General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.4	The Rosanne Trust	Incorporate the amendments in the submission relating to Eastern Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.4	The Rosanne Trust	Incorporate the amendments in the submission relating to Eastern Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.4	The Rosanne Trust	Incorporate the amendments in the submission relating to Eastern Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.4	The Rosanne Trust	Incorporate the amendments in the submission relating to Eastern Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.4	The Rosanne Trust	Incorporate the amendments in the submission relating to Eastern Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.5	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the city centre zone for the purpose of PC78, relevant access points to it and the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” in the vicinity of Eastern Parnell, to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached to the submission as Annexure B.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.5	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the city centre zone for the purpose of PC78, relevant access points to it and the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” in the vicinity of Eastern Parnell, to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached to the submission as Annexure B.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.5	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the city centre zone for the purpose of PC78, relevant access points to it and the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” in the vicinity of Eastern Parnell, to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached to the submission as Annexure B.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.5	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the city centre zone for the purpose of PC78, relevant access points to it and the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” in the vicinity of Eastern Parnell, to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached to the submission as Annexure B.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.5	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the city centre zone for the purpose of PC78, relevant access points to it and the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” in the vicinity of Eastern Parnell, to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached to the submission as Annexure B.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.6	The Rosanne Trust	If the “edge” of the city centre zone is not accepted as being generally as provisionally shown in "Annexure B" to the submission, and the city centre “edge” is instead extended towards Gladstone Road, Parnell, then the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” from that new “edge” should be correspondingly reduced, so that it still extends only as far as currently provisionally shown in Annexure B to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.6	The Rosanne Trust	If the “edge” of the city centre zone is not accepted as being generally as provisionally shown in "Annexure B" to the submission, and the city centre “edge” is instead extended towards Gladstone Road, Parnell, then the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” from that new “edge” should be correspondingly reduced, so that it still extends only as far as currently provisionally shown in Annexure B to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.6	The Rosanne Trust	If the “edge” of the city centre zone is not accepted as being generally as provisionally shown in "Annexure B" to the submission, and the city centre “edge” is instead extended towards Gladstone Road, Parnell, then the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” from that new “edge” should be correspondingly reduced, so that it still extends only as far as currently provisionally shown in Annexure B to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.6	The Rosanne Trust	If the “edge” of the city centre zone is not accepted as being generally as provisionally shown in "Annexure B" to the submission, and the city centre “edge” is instead extended towards Gladstone Road, Parnell, then the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” from that new “edge” should be correspondingly reduced, so that it still extends only as far as currently provisionally shown in Annexure B to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.6	The Rosanne Trust	If the “edge” of the city centre zone is not accepted as being generally as provisionally shown in "Annexure B" to the submission, and the city centre “edge” is instead extended towards Gladstone Road, Parnell, then the extent of the city centre “walkable catchment” from that new “edge” should be correspondingly reduced, so that it still extends only as far as currently provisionally shown in Annexure B to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.7	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell train station to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached as Annexure C to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.7	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell train station to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached as Annexure C to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.7	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell train station to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached as Annexure C to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.7	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell train station to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached as Annexure C to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.7	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell train station to be generally as provisionally shown on the plan attached as Annexure C to the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.8	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the Parnell Town Centre and relevant access points to it as shown on the plan attached as Annexure D to the submission.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.8	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the Parnell Town Centre and relevant access points to it as shown on the plan attached as Annexure D to the submission.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.8	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the Parnell Town Centre and relevant access points to it as shown on the plan attached as Annexure D to the submission.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.8	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the Parnell Town Centre and relevant access points to it as shown on the plan attached as Annexure D to the submission.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.8	The Rosanne Trust	Amend the “edge” of the Parnell Town Centre and relevant access points to it as shown on the plan attached as Annexure D to the submission.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.9	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell Town Centre as mapped in PC78.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.9	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell Town Centre as mapped in PC78.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.9	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell Town Centre as mapped in PC78.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.9	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell Town Centre as mapped in PC78.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.9	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the extent of “walkable catchment” around the Parnell Town Centre as mapped in PC78.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.10	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.10	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.10	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.10	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.10	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.11	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.11	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.11	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.11	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.11	The Rosanne Trust	Apply the Infrastructure-Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control to areas of Eastern Parnell, as appropriate, on the basis of a site-specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.12	The Rosanne Trust	Special Character scoring needs to be sufficiently justified. Many Eastern Parnell properties should have scored higher, and the area should be reassessed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.12	The Rosanne Trust	Special Character scoring needs to be sufficiently justified. Many Eastern Parnell properties should have scored higher, and the area should be reassessed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.12	The Rosanne Trust	Special Character scoring needs to be sufficiently justified. Many Eastern Parnell properties should have scored higher, and the area should be reassessed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.12	The Rosanne Trust	Special Character scoring needs to be sufficiently justified. Many Eastern Parnell properties should have scored higher, and the area should be reassessed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.12	The Rosanne Trust	Special Character scoring needs to be sufficiently justified. Many Eastern Parnell properties should have scored higher, and the area should be reassessed.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.13	The Rosanne Trust	The majority (if not the whole) of Eastern Parnell that is currently subject to the special character overlay should remain subject to that overlay. This qualifying matter can be applied to Eastern Parnell on the basis of a site specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.13	The Rosanne Trust	The majority (if not the whole) of Eastern Parnell that is currently subject to the special character overlay should remain subject to that overlay. This qualifying matter can be applied to Eastern Parnell on the basis of a site specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.13	The Rosanne Trust	The majority (if not the whole) of Eastern Parnell that is currently subject to the special character overlay should remain subject to that overlay. This qualifying matter can be applied to Eastern Parnell on the basis of a site specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.13	The Rosanne Trust	The majority (if not the whole) of Eastern Parnell that is currently subject to the special character overlay should remain subject to that overlay. This qualifying matter can be applied to Eastern Parnell on the basis of a site specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.13	The Rosanne Trust	The majority (if not the whole) of Eastern Parnell that is currently subject to the special character overlay should remain subject to that overlay. This qualifying matter can be applied to Eastern Parnell on the basis of a site specific analysis.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.14	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.14	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.14	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.14	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.14	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.15	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the Parnell train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.15	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the Parnell train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.15	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the Parnell train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.15	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the Parnell train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.15	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being within the walkable catchment of the Parnell train station.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.16	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being adjacent to the Parnell town centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.16	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being adjacent to the Parnell town centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.16	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being adjacent to the Parnell town centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.16	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being adjacent to the Parnell town centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.16	The Rosanne Trust	None of Eastern Parnell should be identified as being adjacent to the Parnell town centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Parnell Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.17	The Rosanne Trust	Rezone all residential areas of Eastern Parnell either Mixed Housing Urban or Low Density Residential (i.e. the area of Eastern Parnell currently proposed to be zoned THAB should be amended to one of those zones).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.17	The Rosanne Trust	Rezone all residential areas of Eastern Parnell either Mixed Housing Urban or Low Density Residential (i.e. the area of Eastern Parnell currently proposed to be zoned THAB should be amended to one of those zones).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.17	The Rosanne Trust	Rezone all residential areas of Eastern Parnell either Mixed Housing Urban or Low Density Residential (i.e. the area of Eastern Parnell currently proposed to be zoned THAB should be amended to one of those zones).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.17	The Rosanne Trust	Rezone all residential areas of Eastern Parnell either Mixed Housing Urban or Low Density Residential (i.e. the area of Eastern Parnell currently proposed to be zoned THAB should be amended to one of those zones).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.17	The Rosanne Trust	Rezone all residential areas of Eastern Parnell either Mixed Housing Urban or Low Density Residential (i.e. the area of Eastern Parnell currently proposed to be zoned THAB should be amended to one of those zones).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.18	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning of the land at 22 Glanville Terrace, 24-26 Glanville Terrace, 27 Glanville Terrace and 28 Glanville Terrace, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.18	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning of the land at 22 Glanville Terrace, 24-26 Glanville Terrace, 27 Glanville Terrace and 28 Glanville Terrace, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.18	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning of the land at 22 Glanville Terrace, 24-26 Glanville Terrace, 27 Glanville Terrace and 28 Glanville Terrace, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.18	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning of the land at 22 Glanville Terrace, 24-26 Glanville Terrace, 27 Glanville Terrace and 28 Glanville Terrace, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.18	The Rosanne Trust	Approve the Mixed Housing Urban zoning of the land at 22 Glanville Terrace, 24-26 Glanville Terrace, 27 Glanville Terrace and 28 Glanville Terrace, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.19	The Rosanne Trust	Remove 27 Glanville Terrace, Parnell, from Schedule 14.1 of the Auckland Unitary Plan. Or refine its existing scheduling by identifying: (i) Only the buildings shown in the plan attached as Annexure F to the submission as 'Primary Features'. These buildings are the principal two-storey 'H' shaped plan school building, and the long, narrow, single-storey timber building connected to the rear (south) of the main school building; and (ii) The rest of the extent of place ("EoP"), including the interior) as either 'Exclusions' or 'Neither'.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.19	The Rosanne Trust	Remove 27 Glanville Terrace, Parnell, from Schedule 14.1 of the Auckland Unitary Plan. Or refine its existing scheduling by identifying: (i) Only the buildings shown in the plan attached as Annexure F to the submission as 'Primary Features'. These buildings are the principal two-storey 'H' shaped plan school building, and the long, narrow, single-storey timber building connected to the rear (south) of the main school building; and (ii) The rest of the extent of place ("EoP"), including the interior) as either 'Exclusions' or 'Neither'.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.19	The Rosanne Trust	Remove 27 Glanville Terrace, Parnell, from Schedule 14.1 of the Auckland Unitary Plan. Or refine its existing scheduling by identifying: (i) Only the buildings shown in the plan attached as Annexure F to the submission as 'Primary Features'. These buildings are the principal two-storey 'H' shaped plan school building, and the long, narrow, single-storey timber building connected to the rear (south) of the main school building; and (ii) The rest of the extent of place ("EoP"), including the interior) as either 'Exclusions' or 'Neither'.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1762.19	The Rosanne Trust	Remove 27 Glanville Terrace, Parnell, from Schedule 14.1 of the Auckland Unitary Plan. Or refine its existing scheduling by identifying: (i) Only the buildings shown in the plan attached as Annexure F to the submission as 'Primary Features'. These buildings are the principal two-storey 'H' shaped plan school building, and the long, narrow, single-storey timber building connected to the rear (south) of the main school building; and (ii) The rest of the extent of place ("EoP"), including the interior) as either 'Exclusions' or 'Neither'.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.19	The Rosanne Trust	Remove 27 Glanville Terrace, Parnell, from Schedule 14.1 of the Auckland Unitary Plan. Or refine its existing scheduling by identifying: (i) Only the buildings shown in the plan attached as Annexure F to the submission as 'Primary Features'. These buildings are the principal two-storey 'H' shaped plan school building, and the long, narrow, single-storey timber building connected to the rear (south) of the main school building; and (ii) The rest of the extent of place ("EoP"), including the interior) as either 'Exclusions' or 'Neither'.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1762.20	The Rosanne Trust	See PC82: Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule	Other Plan Change	0	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1762.20	The Rosanne Trust	See PC82: Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule	Other Plan Change	0	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1762.20	The Rosanne Trust	See PC82: Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule	Other Plan Change	0	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.20	The Rosanne Trust	See PC82: Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule	Other Plan Change	0	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1762.20	The Rosanne Trust	See PC82: Amendments to Schedule 14 Historic Heritage Schedule	Other Plan Change	0	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1764.3	John Murray Hill	Council must accept that the intensification of St Marys Bay will be constrained by the capacity of the waste/stormwater infrastructure to protect out environment.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS174	Cassiny Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.1	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Approve the intent and outcomes of the plan change, particularly those provisions that seek to provide for intensification of development within a walkable catchment of centres and public transportation networks. Especially the concept of a '20-minute city', the idea that daily necessities can be accessed by walking or cycling within 20 minutes from one's home.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS474	Alastair Porter	support
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.2	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the walkable catchments (and the application of the intensification that relates to these catchments) for rapid transit stops to at least 1,200m, as this would go significantly further towards achieving a goal of a 20-Minute City than the currently identified 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.3	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Apply walkable catchments of 1,200m, and the associated intensification provisions that go with these catchments, to the land surrounding Morningside, Kingsland, Mount Eden and Onehunga Stations. Do not defer applying the intensification provisions to land within the Auckland Light Rail Corridor as notified for those parts of the city that are within 1,200m of existing train stations.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.4	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Residential Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Residential Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS185	Charles H Levin	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS420	Trevor Lund	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.5	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Refine the application of Special Character Areas Business Overlays to ensure that vacant land, even within those sites that are identified as having character defining or character supporting buildings within them, are not captured by the extent of the Special Character Area Business Overlays or otherwise exempted from the development controls relating to the Special Character Area Overlays.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.6	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of Treacy's Building at 505-519 Great North Road, Grey Lynn, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.6.1 Extent of Area – Business: Grey Lynn)	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.7	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 113-119 Parnell Road, Parnell, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.12.1 Extent of Area – Business: Parnell).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.8	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 273 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.9	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 267-271A Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.10	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 210-212 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.11	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 107 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps: 15.1.6.13.1 Extent of Area – Business: Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.12	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 167 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.13	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Reject the identification of 207-209 Symonds Street, Newton, as 'Character defining' and keep it identified as 'Character supporting'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.15.1 Extent of Area – Business: Upper Symonds Street).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.14	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 401B Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.15	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Accept the identification of 433 Richmond Road, West Lynn, to 'Character supporting', from 'Character defining', and further amend to identify as 'Non-Contributing'.(Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1765.16	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Amend the mapping of 1C Francis Street, West Lynn to exclude the southern corner to 'Non Contributing' as set out in Figure 1 in the submission. (Special Character Schedules, Statements and Maps:15.1.6.16.1 Extent of Area – Business: West Lynn).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1765.17	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Remove the Special Character Area Overlay – Business from the northern half of the block bounded by Ponsonby Road, Crummer Road, Maidstone Street and Great North Road, Ponsonby, and the consequential removal of the Height Variation Control from this location also. Alternatively, remove just the mapped extent of the Height Variation Control of 13m, so that Rule H10.6.1(1A) applies, noting that the height limit on the northern half of the block will be subject to Rule H10.6.3(1), which requires a 6m setback at 18m and above (due to the residential zoning across Ponsonby Road).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1766.1	Mac Manson	Rezone part of Benson Road, Remuera, including 100 Benson Road, 104 Benson Road, 106 Benson Road, 108 Benson Road, 112 Benson Road, 114 Benson Road, 116 Benson Road, 118 Benson Road, 105 Benson Road, 101 Benson Road, 97 Benson Road, 95 Benson Road, 93 Benson Road, 89 Benson Road, 87 Benson Road and 40 Lucerne Road to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1766.2	Mac Manson	Reinstate the Special Character Areas Residential overlay to part of Benson Road, Remuera, including 100 Benson Road, 104 Benson Road, 106 Benson Road, 108 Benson Road, 112 Benson Road, 114 Benson Road, 116 Benson Road, 118 Benson Road, 105 Benson Road, 101 Benson Road, 97 Benson Road, 95 Benson Road, 93 Benson Road, 89 Benson Road, 87 Benson Road and 40 Lucerne Road.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1768.1	Wenguang Liu	Remove flood plains as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1768.1	Wenguang Liu	Remove flood plains as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1769.9	Anne Perratt	Include Wellsford as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS332	Russell Don	oppose
1769.9	Anne Perratt	Include Wellsford as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS496	Ellper Holding Limited	oppose
1769.26	Anne Perratt	Include Snells Beach as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS471	HHWW Limited	oppose
1769.26	Anne Perratt	Include Snells Beach as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS500	The Kingsway Trust	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS328	Ellper Holdings Limited	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS331	The Kilns Limited	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS382	OGC2 Limited	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS473	Paul Culley and Annette Kann	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS495	Robertson Boats Limited and Conrad Robertson	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS497	Matakana 2020 Limited	oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS498	Brampton House Design Ltd	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1769.30	Anne Perratt	Include Warkworth as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS499	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	oppose
1769.45	Anne Perratt	Include Dairy Flat as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS270	Pioneer Investments Trust	Oppose
1769.47	Anne Perratt	Include Riverhead as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS375	Matvin Group Limited	oppose
1770.1	Sharyn Robertson	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1771.3	Terry Zeng	Remove coastal inundation as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support
1771.3	Terry Zeng	Remove coastal inundation as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS343	Waiwera Properties Limited	support
1771.3	Terry Zeng	Remove coastal inundation as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS351	Drive Holdings Limited	Support
1771.3	Terry Zeng	Remove coastal inundation as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1773.1	Stonemaids Limited	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay Residential and Business - Business Ponsonby on the north-eastern side of Maidstone Street, Grey Lynn, including 2 Crummer Road, 17 Maidstone Street, 13 Maidstone Street, 26 Ponsonby Road, 9 Maidstone Street, 7A Maidstone Street, 1-7 Maidstone Street, 1A Maidstone Street and 29-45 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1773.1	Stonemaids Limited	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay Residential and Business - Business Ponsonby on the north-eastern side of Maidstone Street, Grey Lynn, including 2 Crummer Road, 17 Maidstone Street, 13 Maidstone Street, 26 Ponsonby Road, 9 Maidstone Street, 7A Maidstone Street, 1-7 Maidstone Street, 1A Maidstone Street and 29-45 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1773.2	Stonemaids Limited	Impose a Height Variation Control of 27 metres on the north eastern side of Maidstone Street, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1773.2	Stonemaids Limited	Impose a Height Variation Control of 27 metres on the north eastern side of Maidstone Street, Ponsonby.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1774.3	KMB Construction Ltd	Delete all the provisions from within the Mixed Housing Urban Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay including; a.Objective H5.2.9 b.Policy H5.3.15 c.Table H5.4.1(A2A) d.Table H5.4.1(A2B) e.H5.6.10(2) f.H5.7.1 Matters for Control g.H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS187	Orewa Development	support
1774.3	KMB Construction Ltd	Delete all the provisions from within the Mixed Housing Urban Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay including; a.Objective H5.2.9 b.Policy H5.3.15 c.Table H5.4.1(A2A) d.Table H5.4.1(A2B) e.H5.6.10(2) f.H5.7.1 Matters for Control g.H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1774.3	KMB Construction Ltd	Delete all the provisions from within the Mixed Housing Urban Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay including; a.Objective H5.2.9 b.Policy H5.3.15 c.Table H5.4.1(A2A) d.Table H5.4.1(A2B) e.H5.6.10(2) f.H5.7.1 Matters for Control g.H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
1774.3	KMB Construction Ltd	Delete all the provisions from within the Mixed Housing Urban Zone or any other zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay including; a.Objective H5.2.9 b.Policy H5.3.15 c.Table H5.4.1(A2A) d.Table H5.4.1(A2B) e.H5.6.10(2) f.H5.7.1 Matters for Control g.H5.7.2 Assessment Criteria	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1776.1	Arkcon Limited	Approve THAB zone on the site at 67 Tahapa Crescent, Meadowbank..	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1776.6	Arkcon Limited	Delete all provisions (objectives and policies, activity rules, standards and assessment criteria) within the THAB Zone concerning sites subject to the significant ecological area overlay.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1776.14	Arkcon Limited	Delete THAB Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1776.14	Arkcon Limited	Delete THAB Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1776.16	Arkcon Limited	Delete THAB Standard H6.6.22 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
1777.1	Jennifer Maher	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1777.1	Jennifer Maher	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1777.2	Jennifer Maher	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1777.2	Jennifer Maher	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1777.3	Jennifer Maher	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1777.3	Jennifer Maher	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1777.4	Jennifer Maher	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1777.4	Jennifer Maher	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1778.1	Bledisloe Property Group Limited	Include properties on Clemow Drive, Mount Wellington, within a walkable catchment as notified, and therefore benefiting from an uplift in height to 21m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support in
1778.2	Bledisloe Property Group Limited	Extend the proposed 27m special height control that applies to land north of Te Ahoterangi Rise and further afield on the south-western side of Mt Wellington Highway (Pacific Rise) to include the land bound by Carbine Road, Clemow Drive and the rail corridor.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS184	Kiwi Property Group	support in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1780.1	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban zone for the following properties (See also Figure 1 in the submission): 18 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 32 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 86 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 275 Redhills Road, Taupaki, 285 Redhills Road, Taupaki. and 319 Redhills Road, Taupaki.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1780.1	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban zone for the following properties (See also Figure 1 in the submission): 18 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 32 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 86 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 275 Redhills Road, Taupaki, 285 Redhills Road, Taupaki. and 319 Redhills Road, Taupaki.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	support
1780.1	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban zone for the following properties (See also Figure 1 in the submission): 18 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 32 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 86 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 275 Redhills Road, Taupaki, 285 Redhills Road, Taupaki. and 319 Redhills Road, Taupaki.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1780.1	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Retain the Mixed Housing Urban zone for the following properties (See also Figure 1 in the submission): 18 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 32 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 86 Henwood Road, Taupaki, 275 Redhills Road, Taupaki, 285 Redhills Road, Taupaki. and 319 Redhills Road, Taupaki.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1780.2	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Do not make flooding a qualifying matter, other than significant flood hazards as set out in the Council's Section 32 analysis.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1780.4	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Do not identify the Redhills precinct as a qualifying matter that adversely impacts the ability to develop under MDRS with immediate legal effect, especially since the Precinct will continue to be applied, and consents sought accordingly.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1780.4	Excel Bloom Limited, Chun Sum Lam, Excel Billion Limited, Excel Wealth Limited, 285 Red Hill Limited, Fong's Investment Limited	Do not identify the Redhills precinct as a qualifying matter that adversely impacts the ability to develop under MDRS with immediate legal effect, especially since the Precinct will continue to be applied, and consents sought accordingly.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I610 Redhills Precinct	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	support
1781.1	Build Rich Limited	Seeks no changes to the remainder of the General Business zoned land within both Silverdale 2 and 3 Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I537 Silverdale 3 Precinct	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1781.1	Build Rich Limited	Seeks no changes to the remainder of the General Business zoned land within both Silverdale 2 and 3 Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I537 Silverdale 3 Precinct	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1781.1	Build Rich Limited	Seeks no changes to the remainder of the General Business zoned land within both Silverdale 2 and 3 Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I537 Silverdale 3 Precinct	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1781.9	Build Rich Limited	Flooding, other than significant flood hazards as set out in Council's section 32 analysis, should not be listed as a qualifying matter that adversely impacts the implementation of MDRS.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1782.7	Mansons TCLM	Change the maximum height for 47-49 George street, Newmarket to 55 metres (further detail provided in submission Figure 1).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS12	Southpark	Support
1782.9	Mansons TCLM	Delete the FAR and MTFAR controls that apply to Wynyard Quarter and increase the maximum height standard to 52 metres.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS252	Eke Panuku Develop	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1782.9	Mansons TCLM	Delete the FAR and MTFAR controls that apply to Wynyard Quarter and increase the maximum height standard to 52 metres.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS274	Sanford Limited	Support
1782.9	Mansons TCLM	Delete the FAR and MTFAR controls that apply to Wynyard Quarter and increase the maximum height standard to 52 metres.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS518	Wynyard Quarter Residents Association Incorporated	oppose
1783.1	Urban Partners Housing Limited	Rezone Meadowbank Local Centre to a Town Centre zone.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Meadowbank Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
1783.2	Urban Partners Housing Limited	Implement a walkable catchment for the Meadowbank Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
1784.2	John Arnot Williamson McConnell	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1784.3	John Arnot Williamson McConnell	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1784.7	John Arnot Williamson McConnell	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1787.1	Bill Patterson, Ken Wickenden and Richard Wilburn	Rezone the properties at 1 Churton Street, 3 Churton Street, 23 Earle Street, 25 Earle Street, 6 Bradford Street, 8 Bradford Street, 10 Bradford Street, 12 Bradford Street, 14 Bradford Street, 16 Bradford Street, 18 Bradford Street, 20 Bradford Street, 5 Bradford Street, 7 Bradford Street, 9 Bradford Street, 11 Bradford Street, 13 Bradford Street, 15 Bradford Street and 10 Garfield Street, Parnell to THAB zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
1787.1	Bill Patterson, Ken Wickenden and Richard Wilburn	Rezone the properties at 1 Churton Street, 3 Churton Street, 23 Earle Street, 25 Earle Street, 6 Bradford Street, 8 Bradford Street, 10 Bradford Street, 12 Bradford Street, 14 Bradford Street, 16 Bradford Street, 18 Bradford Street, 20 Bradford Street, 5 Bradford Street, 7 Bradford Street, 9 Bradford Street, 11 Bradford Street, 13 Bradford Street, 15 Bradford Street and 10 Garfield Street, Parnell to THAB zone.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS280	Stephanie Radcliffe	Support
1787.2	Bill Patterson, Ken Wickenden and Richard Wilburn	Delete the special Character Area Overlay and Combined Wastewater Network Control from 1 Churton Street, 3 Churton Street, 23 Earle Street, 25 Earle Street, 6 Bradford Street, 8 Bradford Street, 10 Bradford Street, 12 Bradford Street, 14 Bradford Street, 16 Bradford Street, 18 Bradford Street, 20 Bradford Street, 5 Bradford Street, 7 Bradford Street, 9 Bradford Street, 11 Bradford Street, 13 Bradford Street, 15 Bradford Street and 10 Garfield Street, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
1787.2	Bill Patterson, Ken Wickenden and Richard Wilburn	Delete the special Character Area Overlay and Combined Wastewater Network Control from 1 Churton Street, 3 Churton Street, 23 Earle Street, 25 Earle Street, 6 Bradford Street, 8 Bradford Street, 10 Bradford Street, 12 Bradford Street, 14 Bradford Street, 16 Bradford Street, 18 Bradford Street, 20 Bradford Street, 5 Bradford Street, 7 Bradford Street, 9 Bradford Street, 11 Bradford Street, 13 Bradford Street, 15 Bradford Street and 10 Garfield Street, Parnell.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS280	Stephanie Radcliffe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1787.3	Bill Patterson, Ken Wickenden and Richard Wilburn	Remove all Council imposed qualifying matters from 1 Churton Street, 3 Churton Street, 23 Earle Street, 25 Earle Street, 6 Bradford Street, 8 Bradford Street, 10 Bradford Street, 12 Bradford Street, 14 Bradford Street, 16 Bradford Street, 18 Bradford Street, 20 Bradford Street, 5 Bradford Street, 7 Bradford Street, 9 Bradford Street, 11 Bradford Street, 13 Bradford Street, 15 Bradford Street and 10 Garfield Street, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
1787.3	Bill Patterson, Ken Wickenden and Richard Wilburn	Remove all Council imposed qualifying matters from 1 Churton Street, 3 Churton Street, 23 Earle Street, 25 Earle Street, 6 Bradford Street, 8 Bradford Street, 10 Bradford Street, 12 Bradford Street, 14 Bradford Street, 16 Bradford Street, 18 Bradford Street, 20 Bradford Street, 5 Bradford Street, 7 Bradford Street, 9 Bradford Street, 11 Bradford Street, 13 Bradford Street, 15 Bradford Street and 10 Garfield Street, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS280	Stephanie Radcliffe	Support
1791.1	26 Aroha Apartments	Raise height within the walkable catchment [inferred of Town Centres] to 23 metres.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1791.1	26 Aroha Apartments	Raise height within the walkable catchment [inferred of Town Centres] to 23 metres.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1791.1	26 Aroha Apartments	Raise height within the walkable catchment [inferred of Town Centres] to 23 metres.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1792.2	Cameron Wallace	Do not apply the Low Density Residential Zone in areas identified as falling within a Residential Special Character Area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1795.1	Delilah McIntyre	Remove the Coastal Erosion qualifying matter from 8 Church Street, Ōtāhuhu.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1801.3	Porteous Properties Limited	Remove the Flood Plains QM from 174 Pook Road, Ranui.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1801.3	Porteous Properties Limited	Remove the Flood Plains QM from 174 Pook Road, Ranui.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1801.4	Porteous Properties Limited	Remove the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM from 174 Pook Road, Ranui.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1803.4	The One Longhorn Limited	Reject the inclusion of Precincts as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1803.6	The One Longhorn Limited	Reject the inclusion of natural hazards, in particular flood plains as a qualifying matter and rely on the existing AUP standards to manage intensification in these areas.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1803.6	The One Longhorn Limited	Reject the inclusion of natural hazards, in particular flood plains as a qualifying matter and rely on the existing AUP standards to manage intensification in these areas.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	support
1804.1	Tim Scheirlinck	Apply the Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM consistently over an entire catchment or area, not targeted properties.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1806.1	Chris Norris	Add a Transportation related qualifying matter at Matija Place, Red Beach as appropriate for development to be limited and resource consent application take in account infrastructure related constraints. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.2	Chris Norris	Rezone to Single House zone (SHZ) for Red Beach, Orewa, Silverdale and the Hibiscus Coast and in particular Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.3	Chris Norris	Reject the Mixed Housing Urban zone for sites in Matija Place, Red Beach. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.4	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that resource consent applications can be declined when there is insufficient servicing capacity, or servicing options are proposed that are suboptimal. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.5	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that the density of development is appropriate for the site, including having regard to development on adjacent sites (including character and amenity effects experienced on adjacent sites) and infrastructure capacity. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.6	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objective, policies, rules and criteria so that all residential developments must be required to have close regard to the interface with existing residential development on adjoining sites as detailed on page 5 and 6 of the submission. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.7	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that landscaping on-site is maximised, to improve amenity both on site and on those adjoining. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.8	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that applications, including those that do not provide a suitable interface with adjoining sites, can be notified and that affected parties can become involved in the resource consent process. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.9	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are identified for waste and recycling storage and on-site collection. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.10	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria to ensure that potential effects on adjacent residential sites are avoided, remedied or mitigated and the owners/ occupiers of adjoining sites can become involved in resource consent applications so that waste and recycling areas are screened from adjoining sites and in larger development with communal waste storage areas, that waste is managed to control odour. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.11	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that adequate areas are provided on-site for delivery vehicles, parking and visitors to remove or minimise the need for on- street parking. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.12	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so that there is provision for on-going management of communal areas (including access, parking and landscaped areas) and services on sites, such as a body corporate or similar. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.13	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.14	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.14	Chris Norris	Amend and strengthen [if the retention of SHZ zone is not possible] objectives, policies, rules and criteria so there is requiring upgrading of infrastructure, including roads and footpaths, where the existing services are inadequate and further loading is proposed by a development. [Inferred to include 1 Matija Place, 7 Matija Place, 17 Matija Place, 19 Matija Place, 21 Matija Place, 22 Matija Place, 23 Matija Place, 23A Matija Place, 24 Matija Place, 26 Matija Place, 28 Matija Place, 30 Matija Place, 32 Matija Place, 34 Matija Place, 36 Matija Place, 38 Matija Place, 40 Matija Place, 42 Matija Place, 44 Matija Place, 46 Matija Place, 48 Matija Place, 50 Matija Place, 52 Matija Place, 56 Matija Place, 58 Matija Place, 60 Matija Place, 62 Matija Place, 66 Matija Place, 68 Matija Place, 70 Matija Place, 78 Matija Place, 86 Matija Place, 65 Matija Place, 67 Matija Place, 69 Matija Place, 71 Matija Place, 73 Matija Place, 75 Matija Place, 25 Matija Place, 27 Matija Place, 77 Matija Place, 2 Miran Lane, 4 Miran Lane, 6 Miran Lane, 8 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane, 10 Miran Lane and 12 Miran Lane].	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1806.15	Chris Norris	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Oppose
1806.15	Chris Norris	Approve the inclusion of Natural hazards as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.16	Chris Norris	Reword Objective E38.2(10)(d) to "Avoid subdivision that cannot be appropriately serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks".	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.17	Chris Norris	Approve Objective E38.2(10)(e).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.18	Chris Norris	Approve Objective E38.2(11).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.19	Chris Norris	Insert the following in policy E38.3(13) referencing a qualifying matter to control density and site sizes: (d) Taking into account a Qualifying Matter affecting the site, noting that this may result in larger sites or fewer sites (lower yield) than may otherwise be usually anticipated in that particular zone.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.20	Chris Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(31).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.21	Chris Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(32).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.22	Chris Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(33)(c).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.23	Chris Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(34).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.24	Chris Norris	Approve Policy E38.3(35).	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.25	Chris Norris	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29A)-sites subject to Infrastructure stormwater disposals constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.26	Chris Norris	Approve Table E38.4.2, row (A29B)-sites subject to Infrastructure Combined wastewater network control and/or the Infrastructure-Water and wastewater constraints control QM.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.27	Chris Norris	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2A), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.28	Chris Norris	Reject Notification clause E38.5(2B), as this type of development should be subject to the standard test for notification.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.29	Chris Norris	Amend Standard E38.6.3 Services to refer to appropriate services or words to that effect.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.30	Chris Norris	Amend E38.8.2.8 (2) to read: "The technical report must demonstrate: a) That infrastructure and servicing can be achieved. b) The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; c) The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; d) The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; e) The potential effects of the proposed servicing; f) Proposed long term management of the system/s."	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.31	Chris Norris	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.31	Chris Norris	Approve Standard E38.8.2.9 Subdivision of sites in areas identified on the planning maps as being subject to the Infrastructure – Beachlands Transport Constraints Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS222	New Avenues No. 8 LP	oppose
1806.32	Chris Norris	Approve E38.11.1(2) Matters of Control.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.33	Chris Norris	Approve E38.11.2(2) Assessment Criteria - All controlled activities in Table E38.4.2...	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.34	Chris Norris	Approve E38.12.1(10) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.35	Chris Norris	Approve E38.12.1(11) Matters of discretion.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.36	Chris Norris	Approve E38.12.2(10) Assessment criteria required in stormwater QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.37	Chris Norris	Approve E38.12.2(11) Assessment criteria required in Combined Wastewater Network Control or the Infrastructure – Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control QM areas.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.38	Chris Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.39	Chris Norris	Amend Objective H5.2(B1) to read: "...(b) The neighbourhood planned urban built character, including 3-story buildings, unless the site is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.40	Chris Norris	Amend Objective H5.2(11) to read: Land near the Business – Metropolitan Centre Zone and the Business – Town Centre Zone, high-density residential areas and close to the public transport network is efficiently used for higher density residential living and to provide urban living that increases housing capacity and choice and access to public transport, unless it is affected by a Qualifying Matter in which case the development density must take into account the related constraint.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.41	Chris Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(3), in particular where it refers to high quality amenity in relation to adjacent sites and the street.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.42	Chris Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.43	Chris Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.44	Chris Norris	Amend Objective H5.2(7) to read: "Development that cannot be appropriately serviced for water supply, wastewater or stormwater is avoided."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.45	Chris Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.46	Chris Norris	Approve Objective H5.2(10).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.47	Chris Norris	Approve Policy 5.3(B1) wording "...except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.48	Chris Norris	Amend Policy H5.3(C1) to read: "Encourage Require development to achieve attractive and safe streets and public open spaces, including by providing for passive surveillance."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.49	Chris Norris	Amend Policy H5.3(E1) to read: Provide for developments not meeting permitted activity status, while encouraging high-quality developments "Require developments to achieve a high-quality environment."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.50	Chris Norris	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) to include: ...(j) Establishing landscaping (vegetation) to create quality on- site amenity and soften the interface between the site and adjoining residential sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.51	Chris Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(12).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.52	Chris Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(13).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.53	Chris Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(14).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.54	Chris Norris	Approve Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.55	Chris Norris	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14B) where one dwelling on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a permitted activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.56	Chris Norris	Amend Table H5.4.1 to introduce "Standards to be complied with". The section should include reference to standards H5.6.3 - H5.6.21 and the new standard sought with respect to the wastewater and/or wastewater constraints control to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed, and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.57	Chris Norris	Approve Table H5.4.1-Row (A14C) where two or more dwellings on a site in the water and/or wastewater constraints control QM being a restricted discretionary activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.58	Chris Norris	Insert in Table H5.4.1 a new row (A14D) to reflect the QM applied to Matija Place, Red Beach: "Two or more dwellings per site in the Matija Place Transportation Qualifying Matter Control"- Restricted Discretionary Activity."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.59	Chris Norris	Reject Notification H5.5(5) which precludes limited or full notification for a development of four or more dwellings, irrespective of meeting standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.60	Chris Norris	Approve Notification H5.5(6) where development is subject to the normal tests for notification.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.61	Chris Norris	Introduce specific Water and/or Wastewater Constraints Control standard to ensure that servicing is appropriate for the site, area and development proposed and that there is sufficient capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.62	Chris Norris	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard and the removal of consideration of boundaries adjoining lower zones [inferred standard H5.6.5(2)(b)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.63	Chris Norris	Reject H5.6.5(4) Height in relation to boundary standard where the HIRB should apply from the site boundary, not right of way/access lot boundaries.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.64	Chris Norris	Reject the minimum yard requirements in Table H5.6.8.1.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.65	Chris Norris	Amend the "Yard" definition to reduce the length of eaves that can encroach into yard setbacks.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.66	Chris Norris	Amend H5.11(5)-Landscaped Area to read: The minimum landscaped area must be at least 35 percent of the net site area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.67	Chris Norris	Approve the H5.6.12-Outlook Space standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.68	Chris Norris	Amend H5.6.14(4)-Communal Outdoor Living Space to read: (h) Is managed and maintained by a body corporate or similar legal entity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.69	Chris Norris	Amend H5.6.21 Residential waste management to read: H5.6.21(6) A waste management and minimisation plan must be provided and implemented for 10 or more dwellings, and this must include details of how odour will be controlled.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.70	Chris Norris	Reject the deletion of the word "intensity" from Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2)(a)(i). Amend H5.8.1 Matters of discretion 5.8.1(2) for four or more dwellings per site: (a)(i): (i) building intensity, scale and location, including:	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.71	Chris Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(A)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.72	Chris Norris	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(a)(i)(B) to read: "the extent to which the height, roof form and design of buildings respond to the local streetscape, adjacent sites, ..."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.73	Chris Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(ai) [inferred (ia)].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1806.74	Chris Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(c).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.75	Chris Norris	Amend Matters of discretion H5.8.1(2)(d) to read: "the effects of the development on the safe access for pedestrians and other transportation users on the adjacent road network."	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.76	Chris Norris	Approve Matters of discretion H5.8.1(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.77	Chris Norris	Amend Assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ab) by adding: (ix) Placing lower buildings adjacent to residential dwellings on adjoining sites.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.78	Chris Norris	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ac).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.79	Chris Norris	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(ae).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.80	Chris Norris	Approve assessment criteria H5.8.2(2)(af).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.81	Chris Norris	Insert new Assessment Criteria related to H.8.1(6) (More than one dwelling in Waste and Wastewater Constraints Control). Insert the following or words to same effect: I. The method and capacity of water, wastewater and water servicing for the development; II. The durability and maintenance required for the proposed system/s; III. The appropriateness of the proposed servicing for the nature and scale of the development; IV. The potential effects of the proposed servicing; V. Proposed long term management of the system/s.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1806.82	Chris Norris	Approve the definition of "landscaped area."	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS34	Hannah Thomson and Colin Thomson	Support
1808.2	Richard Donaldson	Remove from 29 Shelly Bay Road (Lot 1 DP19656) and the surrounding properties, Beachlands the Flood Plain QM [inferred to include 31 Shelley Bay Road, 33 Shelley Bay Road, 35 Shelley Bay Road, 26 Shelley Bay Road, 28 Shelley Bay Road and 30 Shelley Bay Road].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1808.2	Richard Donaldson	Remove from 29 Shelly Bay Road (Lot 1 DP19656) and the surrounding properties, Beachlands the Flood Plain QM [inferred to include 31 Shelley Bay Road, 33 Shelley Bay Road, 35 Shelley Bay Road, 26 Shelley Bay Road, 28 Shelley Bay Road and 30 Shelley Bay Road].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1809.4	M & K Atkins Limited	[inferred] Remove the Flood Plain QM from the following properties: 192 Henderson Valley Road, 194 Henderson Valley Road, 196 Henderson Valley Road, 198 Henderson Valley Road, 200 Henderson Valley Road and 200A Henderson Valley Road, Henderson].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1809.4	M & K Atkins Limited	[inferred] Remove the Flood Plain QM from the following properties: 192 Henderson Valley Road, 194 Henderson Valley Road, 196 Henderson Valley Road, 198 Henderson Valley Road, 200 Henderson Valley Road and 200A Henderson Valley Road, Henderson].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1811.1	Dilworth Trust Board	Delete the proposed amendments to Chapter D14 which seek to insert and support proposed standards for building coverage, landscaped area, yards, and earthworks within the Height Sensitive Area overlay, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All amendments to the name of ‘Height Sensitive Area’ to ‘Height and Building Sensitive Area’; • Policy D14.3(5A); • Rules D14.4.1 (A7A), (A7B), (A7C) and (A7D); • Standard D14.6.5; • Standard D14.6.6; • Standard D14.6.7; • Matters of discretion at D14.8.1(2); and • Assessment criteria at D14.8.2(2). 	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1811.1	Dilworth Trust Board	Delete the proposed amendments to Chapter D14 which seek to insert and support proposed standards for building coverage, landscaped area, yards, and earthworks within the Height Sensitive Area overlay, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All amendments to the name of ‘Height Sensitive Area’ to ‘Height and Building Sensitive Area’; • Policy D14.3(5A); • Rules D14.4.1 (A7A), (A7B), (A7C) and (A7D); • Standard D14.6.5; • Standard D14.6.6; • Standard D14.6.7; • Matters of discretion at D14.8.1(2); and • Assessment criteria at D14.8.2(2). 	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1811.1	Dilworth Trust Board	Delete the proposed amendments to Chapter D14 which seek to insert and support proposed standards for building coverage, landscaped area, yards, and earthworks within the Height Sensitive Area overlay, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All amendments to the name of ‘Height Sensitive Area’ to ‘Height and Building Sensitive Area’; • Policy D14.3(5A); • Rules D14.4.1 (A7A), (A7B), (A7C) and (A7D); • Standard D14.6.5; • Standard D14.6.6; • Standard D14.6.7; • Matters of discretion at D14.8.1(2); and • Assessment criteria at D14.8.2(2). 	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1811.2	Dilworth Trust Board	Approve the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone for the following properties: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 13 Dilworth Avenue; • 15 Dilworth Avenue 	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1811.2	Dilworth Trust Board	Approve the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone for the following properties: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 13 Dilworth Avenue; • 15 Dilworth Avenue 	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1811.3	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties along Great South Road, Mauranui Ave, Remuera. Refer to Appendix 2 of the submission for property address and legal descriptions. Properties affected: 82 Great South Road, 80 Great South Road, 74 Great South Road, 72 Great South Road, 70 Great South Road, 66 Great South Road, 64 Great South Road, 62 Great South Road, 58 Great South Road, 50 Great South Road, 48 Great South Road, 9-11 Mauranui Avenue, 19 Mauranui Avenue, 21 Mauranui Avenue, 23 Mauranui Avenue, 27A-D Mauranui Avenue, 29 Mauranui Avenue, 31 Mauranui Avenue, Remuera	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1811.3	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties along Great South Road, Mauranui Ave, Remuera. Refer to Appendix 2 of the submission for property address and legal descriptions. Properties affected: 82 Great South Road, 80 Great South Road, 74 Great South Road, 72 Great South Road, 70 Great South Road, 66 Great South Road, 64 Great South Road, 62 Great South Road, 58 Great South Road, 50 Great South Road, 48 Great South Road, 9-11 Mauranui Avenue, 19 Mauranui Avenue, 21 Mauranui Avenue, 23 Mauranui Avenue, 27A-D Mauranui Avenue, 29 Mauranui Avenue, 31 Mauranui Avenue, Remuera	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1811.4	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties: 11-15 Great South Road, 17 Great South Road, 19 Great South Road and 21 Great South Road, Remuera. Refer to Appendix 3 of the submission for property addresses and legal descriptions.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1811.4	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties: 11-15 Great South Road, 17 Great South Road, 19 Great South Road and 21 Great South Road, Remuera. Refer to Appendix 3 of the submission for property addresses and legal descriptions.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1811.5	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties in the College Hill, Ponsonby and Freemans Bay areas, as shown in Appendix 4 to the submission. Properties affected are: 25 College Hill, 27 College, 33 College Hill, 41 College Hill, 43 College Hill; 3-11 New Street; 31-35 Hargreaves Street, 29 Hargreaves Street, 27 Hargreaves Street, 25 Hargreaves Street, 23 Hargreaves Street, 21 Hargreaves Street, 19 Hargreaves Street, 19A Hargreaves Street, 17 Hargreaves Street, 15 Hargreaves Street, 13 Hargreaves Street, 11 Hargreaves Street, 9 Hargreaves Street, 5-7 Hargreaves Street, 3 Hargreaves Street, 2-4 Hargreaves Street; 1 Beaumont Street, 10 Beaumont Street, 20 Beaumont Street, 98 Beaumont Street; 16 Fisher-Point Drive, 17-71 Fisher Point Drive, 27-53 Fisher-Point Drive, 32-60 Fisher-Point Drive, 55-61 Fisher-Point Drive, 62-98 Fisher-Point Drive; 2-26 Telpher Street; 1-8 Brickfield Way, 9-10 Brickfield Way; 3 College Hill, 5 College Hill, 7 College Hill, 13-15 College Hill.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS121	College Hill Investments Ltd.	Support
1811.5	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties in the College Hill, Ponsonby and Freemans Bay areas, as shown in Appendix 4 to the submission. Properties affected are: 25 College Hill, 27 College, 33 College Hill, 41 College Hill, 43 College Hill; 3-11 New Street; 31-35 Hargreaves Street, 29 Hargreaves Street, 27 Hargreaves Street, 25 Hargreaves Street, 23 Hargreaves Street, 21 Hargreaves Street, 19 Hargreaves Street, 19A Hargreaves Street, 17 Hargreaves Street, 15 Hargreaves Street, 13 Hargreaves Street, 11 Hargreaves Street, 9 Hargreaves Street, 5-7 Hargreaves Street, 3 Hargreaves Street, 2-4 Hargreaves Street; 1 Beaumont Street, 10 Beaumont Street, 20 Beaumont Street, 98 Beaumont Street; 16 Fisher-Point Drive, 17-71 Fisher Point Drive, 27-53 Fisher-Point Drive, 32-60 Fisher-Point Drive, 55-61 Fisher-Point Drive, 62-98 Fisher-Point Drive; 2-26 Telpher Street; 1-8 Brickfield Way, 9-10 Brickfield Way; 3 College Hill, 5 College Hill, 7 College Hill, 13-15 College Hill.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1811.5	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties in the College Hill, Ponsonby and Freemans Bay areas, as shown in Appendix 4 to the submission. Properties affected are: 25 College Hill, 27 College, 33 College Hill, 41 College Hill, 43 College Hill; 3-11 New Street; 31-35 Hargreaves Street, 29 Hargreaves Street, 27 Hargreaves Street, 25 Hargreaves Street, 23 Hargreaves Street, 21 Hargreaves Street, 19 Hargreaves Street, 19A Hargreaves Street, 17 Hargreaves Street, 15 Hargreaves Street, 13 Hargreaves Street, 11 Hargreaves Street, 9 Hargreaves Street, 5-7 Hargreaves Street, 3 Hargreaves Street, 2-4 Hargreaves Street; 1 Beaumont Street, 10 Beaumont Street, 20 Beaumont Street, 98 Beaumont Street; 16 Fisher-Point Drive, 17-71 Fisher Point Drive, 27-53 Fisher-Point Drive, 32-60 Fisher-Point Drive, 55-61 Fisher-Point Drive, 62-98 Fisher-Point Drive; 2-26 Telpher Street; 1-8 Brickfield Way, 9-10 Brickfield Way; 3 College Hill, 5 College Hill, 7 College Hill, 13-15 College Hill.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1811.6	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties along Great North Road in Grey Lynn: Refer to Appendix 5 of the submission for property addresses and legal descriptions. Properties affected are: 1 Grosvenor Street and 299 Great North Road, 285 Great North Road, 271 Great North Road, 265 Great North Road, 255 Great North Road, 259 Great North Road, 253 Great North Road, 247 Great North Road, 243 Great North Road, 2 Arika Street, 219-223 Great North Road, 217 Great North Road, 199 Great North Road, 1 Turakina Street, 175-179 Great North Road, 171 Great North Road, 167-169 Great North Road, 159 Great North Road, 137 Great North Road, 147-153 Great North Road, 174 Great North Road, 7-9 Kirk Street, 194 Great North Road, 196 Great North Road, 3 Dean Street, 204-234 Great North Road, 238 Great North Road, 246 Great North Road, 248 Great North Road, 252 Great North Road, 258 Great North Road, 264-270 Great North Road, 2 King Street, 6 King Street, 31 Dean Street, 29 Dean Street, 27 Dean Street, 23-25 Dean Street, 272-302 Great North, 308-310 Great North Road, 4 Bond Street, Grey Lynn.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
1811.6	Dilworth Trust Board	Apply a Height Variation Control to enable building height of at least 35m to properties along Great North Road in Grey Lynn: Refer to Appendix 5 of the submission for property addresses and legal descriptions. Properties affected are: 1 Grosvenor Street and 299 Great North Road, 285 Great North Road, 271 Great North Road, 265 Great North Road, 255 Great North Road, 259 Great North Road, 253 Great North Road, 247 Great North Road, 243 Great North Road, 2 Arika Street, 219-223 Great North Road, 217 Great North Road, 199 Great North Road, 1 Turakina Street, 175-179 Great North Road, 171 Great North Road, 167-169 Great North Road, 159 Great North Road, 137 Great North Road, 147-153 Great North Road, 174 Great North Road, 7-9 Kirk Street, 194 Great North Road, 196 Great North Road, 3 Dean Street, 204-234 Great North Road, 238 Great North Road, 246 Great North Road, 248 Great North Road, 252 Great North Road, 258 Great North Road, 264-270 Great North Road, 2 King Street, 6 King Street, 31 Dean Street, 29 Dean Street, 27 Dean Street, 23-25 Dean Street, 272-302 Great North, 308-310 Great North Road, 4 Bond Street, Grey Lynn.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
1812.1	Emerald Group Limited	Include the proposed alternative building height rule to the THAB zone to enable high-intensity housing for sites around metropolitan centres, with particular reference to Takapuna, being: "Where a site(s) zoned THAB is adjacent or opposite a Metro Centre zoned site then the height limit will be the height limit of the zone, any height variation control or the special or a height limit determined by using a sites height limit and a 60-degree recession plane measured from the site frontage ground level to a maximum height of 42m. This shall only apply for the first 30m of any site(s) frontage."	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS470	Brent McCarty, Philip Moller, Terence Pullen, Doug Walsh, Sir Peter Maire, Eric Faesen Kloet, Graig Heatley	support
1812.2	Emerald Group Limited	Include the proposed alternative building height rule to the THAB zone to enable high-intensity housing for sites around metropolitan centres, with particular reference to Takapuna, being: "Where a site(s) zoned THAB is adjacent or opposite a Metro Centre zoned site then the height limit will be the height limit of the zone, any height variation control or the special or a height limit determined by using a sites height limit and a 60-degree recession plane measured from the site frontage ground level to a maximum height of 42m. This shall only apply for the first 30m of any site(s) frontage."	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS470	Brent McCarty, Philip Moller, Terence Pullen, Doug Walsh, Sir Peter Maire, Eric Faesen Kloet, Graig Heatley	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1812.3	Emerald Group Limited	<p>Include the proposed alternative building height rule to the THAB zone chapter to enable high-intensity housing for sites around metropolitan centre(s), being: “Where a site(s) zoned THAB is adjacent or opposite a Metro Centre zoned site then the height limit will be the height limit of the zone, any height variation control or the special or a height limit determined by using a sites height limit and a 60-degree recession plane measured from the site frontage ground level to a maximum height of 42m. This shall only apply for the first 30m of any site(s) frontage.”</p> <p>In the event that this rule does not meet with universal acceptance around other Metro Centre zoned sites then we request it applies to the area around Takapuna Metro Centre Zone.</p>	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS470	Brent McCarty, Philip Moller, Terence Pullen, Doug Walsh, Sir Peter Maire, Eric Faesen Kloet, Graig Heatley	support
1812.4	Emerald Group Limited	<p>Include the proposed alternative building height rule to the THAB zone chapter to enable high-intensity housing for sites around metropolitan centre(s), being: “Where a site(s) zoned THAB is adjacent or opposite a Metro Centre zoned site then the height limit will be the height limit of the zone, any height variation control or the special or a height limit determined by using a sites height limit and a 60-degree recession plane measured from the site frontage ground level to a maximum height of 42m. This shall only apply for the first 30m of any site(s) frontage.”</p> <p>In the event that this rule does not meet with universal acceptance around other Metro Centre zoned sites then we request it applies to the area around Takapuna Metro Centre Zone.</p>	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS470	Brent McCarty, Philip Moller, Terence Pullen, Doug Walsh, Sir Peter Maire, Eric Faesen Kloet, Graig Heatley	support
1812.5	Emerald Group Limited	<p>Apply a height limit of 35m to an area at the corner of Hurstmere Road and The Promenade, Takapuna and outlined in the map attached to the submission (page 7), in the event that the other requests regarding 'height' for the THAB zone adjoining Metro centres, or Takapuna, are not adopted: Properties affected are:- 177 Hurstmere Road, 185 Hurstmere Road, 16 The Promenade, 18 The Promenade, Takapuna.</p>	Height	Residential Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS470	Brent McCarty, Philip Moller, Terence Pullen, Doug Walsh, Sir Peter Maire, Eric Faesen Kloet, Graig Heatley	support
1813.2	Henry Temple and Paris Mitchell Temple	<p>Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1813.3	Henry Temple and Paris Mitchell Temple	<p>Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1813.7	Henry Temple and Paris Mitchell Temple	<p>Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].</p>	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1814.3	Ian Peter Cassidy	<p>Accept the qualifying matters identified by the Council such as Viewshafts, historic heritage and Notable Trees.</p>	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1814.3	Ian Peter Cassidy	Accept the qualifying matters identified by the Council such as Viewshafts, historic heritage and Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1814.5	Ian Peter Cassidy	Use precincts more widely as a QM.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1816.1	Kelven Yu	Approve the plan change including for areas such as Arney Road, Remuera.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in
1818.1	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	Extend the walking catchment from the Remuera train station (Market Road) to the start of the Remuera shops including 294 and 296 Remuera Road, Remuera: Other sites affected:- 272 Remuera Road, 272A Remuera Road, 274 Remuera Road, 274A Remuera Road, 276 Remuera Road, 278 Remuera Road, 278A Remuera Road, 282 Remuera Road, 284-286 Remuera Road, 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1818.1	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	Extend the walking catchment from the Remuera train station (Market Road) to the start of the Remuera shops including 294 and 296 Remuera Road, Remuera: Other sites affected:- 272 Remuera Road, 272A Remuera Road, 274 Remuera Road, 274A Remuera Road, 276 Remuera Road, 278 Remuera Road, 278A Remuera Road, 282 Remuera Road, 284-286 Remuera Road, 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1818.1	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	Extend the walking catchment from the Remuera train station (Market Road) to the start of the Remuera shops including 294 and 296 Remuera Road, Remuera: Other sites affected:- 272 Remuera Road, 272A Remuera Road, 274 Remuera Road, 274A Remuera Road, 276 Remuera Road, 278 Remuera Road, 278A Remuera Road, 282 Remuera Road, 284-286 Remuera Road, 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1818.2	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Approve the THAB zone for the extended walkable catchment outlined in submission point 1818.1 (and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road) to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other sites affected:- 272 Remuera Road, 272A Remuera Road, 274 Remuera Road, 274A Remuera Road, 276 Remuera Road, 278 Remuera Road, 278A Remuera Road, 282 Remuera Road, 284-286 Remuera Road, 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1818.2	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Approve the THAB zone for the extended walkable catchment outlined in submission point 1818.1 (and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road) to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other sites affected:- 272 Remuera Road, 272A Remuera Road, 274 Remuera Road, 274A Remuera Road, 276 Remuera Road, 278 Remuera Road, 278A Remuera Road, 282 Remuera Road, 284-286 Remuera Road, 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1818.2	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Approve the THAB zone for the extended walkable catchment outlined in submission point 1818.1 (and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road) to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other sites affected:- 272 Remuera Road, 272A Remuera Road, 274 Remuera Road, 274A Remuera Road, 276 Remuera Road, 278 Remuera Road, 278A Remuera Road, 282 Remuera Road, 284-286 Remuera Road, 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1818.3	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Extend the THAB zone for the walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre, and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road, to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other properties affected: 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1818.3	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Extend the THAB zone for the walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre, and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road, to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other properties affected: 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1818.3	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Extend the THAB zone for the walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre, and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road, to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other properties affected: 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1818.3	Marie Roberta Taylor and Richard Heywood Taylor	[inferred] Extend the THAB zone for the walkable catchment for the Remuera Town Centre, and include 294 and 296 Remuera Road, to enable extra building height (21m building height). Other properties affected: 298 Remuera Road, 308 Remuera Road, 312 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Remuera Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS474	Alastair Porter	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1821.1	Sarah Kennedy	Extend the SEAs within the Hillpark suburb which is part of a unique ecosystem and ecological corridor. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1821.1	Sarah Kennedy	Extend the SEAs within the Hillpark suburb which is part of a unique ecosystem and ecological corridor. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS91	Sarah Anne Kennedy	Support
1821.2	Sarah Kennedy	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1821.2	Sarah Kennedy	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS91	Sarah Anne Kennedy	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.1	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS286	William Peake	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS305	Garry Downs	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS318	David Alison	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS503	Erica Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1823.2	Parnell Heritage Inc	Retain the operative Unitary Plan Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1823.3	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the threshold of 'high quality' in deciding which SCA's and parts of SCA;s to retain, based on rating individual properties rather than streetscapes as a whole.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1823.4	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider using one of the guiding considerations of the establishment of SCAs under the Unitary Plan, which is to recognise and retain historic patterns of land use and development.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1823.5	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.6	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the methodology applied to determine the threshold (66% and 75%) for whether parts of the Special Character Areas that fall within walkable catchments should retain the Special Character Overlay. Properties rated 4 and 5 should be included.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.7	Parnell Heritage Inc	Reconsider the walkable catchments that have a direct impact on overall threshold score assigned to specific survey areas and whether SCA's are retained (particularly as Parnell is covered by WC's from the edge of the City Centre, Parnell Railway station and Newmarket Railway station).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.8	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.9	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.10	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review development standards and provide justification to mitigate building dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining properties, including dominance and shading effects on streetscapes, particularly its threat to the heritage character of Parnell.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.11	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in scoring a number of properties in those Special Character Areas it has excluded.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.12	Parnell Heritage Inc	Review the methodology in determining what is an area for inclusion as a Special Character Area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.13	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Community	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezoning Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.14	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone Ayr Street (Survey Area 2a, Parnell Isthmus A) and Laurie Avenue (Survey Area 2b, Isthmus B) Parnell, to LDRZ if properties are included within the SCAR overlay.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1823.15	Parnell Heritage Inc	Include St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS397	Patrick Howard Castl	oppose
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1823.16	Parnell Heritage Inc	Rezone St Stephens Avenue (Survey Area 27 Parnell Isthmus B) Parnell, that has been removed along the western side of the avenue towards Judge Street and around the corner into Judge Street.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1824.1	Tania Johnson	Retain the Special Character Areas Overlay as a qualifying matter for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1828.1	Chengyang Zhang	Approve the removal of the SCAR overlay from 89 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1828.2	Chengyang Zhang	Approve the THAB zoning of 89 Bassett Road, Remuera to achieve the intention of the walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS250	Citylife Investments	support
1832.1	Boezo limited	Approve the MHU zone for 8 Whale Bay Rise, Hobbs Bay.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS357	Boezo Limited	support
1832.2	Boezo limited	Remove the flooding natural hazard as a QM from 32 Ta Moko Drive, Hobbs Bay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1832.2	Boezo limited	Remove the flooding natural hazard as a QM from 32 Ta Moko Drive, Hobbs Bay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS357	Boezo Limited	support
1836.1	Christine May Parlane	Retain Hillpark as an 'urban forest'. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1836.1	Christine May Parlane	Retain Hillpark as an 'urban forest'. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS509	Shanna Frost	support
1836.2	Christine May Parlane	Retain the SCAR overlay as it applies to Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1836.2	Christine May Parlane	Retain the SCAR overlay as it applies to Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS509	Shanna Frost	support
1836.3	Christine May Parlane	Retain the Single House zone [inferred Low Density Residential zone] for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1836.3	Christine May Parlane	Retain the Single House zone [inferred Low Density Residential zone] for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS509	Shanna Frost	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1840.1	Edward Siddle	Delete all Special Character Areas in Auckland.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1848.2	Ian Ross Newton McCormick	Remove the coastal erosion and inundation Natural hazards QM from 53 Tohunga Cres, Parnell and rezone it to MHU.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1850.1	James Hu	Approve the THAB zone for 116 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS358	James Hu	support
1850.2	James Hu	Approve the removal of the SCAR overlay from properties along Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS358	James Hu	support
1850.3	James Hu	Approve the THAB zone Height in relation to boundary standards that applies to 116 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS358	James Hu	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1850.4	James Hu	Remove the Remove Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay as qualifying matters from 116 Bassett Road, Remuera and instead rely on the existing rules within the AUP to manage intensification in these areas.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1850.4	James Hu	Remove the Remove Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay as qualifying matters from 116 Bassett Road, Remuera and instead rely on the existing rules within the AUP to manage intensification in these areas.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS358	James Hu	support
1850.4	James Hu	Remove the Remove Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay as qualifying matters from 116 Bassett Road, Remuera and instead rely on the existing rules within the AUP to manage intensification in these areas.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS435	Susan & Abe King	support
1850.5	James Hu	Remove the Infrastructure capacity [inferred Infrastructure-Combined wastewater network control] overlay QM from 116 Bassett Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS358	James Hu	support
1855.1	Jonathan Michell	Remove the SCAR overlay from Glasgow Terrace, Grafton (including 1 Glasgow Tce).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support
1855.1	Jonathan Michell	Remove the SCAR overlay from Glasgow Terrace, Grafton (including 1 Glasgow Tce).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support
1855.2	Jonathan Michell	Remove the SCAR overlay from Glasgow Terrace, Grafton (including 1 Glasgow Tce) and rezone to THAB or MHU to reflect the adjoining sites and intent of MDRS.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS153	Lawrie Knight	Support
1855.2	Jonathan Michell	Remove the SCAR overlay from Glasgow Terrace, Grafton (including 1 Glasgow Tce) and rezone to THAB or MHU to reflect the adjoining sites and intent of MDRS.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS157	3 Park Avenue Ltd and Michael Knight	Support
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1856.1	Jonathan Rickard	Remove the Special Character Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1857.1	Karin Galle	Opposes MDRS standards of 3 dwellings per site and 11m buildings.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1857.2	Karin Galle	Reject intensification in Glendowie.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1858.1	Karolina Hannah	Approve the application of SCAR overlay to Hillpark as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1858.2	Karolina Hannah	Approve the Low Density Residential zone to Hillpark in conjunction with the application of the SCAR overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1862.1	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 1200m City Centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.1	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 1200m City Centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.1	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 1200m City Centre walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1862.2	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 400m area of intensification adjacent to Ponsonby Town Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.2	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 400m area of intensification adjacent to Ponsonby Town Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Ponsonby Town Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.3	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 200m area of intensification adjacent to Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.3	Mingo Alexander Innes	Reject the extent of the 200m area of intensification adjacent to Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.4	Mingo Alexander Innes	Include 73,75,77,79,81 and 83 Dryden Street, Grey Lynn within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.4	Mingo Alexander Innes	Include 73,75,77,79,81 and 83 Dryden Street, Grey Lynn within the SCAR overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.5	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Special Character overlays as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.5	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Special Character overlays as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.5	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Special Character overlays as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.6	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.6	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1862.6	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.6	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.6	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Notable Trees and Notable Groups of trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1862.7	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Infrastructure constraints as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.7	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Infrastructure constraints as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.7	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Infrastructure constraints as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.8	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Flood Plains as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.8	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Flood Plains as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1862.8	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Flood Plains as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS371	J&S West Trading Lim	oppose
1862.8	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Flood Plains as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.8	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Flood Plains as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.8	Mingo Alexander Innes	Approve Flood Plains as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1862.9	Mingo Alexander Innes	Schedule the Avenues of London Plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street (Ponsonby/Grey Lynn) to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.9	Mingo Alexander Innes	Schedule the Avenues of London Plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street (Ponsonby/Grey Lynn) to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.9	Mingo Alexander Innes	Schedule the Avenues of London Plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street (Ponsonby/Grey Lynn) to the schedule of notable groups of trees.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.10	Mingo Alexander Innes	Ensure neighbours amenity values are considered in the MHU zone standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.10	Mingo Alexander Innes	Ensure neighbours amenity values are considered in the MHU zone standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.10	Mingo Alexander Innes	Ensure neighbours amenity values are considered in the MHU zone standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1862.11	Mingo Alexander Innes	Ensure neighbours amenity values are considered in the THAB zone standards where the zone adjoins another residential zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1862.11	Mingo Alexander Innes	Ensure neighbours amenity values are considered in the THAB zone standards where the zone adjoins another residential zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.11	Mingo Alexander Innes	Ensure neighbours amenity values are considered in the THAB zone standards where the zone adjoins another residential zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1862.12	Mingo Alexander Innes	Request structure planning is used as a method where intensification is planned.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1862.12	Mingo Alexander Innes	Request structure planning is used as a method where intensification is planned.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1865.1	Nicola Spencer	Include the Herne Bay Peninsula -Galatea Block (properties bounded by Galatea Tce-backing onto Herne Bay Road, Bell Vista, Annan St and Marine Parade) as shown in Figure 1 of the submission and referenced in the study 'Historic Heritage Area and Special Character Assessment' attached to the submission (J Brown dated 28 September 2022) in the SCAR.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support
1865.1	Nicola Spencer	Include the Herne Bay Peninsula -Galatea Block (properties bounded by Galatea Tce-backing onto Herne Bay Road, Bell Vista, Annan St and Marine Parade) as shown in Figure 1 of the submission and referenced in the study 'Historic Heritage Area and Special Character Assessment' attached to the submission (J Brown dated 28 September 2022) in the SCAR.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.2	Nicola Spencer	Identify the Herne Bay Peninsula -Galatea Block (properties bounded by Galatea Tce-backing onto Herne Bay Road, Bell Vista, Annan St and Marine Parade) as shown in Figure 1 of the submission and referenced in the study 'Historic Heritage Area and Special Character Assessment' attached to the submission (J Brown dated 28 September 2022) as Historic Heritage.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support
1865.2	Nicola Spencer	Identify the Herne Bay Peninsula -Galatea Block (properties bounded by Galatea Tce-backing onto Herne Bay Road, Bell Vista, Annan St and Marine Parade) as shown in Figure 1 of the submission and referenced in the study 'Historic Heritage Area and Special Character Assessment' attached to the submission (J Brown dated 28 September 2022) as Historic Heritage.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.3	Nicola Spencer	[Inferred] Apply LDRZ to the Herne Bay Peninsula -Galatea Block (properties bounded by Galatea Tce-backing onto Herne Bay Road, Bell Vista, Annan St and Marine Parade) as shown in Figure 1 of the submission and referenced in the study 'Historic Heritage Area and Special Character Assessment' attached to the submission (J Brown dated 28 September 2022).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support
1865.3	Nicola Spencer	[Inferred] Apply LDRZ to the Herne Bay Peninsula -Galatea Block (properties bounded by Galatea Tce-backing onto Herne Bay Road, Bell Vista, Annan St and Marine Parade) as shown in Figure 1 of the submission and referenced in the study 'Historic Heritage Area and Special Character Assessment' attached to the submission (J Brown dated 28 September 2022).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.4	Nicola Spencer	Approve Special character Area Overlay - Residential objectives and policies so that one residential dwelling can be provided within the existing framework of an existing dwelling.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1865.5	Nicola Spencer	Reject the application of the MHU zone where the Special Character Areas-Residential overlay applies.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.6	Nicola Spencer	Amend Table D18.4.1 Activity table -row (AD1) The conversion of a principal dwelling that does not meet Standard D18.6.1A.1 activity status from Non-complying to Restricted discretionary.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.7	Nicola Spencer	Amend Table D18.4.1 Activity table -row (AF1) A minor dwelling unit that does not meet Standard D18.6.1A.2 activity status from non-complying activity to prohibited activity or retained as a non-complying activity.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.8	Nicola Spencer	Approve areas that do not have existing or planned and budgeted sewage and stormwater infrastructure as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.8	Nicola Spencer	Approve areas that do not have existing or planned and budgeted sewage and stormwater infrastructure as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
1865.9	Nicola Spencer	Amend the assessment criteria to be clear so that there is certainty that adverse effects of development will be avoided and that more cumulative adverse effects will not keep arising from incremental increases in coverage and impermeable areas.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.9	Nicola Spencer	Amend the assessment criteria to be clear so that there is certainty that adverse effects of development will be avoided and that more cumulative adverse effects will not keep arising from incremental increases in coverage and impermeable areas.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	support
1865.10	Nicola Spencer	Amend the assessment criteria to be clear so that consents required for either more dwellings or to increase the amount of built or impermeable area on a site be tightened to reduce the adverse effects of sewerage overflows.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.11	Nicola Spencer	Amend E38.12.1(11)(d) assessment criteria so that a restricted discretionary activity subdivision WILL NOT be approved if it cannot be demonstrated that a connection to a separated stormwater pipe cannot be provided.	Subdivision	Urban Subdivision	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.12	Nicola Spencer	Amend assessment criteria contained in D18.8 that relate to applications to infringe Building coverage, Landscaped area and maximum paved impermeable area, to be more specific about the resulting effects of additional stormwater runoff and the ability for Council to decline consent and for development to cater for flow/flooding events. Suggested wording is provided on pages 8 and 9 of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.13	Nicola Spencer	Amend assessment criteria contained in D18.8 that relate to applications to infringe Building coverage, Landscaped area and maximum paved impermeable area, to be more specific about the resulting effects of additional stormwater runoff and the ability for Council to decline consent and for development to cater for flow/flooding events. Suggested wording is provided on pages 8 and 9 of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.14	Nicola Spencer	Add to H3A.2 Objectives-that modifications to existing dwellings that infringe the development controls of building coverage, landscaped area and maximum paved impermeable area be avoided.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1865.15	Nicola Spencer	Add an assessment criteria that outlines the details that must be included in a technical report accompanying an application to infringe the development controls of coverage, impermeable area or minimum landscaped area.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Assessment Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.16	Nicola Spencer	Approve and reinforce Policy H3A.3(5) relating to maximum impervious area and managing stormwater runoff.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.17	Nicola Spencer	Amend H3A.3 (13) to better define what “appropriate infrastructure is. E.g. . add the words “Note that appropriate infrastructure is a connection to a separate public stormwater pipe not one combined with sewerage. “	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.18	Nicola Spencer	Approve Standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.18	Nicola Spencer	Approve Standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1865.19	Nicola Spencer	Approve Standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.20	Nicola Spencer	Rezone all properties along the seaward side of Marine Parade, Herne Bay to MHU zone (MDRS).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Oppose
1865.20	Nicola Spencer	Rezone all properties along the seaward side of Marine Parade, Herne Bay to MHU zone (MDRS).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1865.20	Nicola Spencer	Rezone all properties along the seaward side of Marine Parade, Herne Bay to MHU zone (MDRS).	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS528	Simon and Josephine Parr	support
1865.21	Nicola Spencer	Apply coastal protection measures along the seaward side of Marine Parade, Herne Bay to provide a buffer for costal erosion and sea-level rise.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1868.1	First Gas Group Ltd	Approve Gas transmission pipelines as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose in part
1868.2	First Gas Group Ltd	Introduce a minimum pipeline setback of 30m to provide separation between residential development and high-pressure pipelines.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Gas transmission pipelines	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose in part
1868.2	First Gas Group Ltd	Introduce a minimum pipeline setback of 30m to provide separation between residential development and high-pressure pipelines.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Gas transmission pipelines	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1876.1	Sweet New Zealand Partnership Limited	Approve the MHU zone applied to 19A, 21 Verran Road and 25 Verran Road (northern portion) and 19 West Glade Cres, Birkenhead.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1876.5	Sweet New Zealand Partnership Limited	Remove the SEA overlay from 23 Verran Road and 25 Verran Road (southern portion) Birkenhead.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
1876.6	Sweet New Zealand Partnership Limited	Amend the LDRZ provisions to enable higher intensity development where part of the site is constrained by the SEA overlay in a similar manner to the operative Single House zone provisions (but recognising 3 dwellings per lost vacant lot-not just one).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
1877.1	Trevor Weaver	Reject intensification in Auckland and in particular Rocklands Ave, Balmoral due to infrastructure issues.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	oppose
1877.2	Trevor Weaver	Reject intensification in Auckland and in particular Rocklands Ave, Balmoral due to shading concerns.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS340	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	oppose
1878.8	Tripp Andrews Surveyors Limited	Amend standard H5.6.21(1)(c)(ii) Residential waste management by removing the reference to steep gradients.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	Neutral
1878.11	Tripp Andrews Surveyors Limited	Delete the following Standards: -H5.6.3B, H5.6.3C, H5.6.19, H5.6.20 These standards are in the MHU zone and the correct references are in the THAB zone and reasons column of the submission: -H6.6.4B, Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps -H6.6.4C, Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control -H6.6.20, Deep soil area and canopy tree -H6.6.22 Residential waste management and those parts of standards that have different requirements for up to 3 dwellings and 4 or more dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1879.2	Ulrich Peter Hess	Delete Flood plains as a qualifying matter	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1879.2	Ulrich Peter Hess	Delete Flood plains as a qualifying matter	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1880.1	Virginia Gaye Bunker	Reject intensification because of insufficient infrastructure capacity.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1880.2	Virginia Gaye Bunker	Reject intensification and its effect on agricultural soil.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1881.1	Afiafi Leala	Approve the SCAR overlay that applies to Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1884.1	Amy Hansen	Approve the SCAR overlay that applies to Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1884.2	Amy Hansen	Approve the Low Density Residential zone as notified to support the SCAR overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1885.1	Andrew Calder	Apply the MDRS provisions (Mixed Housing Urban zone) to all parts of Auckland stated as forming part of the 'urban environment', inclusive of those urban areas (including Helensville, Kumeu/Huapai, Riverhead and Kingseat) with populations of less than 5000 as at 2018 census.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1885.1	Andrew Calder	Apply the MDRS provisions (Mixed Housing Urban zone) to all parts of Auckland stated as forming part of the 'urban environment', inclusive of those urban areas (including Helensville, Kumeu/Huapai, Riverhead and Kingseat) with populations of less than 5000 as at 2018 census.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS375	Matvin Group Limite	support
1885.1	Andrew Calder	Apply the MDRS provisions (Mixed Housing Urban zone) to all parts of Auckland stated as forming part of the 'urban environment', inclusive of those urban areas (including Helensville, Kumeu/Huapai, Riverhead and Kingseat) with populations of less than 5000 as at 2018 census.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1885.1	Andrew Calder	Apply the MDRS provisions (Mixed Housing Urban zone) to all parts of Auckland stated as forming part of the 'urban environment', inclusive of those urban areas (including Helensville, Kumeu/Huapai, Riverhead and Kingseat) with populations of less than 5000 as at 2018 census.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1885.1	Andrew Calder	Apply the MDRS provisions (Mixed Housing Urban zone) to all parts of Auckland stated as forming part of the 'urban environment', inclusive of those urban areas (including Helensville, Kumeu/Huapai, Riverhead and Kingseat) with populations of less than 5000 as at 2018 census.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1885.2	Andrew Calder	Apply the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Amendment Act provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ARLC) exclusion area.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1885.3	Andrew Calder	Apply the HSAA provisions (MDRS and NPS-UD Policy 3) to the Special Housing Area (SHA) exclusion areas.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1885.4	Andrew Calder	Apply NPS-UD Policy 3(c) to the entirety of the Eastern Busway Corridor (Pakuranga to Botany Town Centre).	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1885.5	Andrew Calder	Approve the removal of the SCAR overlay from 37 Karaka Street and residential properties in Helensville as notified in PC78.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.1	Angela Lin	Approve H5.6.8.1 Yards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1886.1	Angela Lin	Approve H5.6.8.1 Yards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.1	Angela Lin	Approve H5.6.8.1 Yards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.1	Angela Lin	Approve H5.6.8.1 Yards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1886.2	Angela Lin	Approve H6.6.9 Yards - 1m rear yard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.2	Angela Lin	Approve H6.6.9 Yards - 1m rear yard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.3	Angela Lin	Reject the general height control of 72.5m across the city centre (section H8.6.2) because it limits development capacity.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.3	Angela Lin	Reject the general height control of 72.5m across the city centre (section H8.6.2) because it limits development capacity.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1886.4	Angela Lin	Amend H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary standard for four or more dwellings per site within 21.5m of the site boundary frontage is increased to 6m + 60 degrees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.4	Angela Lin	Amend H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary standard for four or more dwellings per site within 21.5m of the site boundary frontage is increased to 6m + 60 degrees.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.5	Angela Lin	Amend H6.6.6 Height in relation to boundary standard to read: For up to 3 dwellings: 4m + 60° For 4 or more dwellings: 8m + 60° 16m + 60° within 21.5m of the front boundary of the site 8m + 60° beyond 21.5m of the front boundary of the site	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.5	Angela Lin	Amend H6.6.6 Height in relation to boundary standard to read: For up to 3 dwellings: 4m + 60° For 4 or more dwellings: 8m + 60° 16m + 60° within 21.5m of the front boundary of the site 8m + 60° beyond 21.5m of the front boundary of the site	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.6	Angela Lin	Enable low intensity commercial activities such as dairies and cafes up to 100 square metres to be a permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.6	Angela Lin	Enable low intensity commercial activities such as dairies and cafes up to 100 square metres to be a permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.7	Angela Lin	Delete Standard H5.6.8.1 Yards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.7	Angela Lin	Delete Standard H5.6.8.1 Yards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.8	Angela Lin	Delete Standard H6.6.9 Yards for front and side yard setback..	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.8	Angela Lin	Delete Standard H6.6.9 Yards for front and side yard setback..	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.9	Angela Lin	Extend all walkable catchments distances stated in the walkable catchment summary table on page 4 and 5 of the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.9	Angela Lin	Extend all walkable catchments distances stated in the walkable catchment summary table on page 4 and 5 of the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.9	Angela Lin	Extend all walkable catchments distances stated in the walkable catchment summary table on page 4 and 5 of the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1886.9	Angela Lin	Extend all walkable catchments distances stated in the walkable catchment summary table on page 4 and 5 of the submission.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1886.10	Angela Lin	Include frequent bus services in the definition of rapid transit so that a wider application of walkable catchments is applied and more homes in accessible locations.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1886.10	Angela Lin	Include frequent bus services in the definition of rapid transit so that a wider application of walkable catchments is applied and more homes in accessible locations.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1886.11	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1886.12	Angela Lin	Remove the special character overlay and utilise historic heritage protections where sites and extents of place meet criteria	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1886.13	Angela Lin	Reconsider the criteria for determining high quality/qualifying special matter areas are not sufficient to warrant being a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.13	Angela Lin	Reconsider the criteria for determining high quality/qualifying special matter areas are not sufficient to warrant being a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1886.14	Angela Lin	Rezone the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (ALRC) to at least MHU zone as a minimum.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS125	692D Limited	Support
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1886.15	Angela Lin	Apply an appropriate upzone and walkable catchment to rapid transit services (currently Dominion Road, Kingsland Station, Mt Eden Station).	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1887.3	CH Ventures Ltd	Remove the Qualifying Matter – Significant Ecological Area as Chapter E15 provides adequate provision for works that will affect the Ecological Area.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1888.1	Anthony James Chapman	Extend the walkable catchments to enable increased intensification. E.g. 2400m from the edge of the city centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1888.2	Anthony James Chapman	Reject the application of the Special Character overlay to wide areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1891.2	Bradley Litt	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1891.3	Bradley Litt	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1891.7	Bradley Litt	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1893.1	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the process by which PC78 has been promulgated including: -The RMA(Enabling Housing Supply and other Matters) Amendment Act ('the Act') lacks insight into Auckland's unique situation. -The Act is flawed and reactive. -Central government misdiagnosis-, lack of insight risks Auckland being a liveable city being destroyed -Density provisions over-riding elements in the Unitary Plan that are worthy of protection -Sufficient development capacity in the Unitary Plan -Auckland Council's response in acquiescing to central government. -Loss of rights to be notified and feedback on property development in the immediate neighbourhood. -MDRS provisions particularly boundary interface (i.e. shading, privacy) -lack of Council involvement in resource consent processing. -Constrained timeframes for developing PC78 -	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.1	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the process by which PC78 has been promulgated including: -The RMA(Enabling Housing Supply and other Matters) Amendment Act ('the Act') lacks insight into Auckland's unique situation. -The Act is flawed and reactive. -Central government misdiagnosis-, lack of insight risks Auckland being a liveable city being destroyed -Density provisions over-riding elements in the Unitary Plan that are worthy of protection -Sufficient development capacity in the Unitary Plan -Auckland Council's response in acquiescing to central government. -Loss of rights to be notified and feedback on property development in the immediate neighbourhood. -MDRS provisions particularly boundary interface (i.e. shading, privacy) -lack of Council involvement in resource consent processing. -Constrained timeframes for developing PC78 -	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.2	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the process by which PC78 has been promulgated including: -The RMA(Enabling Housing Supply and other Matters) Amendment Act ('the Act') lacks insight into Auckland's unique situation. -the Act is flawed and reactive. -Central government misdiagnosis-, lack of insight risks Auckland being a liveable city being destroyed -Density provisions over-riding elements in the Unitary Plan that are worthy of protection -Sufficient development capacity in the Unitary Plan -Auckland Council's response in acquiescing to central government. -Loss of rights to be notified and feedback on property development in the immediate neighbourhood. -MDRS provisions particularly boundary interface (i.e. shading, privacy) -lack of Council involvement in resource consent processing. -Constrained timeframes for developing PC78	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.2	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the process by which PC78 has been promulgated including: -The RMA(Enabling Housing Supply and other Matters) Amendment Act ('the Act') lacks insight into Auckland's unique situation. -the Act is flawed and reactive. -Central government misdiagnosis-, lack of insight risks Auckland being a liveable city being destroyed -Density provisions over-riding elements in the Unitary Plan that are worthy of protection -Sufficient development capacity in the Unitary Plan -Auckland Council's response in acquiescing to central government. -Loss of rights to be notified and feedback on property development in the immediate neighbourhood. -MDRS provisions particularly boundary interface (i.e. shading, privacy) -lack of Council involvement in resource consent processing. -Constrained timeframes for developing PC78	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1893.3	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the process by which PC78 has been promulgated including: -The RMA(Enabling Housing Supply and other Matters) Amendment Act ('the Act') lacks insight into Auckland's unique situation. -the Act is flawed and reactive. -Central government misdiagnosis-, lack of insight risks Auckland as a liveable city being destroyed -Density provisions over-riding elements in the Unitary Plan that are worthy of protection -Sufficient development capacity in the Unitary Plan -Auckland Council's response in acquiescing to central government. -Loss of rights to be notified and feedback on property development in the immediate neighbourhood. -MDRS provisions particularly boundary interface (i.e. shading, privacy) -lack of Council involvement in MDRS and associated resource consent processing leading to poor environmental outcomes. -Constrained timeframes for developing PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.3	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the process by which PC78 has been promulgated including: -The RMA(Enabling Housing Supply and other Matters) Amendment Act ('the Act') lacks insight into Auckland's unique situation. -the Act is flawed and reactive. -Central government misdiagnosis-, lack of insight risks Auckland as a liveable city being destroyed -Density provisions over-riding elements in the Unitary Plan that are worthy of protection -Sufficient development capacity in the Unitary Plan -Auckland Council's response in acquiescing to central government. -Loss of rights to be notified and feedback on property development in the immediate neighbourhood. -MDRS provisions particularly boundary interface (i.e. shading, privacy) -lack of Council involvement in MDRS and associated resource consent processing leading to poor environmental outcomes. -Constrained timeframes for developing PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.4	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the permissive nature of the plan change and the removal of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.4	South Epsom Planning Group	Concerns relating to the permissive nature of the plan change and the removal of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.5	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve Notable Trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.5	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve Notable Trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1893.5	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve Notable Trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.5	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve Notable Trees as a QM.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1893.6	South Epsom Planning Group	Review the public notification rules of any resource consent application that proposes removal of a Notable Tree [that they should be publicly notified].	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.6	South Epsom Planning Group	Review the public notification rules of any resource consent application that proposes removal of a Notable Tree [that they should be publicly notified].	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1893.7	South Epsom Planning Group	Strengthen Council's oversight role with agencies such as: -Auckland Transport-selecting appropriate large trees for planting/maintenance and replacement. -Vector-restore undergrounding power lines in established urban areas to avoid trimming/pruning of existing large trees (keeping power lines clear of obstruction.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.7	South Epsom Planning Group	Strengthen Council's oversight role with agencies such as: -Auckland Transport-selecting appropriate large trees for planting/maintenance and replacement. -Vector-restore undergrounding power lines in established urban areas to avoid trimming/pruning of existing large trees (keeping power lines clear of obstruction.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.8	South Epsom Planning Group	Review PC78 to ensure it adequately reflects the importance of large, mature trees in Council's policies and strategies in respect of urban ngahere and climate change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.8	South Epsom Planning Group	Review PC78 to ensure it adequately reflects the importance of large, mature trees in Council's policies and strategies in respect of urban ngahere and climate change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.9	South Epsom Planning Group	Review PC78 to ensure it adequately reflects the importance of large, mature trees in Council's policies and strategies in respect of urban ngahere and climate change.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.9	South Epsom Planning Group	Review PC78 to ensure it adequately reflects the importance of large, mature trees in Council's policies and strategies in respect of urban ngahere and climate change.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.10	South Epsom Planning Group	Strengthen commitment to promoting new nominations to Schedule 10, and maintaining the accuracy of the Notable Trees register.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.10	South Epsom Planning Group	Strengthen commitment to promoting new nominations to Schedule 10, and maintaining the accuracy of the Notable Trees register.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.11	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Qualifying Matters Other	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.11	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Qualifying Matters Other	Schedule 10 Notable Trees	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.12	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.12	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.13	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.13	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.14	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Other Zones provisions	H7 Open Space zones	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.14	South Epsom Planning Group	Ensure that qualifying matters include all current legal tree protection elements in the AUP so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning. This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal pohutukawa	Other Zones provisions	H7 Open Space zones	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1893.15	South Epsom Planning Group	Commission urgent expert opinion on whether the MDRS and associated residential intensification zones have planning rules that ensure the viability of protection for canopy tree planting and growth, and protection of established large, mature trees, with the scope of this to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscaping specifications • Resource consent and notification requirements, and whether such trees should have the added protection of an enduring consent requirement and associated enforcement regime 	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.15	South Epsom Planning Group	Commission urgent expert opinion on whether the MDRS and associated residential intensification zones have planning rules that ensure the viability of protection for canopy tree planting and growth, and protection of established large, mature trees, with the scope of this to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscaping specifications • Resource consent and notification requirements, and whether such trees should have the added protection of an enduring consent requirement and associated enforcement regime 	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.16	South Epsom Planning Group	In light of the increasing importance of large, mature street trees in mitigating the adverse impacts of urban intensification and climate change, take stronger action to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plant, protect and maintain such trees on street berms, in association with Auckland Transport • Require Vector to accelerate undergrounding of power lines in established urban streets (in addition to new subdivisions). 	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.16	South Epsom Planning Group	In light of the increasing importance of large, mature street trees in mitigating the adverse impacts of urban intensification and climate change, take stronger action to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plant, protect and maintain such trees on street berms, in association with Auckland Transport • Require Vector to accelerate undergrounding of power lines in established urban streets (in addition to new subdivisions). 	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.17	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.17	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.18	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain all SCAR properties (that were in the Unitary Plan prior to PC78).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.18	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain all SCAR properties (that were in the Unitary Plan prior to PC78).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1893.19	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain all SCAB properties (that were in the Unitary Plan prior to PC78).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.19	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain all SCAB properties (that were in the Unitary Plan prior to PC78).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.20	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain Epsom SCA as identified in Figure 1 (page 14) of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.20	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain Epsom SCA as identified in Figure 1 (page 14) of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.21	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain Epsom SCA sub-areas as identified in Figure 3 (page 16) of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.21	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve and retain Epsom SCA sub-areas as identified in Figure 3 (page 16) of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.22	South Epsom Planning Group	Evaluate identified sub-areas in Epsom SCA as identified in Figure 2 (page 15) of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.22	South Epsom Planning Group	Evaluate identified sub-areas in Epsom SCA as identified in Figure 2 (page 15) of the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1893.23	South Epsom Planning Group	Review the methodology/property scores required to trigger the application of building demolition and alteration controls in SCAs to be the same scores used in overlays so that only properties which have been counted towards the target total for character (66%, 75%) are subject to controls.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.23	South Epsom Planning Group	Review the methodology/property scores required to trigger the application of building demolition and alteration controls in SCAs to be the same scores used in overlays so that only properties which have been counted towards the target total for character (66%, 75%) are subject to controls.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.24	South Epsom Planning Group	Provide a new qualifying matter for properties within a visual catchment of a SCA (with options for alternative zone and overlay provisions, and to provide for the addition of new properties to existing SCA's).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.24	South Epsom Planning Group	Provide a new qualifying matter for properties within a visual catchment of a SCA (with options for alternative zone and overlay provisions, and to provide for the addition of new properties to existing SCA's).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
1893.24	South Epsom Planning Group	Provide a new qualifying matter for properties within a visual catchment of a SCA (with options for alternative zone and overlay provisions, and to provide for the addition of new properties to existing SCA's).	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.25	South Epsom Planning Group	Review Schedule 15 to include a description of character values particularly relevant to each SCA to provide for better implementation of overlay objectives for each SCA.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.25	South Epsom Planning Group	Review Schedule 15 to include a description of character values particularly relevant to each SCA to provide for better implementation of overlay objectives for each SCA.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1893.26	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve intensification in and around the Council-planned emerging town centre at Three Kings.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1893.26	South Epsom Planning Group	Approve intensification in and around the Council-planned emerging town centre at Three Kings.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1895.1	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1895.2	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1895.3	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1895.3	Damian Light	Reject the D18 Special Character Overlay particularly in walkable catchment areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - remove property/area from SCAB	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.4	Damian Light	Provide a QM that ensures protection of heritage and directly linked to specific heritage buildings rather than the existing SCAR and SCAB overlays.	Qualifying Matters Other	Stockade Hill Viewshaft (D20A)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.5	Damian Light	Approve D20A Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay (figure 2) so that the agreed height controls in the existing overlay remain.	Qualifying Matters Other	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1895.5	Damian Light	Approve D20A Stockade Hill Viewshaft Overlay (figure 2) so that the agreed height controls in the existing overlay remain.	Qualifying Matters Other	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.6	Damian Light	Amend H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary from proposed 4m + 60 degrees to 6m + 60 degrees for four or more dwellings per site within 21.5m of the site frontage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.7	Damian Light	Amend H5.6.8.1 Yards from proposed Front: 1.5m / Side: 1m / Rear: 1m to Front: 0m / Side: 0m / Rear: 1m.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.8	Damian Light	Amend H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary from 4m + 60° for up to 3 dwellings to 16m + 60° within 21.5m of the front boundary of the site. Change from 8m + 60° for 4 or more dwellings to 8m + 60° beyond 21.5m of the front boundary of the site.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.9	Damian Light	Amend H6.6.9. Yards from Front: 1.5m / Side: 1m / Rear: 1m to Front: 0m / Side: 0m / Rear: 1m.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.10	Damian Light	Enable low intensity commercial activities (such as dairies and cafes up to 100m2) within the THAB zone to be permitted activities.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.11	Damian Light	Provide a walkable catchment for the Eastern Busway Corridor project.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.12	Damian Light	Enable higher intensification zoning within the Eastern Busway Corridor Project area including the walkable catchment area proposed in submission 1895.11.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.13	Damian Light	Approve the limited use of the qualifying matter for areas which have been identified as lacking the infrastructure to cope with water, stormwater and wastewater in particular Mellons Bay, Howick and Cockle Bay as shown in Figure 4 if the submission.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1895.14	Damian Light	Review the capacity constraints on water and wastewater servicing constraints over East Auckland (Pakuranga and Howick) and te accuracy on the related controls in the overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1896.1	Dan Buckingham	Reject intensification in central Auckland as single storey housing is important part of the range options available [inferred reconsider zoning for 52 Prospect Terrace Mt Eden].	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1896.2	Dan Buckingham	Reject intensification in central Auckland as single storey housing is important part of the range options available .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1896.3	Dan Buckingham	Retain the Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1896.4	Dan Buckingham	Retain the Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1903.1	Don Oakly	Decline the plan change [No evidence to support infrastructure. Crowded schools. Inevitably resulting in intensification in a haphazard fashion. Insufficient off-road parking].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1903.2	Don Oakly	Rejects the proposed blanket housing zone changes.	MDRS response	MDRS - request change to MDRS (out of scope)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.1	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Decline the plan change, but if approved, make the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.2	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Recognise and protect Māori cultural values being treated as Qualifying Matters within Precincts and retain those protections.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
1905.2	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Recognise and protect Māori cultural values being treated as Qualifying Matters within Precincts and retain those protections.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.3	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Apply more stringent activity status of non-complying activity for any new buildings and structures and building alterations and additions where the building footprint is increased - for the three scheduled urupa sites in residential sites (including the church site at 31 Church Road, Māngere Bridge).	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
1905.3	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Apply more stringent activity status of non-complying activity for any new buildings and structures and building alterations and additions where the building footprint is increased - for the three scheduled urupa sites in residential sites (including the church site at 31 Church Road, Māngere Bridge).	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.4	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Recognise the cultural and historical significance of Mangere Māori Urupa (including the flu epidemic), and do not allow any development on this site (31 Church Road, Māngere Bridge).	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1905.4	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Recognise the cultural and historical significance of Mangere Māori Urupa (including the flu epidemic), and do not allow any development on this site (31 Church Road, Māngere Bridge).	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.5	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Retain all volcanic viewshafts at current locations and heights whilst adding new viewshafts for maunga that do not have this protection (including Pukekiwiriki, and Pukekohe, Te Maunu a Tu, Pukewhau).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.6	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Retain all height sensitive areas in current locations with new density controls (coverage and landscape).	Height	Height response other zones	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.7	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Retain the Outstanding Natural Feature Overlay as a Qualifying Matter and all mapped areas retains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.7	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Retain the Outstanding Natural Feature Overlay as a Qualifying Matter and all mapped areas retains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1905.8	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Ensure intensification does not affect public open space.	Qualifying Matters Other	Built Form Controls: City Centre - sunlight admission to open space, harbour edge, and other matters	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.9	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Retain the Sites and Places of Significance Overlay as a Qualifying Matter.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 12 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Schedule	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1905.13	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Retain the existing SEA Overlay as a Qualifying Matter and no mapping changes.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	oppose
1905.14	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Maintain a buffer (from intensification) beyond the edge of an identified SEA, through application of a Low-Density zoning where more than 30% of a site contains an SEA.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	oppose
1905.14	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Maintain a buffer (from intensification) beyond the edge of an identified SEA, through application of a Low-Density zoning where more than 30% of a site contains an SEA.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS461	Hugh Green Limited	oppose
1905.16	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Maintain buffers around the ancestral maunga and sites of significance so development cannot build to the footprint of those areas.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 12 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Schedule	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1905.17	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Seek to integrate Auckland Council's cultural landscapes pilot programme as a means of scheduled protection for wahi tupuna (ancestral sites) alongside existing mana whenua sites of significance scheduling (Ngāti Tamaoho proposes that cultural landscape protections be at least on a par with the scheduling of Precincts).	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 12 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Schedule	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
1905.18	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Seek to schedule all Ngāti Tamaoho nominated sites of significance and cultural landscapes as part of a single omnibus plan change.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 12 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Schedule	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
1905.19	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Replace MHUZ with a low-density residential zoning in Pukekiwiriki Pā Historic Reserve [refer to figure in submission] (as over 100 properties).	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
1905.20	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Approve qualifying matter for Pararekau Island.	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS142	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
1905.20	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Approve qualifying matter for Pararekau Island.	Qualifying Matters Other	Māori Cultural Heritage - Pukekiwiriki Pā and Pararēkau Island	FS265	Karaka Harbourside Estates Limited	oppose
1905.21	Ngati Tamaoho Te Tai Ao Unit	Seeks that Auckland Council give urgent attention to engaging an appropriate review of the Pukekohe Hill area, which will include a landscape/character analysis and further consultation with Ngāti Tamaoho.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 12 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Schedule	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support in
1911.1	Glen McCabe	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1911.1	Glen McCabe	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1911.1	Glen McCabe	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1914.1	Iain Rea	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1914.1	Iain Rea	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1914.1	Iain Rea	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1915.1	Jack Gibbons	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1915.1	Jack Gibbons	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1915.1	Jack Gibbons	Accept the plan change with the amendments requested.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1915.2	Jack Gibbons	Expand upzoning within walkable catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1915.2	Jack Gibbons	Expand upzoning within walkable catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1915.3	Jack Gibbons	Amend to reduce heritage/character overlays within walkable catchments.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1916.1	Jan Shaw	Decline the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1916.2	Jan Shaw	Reject multi high rise housing as they block out the sun light, cause traffic congestion and car parking problems.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1918.1	Citizens Against the Housing Act 2021 (Catha21)	Reject the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act (Intensification Act) which underpins PC78 along with the NPS-UD.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1919.1	Michele Parsons	Decline the plan change.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1919.2	Michele Parsons	Reject intensification which does not adhere to the current Unitary Plan.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1921.1	Paul Manning	Decline the plan change [rejects increasing housing density on Herald Island which will increase the stormwater catchment area (increase of roof area) which will further inundate the pit drains and reduce the natural storm water retention/drainage of the land as it is replaced with housing].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1922.2	Raman Pal	Accept the plan change with amendments requested	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1922.2	Raman Pal	Accept the plan change with amendments requested	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1923.1	Sandra Louise Caulton	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1923.1	Sandra Louise Caulton	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1923.1	Sandra Louise Caulton	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1923.1	Sandra Louise Caulton	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1923.2	Sandra Louise Caulton	Retain the Special Character Area overlay as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1929.1	Jamie Simmonds	Amend to remove SCA as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1930.8	Jed Robertson	Amend to remove SCAs as a qualifying matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1931.1	Jeri Misa	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1931.1	Jeri Misa	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1931.1	Jeri Misa	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1931.1	Jeri Misa	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1931.2	Jeri Misa	Retain the Special Character Area overlay as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1932.4	Jessica Wiseman	Amend to protect amenity values and an areas special or historic character for each walkable catchment/THAB/MDRS area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1932.4	Jessica Wiseman	Amend to protect amenity values and an areas special or historic character for each walkable catchment/THAB/MDRS area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1932.4	Jessica Wiseman	Amend to protect amenity values and an areas special or historic character for each walkable catchment/THAB/MDRS area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1932.4	Jessica Wiseman	Amend to protect amenity values and an areas special or historic character for each walkable catchment/THAB/MDRS area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1932.4	Jessica Wiseman	Amend to protect amenity values and an areas special or historic character for each walkable catchment/THAB/MDRS area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1932.4	Jessica Wiseman	Amend to protect amenity values and an areas special or historic character for each walkable catchment/THAB/MDRS area.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1933.1	Joanna Bason and Brad Bason	Approves the proposed changes to date in PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1933.1	Joanna Bason and Brad Bason	Approves the proposed changes to date in PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1933.1	Joanna Bason and Brad Bason	Approves the proposed changes to date in PC78.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1936.1	John David Callaghan	Retain SCA as a qualifying matter in Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1936.1	John David Callaghan	Retain SCA as a qualifying matter in Grey Lynn.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1936.2	John David Callaghan	Amend to include infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter [over Grey Lynn].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1936.2	John David Callaghan	Amend to include infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter [over Grey Lynn].	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1936.3	John David Callaghan	Seek to reconsider the 200m intensification boundary [walkable catchment] around Grey Lynn, to be classified as 'small' thus reducing the extent of the boundary [walkable catchment] to the surrounding area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1936.3	John David Callaghan	Seek to reconsider the 200m intensification boundary [walkable catchment] around Grey Lynn, to be classified as 'small' thus reducing the extent of the boundary [walkable catchment] to the surrounding area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1936.4	John David Callaghan	Amend to reduce the size of the intensification boundary [walkable catchment] in the city centre and Ponsonby Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1936.4	John David Callaghan	Amend to reduce the size of the intensification boundary [walkable catchment] in the city centre and Ponsonby Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1941.1	Joshua Waterman	Approve the plan change, and the objectives and policies for greater intensification of Auckland's urban areas.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1941.1	Joshua Waterman	Approve the plan change, and the objectives and policies for greater intensification of Auckland's urban areas.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1941.1	Joshua Waterman	Approve the plan change, and the objectives and policies for greater intensification of Auckland's urban areas.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1943.1	Kristen Greenfield	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1943.1	Kristen Greenfield	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1943.1	Kristen Greenfield	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1943.1	Kristen Greenfield	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1943.2	Kristen Greenfield	Retain the Special Character Area overlay as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1946.1	Lichelle Ngawini	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1946.1	Lichelle Ngawini	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1946.1	Lichelle Ngawini	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1946.1	Lichelle Ngawini	Accept the plan change without any amendments. Retain Low Density Residential zoning for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1946.2	Lichelle Ngawini	Recognise Hillpark's ecological value as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1946.3	Lichelle Ngawini	Recognise Hillpark's landscape visual significance as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1946.4	Lichelle Ngawini	Encourage council to conduct landscape visual assessments of Hillpark [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1946.4	Lichelle Ngawini	Encourage council to conduct landscape visual assessments of Hillpark [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS145	Jessie Kim	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1946.5	Lichelle Ngawini	Supports the proposed recognition of Hillpark's Special Character Area Overlay as a qualifying matter, [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1946.5	Lichelle Ngawini	Supports the proposed recognition of Hillpark's Special Character Area Overlay as a qualifying matter, [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS145	Jessie Kim	Support
1946.6	Lichelle Ngawini	Recognise the wider Hillpark's significant natural environment as a qualifying matter. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bres	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1950.1	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the inclusion of all Qualifying Matters in plan change.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS318	David Alison	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1950.2	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves provisions in the plan change which provide for high quality urban design standards to prevail.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS318	David Alison	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS409	Janet Grant	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1950.3	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves the qualifying matters for identified historic heritage and special character areas in Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS13	Keith Law	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bres	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS388	Pam Shearer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1950.4	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves all submissions made by the Character Coalition which advocate for all existing special character areas in the AUP to be identified and included in the plan change as qualifying matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Steg	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1950.5	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Approves submission by Character coalition seeking consideration of streetscapes as a whole to its criteria for assessment of heritage and SCAs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.6	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify that the building standards and rules in H3A do not apply to scheduled HH and also provide specifically for the application of more restrictive lower density residential rules to scheduled Historic Heritage sites.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS269	Parnell Community Committee	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
1950.7	Herne Bay Residents' Association Incorporated	Clarify or amend Standard H3A.6.9.1 Yards to the effect that Council does not retain any discretion to reduce the 1 metre minimum setback from side boundaries standard in any circumstances.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS341	Christopher Robert Smale	support
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1953.1	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Smales Farm] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1953.2	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Akoranga] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.2	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Akoranga] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.2	Matthew Wansbone	Amend [lower north shore RTN, Akoranga] WC to 1600m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.3	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.3	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.3	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.4	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1953.4	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.4	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.4	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.5	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.5	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.5	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1953.6	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1953.7	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.7	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.7	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.8	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.8	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.8	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.9	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.9	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.9	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.10	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.10	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.10	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.11	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.11	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.11	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.12	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1953.12	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.12	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.12	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.13	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.13	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.13	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.14	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.14	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.14	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.15	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.15	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.15	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.16	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.16	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.16	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.16	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1953.17	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.17	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.17	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.18	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.18	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.18	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for RTN stops on the isthmus to 1600m [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.19	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.19	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.19	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.20	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Botany].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Botany	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.20	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Botany].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Botany	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.20	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Botany].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Botany	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.21	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Henderson	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.21	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Henderson	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.21	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Henderson	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.21	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Henderson	FS339	New Zealand General Real Estate Limited	support
1953.22	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Manukau	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.22	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Manukau	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.22	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Manukau	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.23	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.23	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.23	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - New Lynn	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.24	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.24	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.24	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.25	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Papakura	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.25	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Papakura	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.25	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Papakura	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.26	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Sylvia Park	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.26	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Sylvia Park	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.26	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Sylvia Park	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.27	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Takapuna].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.27	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Takapuna].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.27	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Takapuna].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Takapuna	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.28	Matthew Wansbone	Amend for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Westgate].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Westgate	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.28	Matthew Wansbone	Amend for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Westgate].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Westgate	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.28	Matthew Wansbone	Amend for metropolitan centres to 1600m [Westgate].	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Westgate	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.29	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Albany] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.29	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Albany] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.29	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Albany] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.30	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Henderson] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.30	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Henderson] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.30	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Henderson] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.31	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Manukau] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.31	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Manukau] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.31	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Manukau] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.32	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, New Lynn] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.32	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, New Lynn] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.32	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, New Lynn] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.33	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Papakura] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.33	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Papakura] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.33	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Papakura] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.34	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Papatoetoe] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.34	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Papatoetoe] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.34	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Papatoetoe] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.35	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Constellation] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.35	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Constellation] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.35	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Constellation] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.36	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Drury Central] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.36	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Drury Central] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.36	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Drury Central] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.37	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Fruitvale Road] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.37	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Fruitvale Road] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.37	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Fruitvale Road] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.38	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Glen Eden] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.38	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Glen Eden] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.38	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Glen Eden] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.39	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Homai] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.39	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Homai] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.39	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Homai] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.40	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Manurewa] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.40	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Manurewa] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.40	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Manurewa] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.41	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Middlemore] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.41	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Middlemore] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.41	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Middlemore] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.42	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Paerata] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.42	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Paerata] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.42	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Paerata] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.43	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Pakuranga] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.43	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Pakuranga] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.43	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Pakuranga] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.44	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Puhinui] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.44	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Puhinui] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.44	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Puhinui] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.45	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Pukekohe] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.45	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Pukekohe] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.45	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Pukekohe] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.46	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Rānui] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.46	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Rānui] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.46	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Rānui] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.47	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Rosedale] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.47	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Rosedale] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.47	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Rosedale] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.48	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sturges Road] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.48	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sturges Road] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.48	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sturges Road] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.49	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sunnynook] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.49	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sunnynook] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.49	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sunnynook] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.50	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sunnyvale] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.50	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sunnyvale] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.50	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Sunnyvale] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.51	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Swanson] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.51	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Swanson] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.51	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Swanson] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.52	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Takaanini] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.52	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Takaanini] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.52	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Takaanini] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.52	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Takaanini] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS367	Dalkara GP Limited	support
1953.53	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Te Mahia] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.53	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Te Mahia] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.53	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC [for all RTN stops outside of the isthmus and lower north shore, Te Mahia] to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.54	Matthew Wansbone	Amend city centre WC to 2400m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.54	Matthew Wansbone	Amend city centre WC to 2400m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.54	Matthew Wansbone	Amend city centre WC to 2400m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.54	Matthew Wansbone	Amend city centre WC to 2400m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1953.55	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC of large and high accessibility town centres to at least 800m and other town centres and local centres to at least 400m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.55	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC of large and high accessibility town centres to at least 800m and other town centres and local centres to at least 400m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.55	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC of large and high accessibility town centres to at least 800m and other town centres and local centres to at least 400m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.55	Matthew Wansbone	Amend WC of large and high accessibility town centres to at least 800m and other town centres and local centres to at least 400m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1953.56	Matthew Wansbone	Increase the WC of the Highbury town centre to at least 800m and up to 1600m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.56	Matthew Wansbone	Increase the WC of the Highbury town centre to at least 800m and up to 1600m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.56	Matthew Wansbone	Increase the WC of the Highbury town centre to at least 800m and up to 1600m.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Other centres - extent of intensification	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.57	Matthew Wansbone	Apply Mixed Use zoning within WCs to allow for shops and amenities in these areas.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.57	Matthew Wansbone	Apply Mixed Use zoning within WCs to allow for shops and amenities in these areas.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.57	Matthew Wansbone	Apply Mixed Use zoning within WCs to allow for shops and amenities in these areas.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.58	Matthew Wansbone	Apply Mixed Use zoning within WCs to allow for shops and amenities in these areas.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.58	Matthew Wansbone	Apply Mixed Use zoning within WCs to allow for shops and amenities in these areas.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.58	Matthew Wansbone	Apply Mixed Use zoning within WCs to allow for shops and amenities in these areas.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.59	Matthew Wansbone	Include the following frequent bus services on key arterial corridors into the interpretation of a RTN: Great North Road, Sandringham Road, Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road, Manukau Road, Great South Road, Onewa Road extending along Birkenhead Ave, Glenfield Road and Mokoia Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
1953.59	Matthew Wansbone	Include the following frequent bus services on key arterial corridors into the interpretation of a RTN: Great North Road, Sandringham Road, Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road, Manukau Road, Great South Road, Onewa Road extending along Birkenhead Ave, Glenfield Road and Mokoia Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.59	Matthew Wansbone	Include the following frequent bus services on key arterial corridors into the interpretation of a RTN: Great North Road, Sandringham Road, Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road, Manukau Road, Great South Road, Onewa Road extending along Birkenhead Ave, Glenfield Road and Mokoia Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.59	Matthew Wansbone	Include the following frequent bus services on key arterial corridors into the interpretation of a RTN: Great North Road, Sandringham Road, Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road, Manukau Road, Great South Road, Onewa Road extending along Birkenhead Ave, Glenfield Road and Mokoia Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.59	Matthew Wansbone	Include the following frequent bus services on key arterial corridors into the interpretation of a RTN: Great North Road, Sandringham Road, Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road, Manukau Road, Great South Road, Onewa Road extending along Birkenhead Ave, Glenfield Road and Mokoia Road.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS477	K Vernon	oppose
1953.60	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from within WCs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1953.60	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from within WCs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.60	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from within WCs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.60	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from within WCs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1953.61	Matthew Wansbone	Remove Special Character Areas from across the isthmus.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1953.62	Matthew Wansbone	Apply SEA as a QM to the mapped SEA itself.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.62	Matthew Wansbone	Apply SEA as a QM to the mapped SEA itself.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
1953.62	Matthew Wansbone	Apply SEA as a QM to the mapped SEA itself.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.62	Matthew Wansbone	Apply SEA as a QM to the mapped SEA itself.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.63	Matthew Wansbone	Review application of some existing viewshafts, excluding those of national significance and/or of significance to iwi, as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.63	Matthew Wansbone	Review application of some existing viewshafts, excluding those of national significance and/or of significance to iwi, as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1953.63	Matthew Wansbone	Review application of some existing viewshafts, excluding those of national significance and/or of significance to iwi, as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.63	Matthew Wansbone	Review application of some existing viewshafts, excluding those of national significance and/or of significance to iwi, as a QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1953.64	Matthew Wansbone	Remove general height control of 72.5m across the city centre.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1953.64	Matthew Wansbone	Remove general height control of 72.5m across the city centre.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1953.64	Matthew Wansbone	Remove general height control of 72.5m across the city centre.	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
1955.1	Michael West	Amend the Grey Lynn local centre from a large local centre to a small local centre [so there are no adjacent areas of intensification].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1955.1	Michael West	Amend the Grey Lynn local centre from a large local centre to a small local centre [so there are no adjacent areas of intensification].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
1955.2	Michael West	Remove the 200m apartment zoning [THAB] adjacent to the Grey Lynn local centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
1955.2	Michael West	Remove the 200m apartment zoning [THAB] adjacent to the Grey Lynn local centre.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1958.1	Nicola Newman	Retain the Special Character Overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1958.1	Nicola Newman	Retain the Special Character Overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS145	Jessie Kim	Support
1958.2	Nicola Newman	Recognise the significant natural environment of Hillpark as an overlay [Qualifying Matter]. Hillpark's urban forest is part of a wider ecological corridor, is important for climate change and has the most notable trees in Auckland. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
1959.1	Nina Patel	Investigate the heritage values of the stable buildings in the Avondale 1 Precinct Area and consider relocating them at a minimum.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I305 Avondale 1 Precinct	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	oppose
1961.1	Oscar Sims	Remove H8.6.2 general height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	oppose
1961.1	Oscar Sims	Remove H8.6.2 general height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1961.1	Oscar Sims	Remove H8.6.2 general height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1961.1	Oscar Sims	Remove H8.6.2 general height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1961.2	Oscar Sims	Amend other unspecified provisions to encourage clustered high-rise development near Waihorotiu valley [city centre].	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	oppose
1961.3	Oscar Sims	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to 2000m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	oppose
1961.3	Oscar Sims	Amend the City Centre walkable catchment to 2000m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1961.4	Oscar Sims	Delete Special Character as a QM.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1961.5	Oscar Sims	Delete front and side-yard setback provisions under H6.6.9.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	oppose
1961.6	Oscar Sims	Amend H6.6.6 Height in relation to boundary to at least 16m + 60°.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.1	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.	Qualifying Matters Other	Auckland Museum Viewshaft (D19)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.2	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the HNC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support in
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.3	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Historic Heritage Overlay Place/Extent of Place.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.4	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONC overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONC and HNC (D11)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.5	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONF overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.6	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the ONL overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.7	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Ridgeline Protection overlay.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS435	Susan & Abe King	support
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.8	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Regional/Local Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.9	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the SEA overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.10	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the QM relating to the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga (D21)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.11	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area overlay QM.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area (D12)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS254	Exponential Properti	support
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS307	Board of Airline Rep	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.12	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Aircraft Noise overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Aircraft Noise (D24)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.13	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Erosion.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS338	Terry Zeng	support
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS343	Waiwera Properties Limited	support
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS351	Drive Holdings Limited	Support
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.14	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Coastal Inundation.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS10	Channel Terminal Services Limited	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS312	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.15	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to designations.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Designations	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS371	J&S West Trading Lin	support
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.16	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to flood plains.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS187	Orewa Development	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.17	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Water and/or Wastewater constraints control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Water and wastewater constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS205	Gerrad Hall	support
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.18	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS384	Retirement Villages Association	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.19	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Stormwater disposal constraints	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.20	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the National Grid Corridor overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	National Grid (D26)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.21	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Precincts.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	Chapter I Precincts - General (Other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.22	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to the Special Character Areas overlay - business and residential.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.23	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the QM relating to Notable Trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS254	Exponential Properti	support
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.24	Aedifice Property Group	Amend all QMs [so they are] applied to the spatially mapped extent only.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS254	Exponential Properti	support
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.25	Aedifice Property Group	Amend properties within urban areas that are subject to a spatially mapped QM to be within relevant residential environments and rezone to MHU or THAB.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.26	Aedifice Property Group	Delete inclusion of specific consent activities for dwellings in the overlay areas (e.g. HNC or SEA) as they duplicate rules in other chapters [if the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.27	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend H3A Activity Table] to permit up to three dwellings per site where this can be achieved outside of the extent of a QM or in a manner that would not adversely effect a QM [If the LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.28	Aedifice Property Group	Add Integrated Residential Developments to the activity table [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.29	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR zone and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.30	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the LDR to match at a minimum the MHS zone provisions and ensure the proposed approach is consistent throughout the chapter [if LDR zone is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.31	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees by removing the requirement that deep soil areas be contiguous and allow these areas to be provided within private outdoor living areas [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.32	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.19 Deep soil and canopy trees to halve the size requirements for canopy trees [if standard is deleted].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.33	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to require a buffer between habitable rooms rather than a dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.34	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer width from 1m to 600mm.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.35	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.9 maximum impervious area.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.36	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the H5.6.9 maximum impervious area by increasing the standard from 60% to 80%. [if standard is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.37	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.38	Aedifice Property Group	Apply the MDRS standards to four or more dwellings in THAB zone.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.39	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.40	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.41	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in MHU zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.42	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the private outdoor living requirements for 20 or more dwellings in THAB zone if a communal outdoor living area is provided [if the communal outdoor living area requirement for 20 or more dwellings is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.43	Aedifice Property Group	Approve [H6.6.6(1B) and H6.6.6(1C)] the Height in relation to boundary standard within WCs as notified.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.44	Aedifice Property Group	Amend [H6.6.6(1A)] Height in relation to boundary outside of WCs to 14m +60o within 21.5m of the site frontage to better enable buildings up to five storeys.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.45	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.46	Aedifice Property Group	[Amend the WC for all highly accessible Town Centres] to provide THAB zoning within an 800m WC from all highly accessible Town Centres in the isthmus area.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.47	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.48	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within 400m walking catchment of highly accessible local centres outside of the Isthmus area.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.49	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.50	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] provide THAB zoning within a 1600m walking catchment of the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.51	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum height limit to 32.5m within rapid transit WCs that are a ten minute journey to the City Centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Height	City Centre WC Intensification response (e.g. zoning, precinct, HVC)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.52	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea and Karanga-Hape Stations) [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart. Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.53	Aedifice Property Group	Amend maximum building height to 32.5m within rapid transit walkable catchments within a 10 minute journey to the city centre (Britomart, Aotea, and Karanga-Hape Stations).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.54	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres.	Height	RTN WC Intensification response	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS14	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Support
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimar	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.55	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the maximum building height limit to 27m within rapid transit walkable catchments that are a 15 minute journey of the City Centre and are a 5 minute journey from Metropolitan Centres [to allow for more than 6 storeys].	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.56	Aedifice Property Group	[Inferred: Rezone all properties to] THAB within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.57	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Akoranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Akoranga	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.58	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.59	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activities H6.4.1(A14) and H6.4.1(A15) to allow retail (including but not limited to dairies, restaurants and cafes) up to 100m2 GFA as a permitted activity, where these are located within walking catchments.	Walkable Catchments	WC General - Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.60	Aedifice Property Group	Amend special information requirement (D15.9) to apply to applications for discretionary or non-complying activities only.	Qualifying Matters Other	Ridgeline Protection (D15)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.61	Aedifice Property Group	Delete all new activities and standards [such as Minor Dwelling and Home Occupation] in Chapter D18 [Special Character Area] carried over from the Single House zone.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.62	Aedifice Property Group	Retain the operative standard D18.6.1.3 Yards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.63	Aedifice Property Group	Add more historic heritage areas as a QM to allow best examples of Auckland's heritage to be retained	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.64	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR and SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR and SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.65	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAR overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAR overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.66	Aedifice Property Group	Amend underlying zone subject to SCAB overlays to allow for intensification [if the SCAB overlay areas are retained].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - general or non-specific	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.67	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 [infer H6.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.68	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 [infer H5.8.2] to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 26 of submission for details].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.69	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.2(5), H3A.2(6), H3A.2(7), H3A.2(8), H3A.2(9), H3A.2(10), H3A.2(11) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.70	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.3(8), H3A.3(9), H3A.3(10), H3A.3(11), H3A.3(12), H3A.3(13), H3A.3(14), H3A.3(15), H3A.3(16), H3A.3(17) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.71	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.11(6) [infer H5.6.12] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H5.6.19.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.72	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A4) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.73	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A5) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.74	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A6) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.75	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A7) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.76	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A8) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.77	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1(A9) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.78	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H3A.4.1 (A10) [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.79	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H3A.5(4) Notification [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.80	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.1 [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.81	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.4 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified in the planning maps [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.82	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.5 Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Residential Single Dwelling and Subdivision Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.83	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.6 Additions to buildings structures existing at 30 September 2013 in the ONC, HNC, ONL overlays [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.84	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.7(2) Building height [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.85	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.10(2) Maximum impervious area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.86	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.11(2), H3A.6.11(3), H3A.6.11(4) Building coverage [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.87	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H3A.6.12(3) Landscaped area [if LDR is retained].	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.88	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.89	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(1B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.90	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents and (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.91	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(6).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.92	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H5.2(7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.93	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H5.2(8).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.94	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H5.2(9).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.95	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(6A) as follows: '(a) maintaining Achieving a suitable level of privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight access to provide for the health and safety of residents on-site;...(e)minimising visual dominance effects of carparking and garage doors to streets and private accessways; (f) minimising adverse effects on the natural environment, including restricting maximum impervious area on a site to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff generated by a development and ensure that adverse effects on water quality, quantity and amenity values are avoided or mitigated; (g) requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effects of development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees;'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.96	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H5.3(14) as follows: 'Require development of four or more dwelling per site to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.97	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(15).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.98	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H5.3(16).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.99	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Activity H.6.4.1(A2A).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.100	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).[infer means H5.4.1].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.101	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.5.4.1 (A3) to MDRS matters only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.102	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.103	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A14B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.104	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1 (A14C)	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.105	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A30) and (A30A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.106	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.5.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one activity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.107	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.108	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H5.4.1(A33A).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.109	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.5.4.1 (A32B).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.110	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H5.5(5) as follows: '(i) Standard H5.6.4 Building height; (ii) Standard H5.6.5 Height in relation to boundary; (iii) Standard H5.6.8(1) Yards; (iv) Standard H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area; (v) Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; (vi) Standard H5.6.11 (5), (6) and (7) Landscaped area; (vii) Standard H5.6.12 (1) – (9) Outlook space; (viii) Standard H5.6.13 Daylight; (ix) Standard H5.6.14(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (x) Standard H5.6.15 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (xi) Standard H5.6.16 Minimum dwelling size; (xii) Standard H5.6.18(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xiii) Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xiv) Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private vehicle and pedestrian accessways (xv) Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.111	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.112	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.113	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.3.C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.114	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H5.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.115	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.116	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.10(2) Building Coverage.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.117	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 [infer means H5.6.11] Landscaped Area to amalgamate H5.6.11(3) and H5.6.11(4) with H5.6.11(5), H5.6.1(6) and H5.6.11(7) and deleting the headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.118	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.12(1), H5.6.12(2), H5.6.12(3), H5.6.12(4), H5.6.12(5), H5.6.12(6), H5.6.12(7), H5.6.12(8), H5.6.12(9) so that all outlook space is applied under H5.6.12(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS187	Orewa Development	support
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS278	Apec Equity Limited	Support
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.119	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H5.6.13 Daylight.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.120	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H5.6.14(1), H5.6.14(2), H5.6.14(3), H5.6.14(4) so that all outdoor living space is applied under H5.6.14(A1).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.121	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) [infer means H5.6.18] as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house and visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle accessway or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [infers means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.122	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 [inferred means H5.6.20] Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.123	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) [infer means H5.6.21(1)(b)] Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.124	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2(1A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.125	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2(3) as follows: 'Development provides high-quality amenity: (a) on-site residential amenity for residents; (b) to adjoining sites; and (c) to the street.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.126	Aedifice Property Group	Approve Objective H6.2 (5).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.127	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (6) as follows: 'Development does not adversely affect the environmental values of adjoining water bodies including riparian, lakeside and coastal protection areas and does not nor increase the impact from their potential natural hazard risks.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.128	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (7) as follows: 'Development is enabled where it can be serviced by the water supply, wastewater and stormwater networks to manage adverse effects.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.129	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Objective H6.2 (8) as follows: 'Enable safer pedestrian movements within the immediate locality of from higher density developments to ensure ease of pedestrian movement within the immediate locality, including to the rapid transport stops.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.130	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Objective H6.2(9).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.131	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(A4) as follows: delete from part (e) '... and private accessways.', delete from part (f) '...the maximum..', and amend part (g) as follows: 'requiring encouraging development to reduce the urban heat island effect on development and respond to climate change, by providing deep soil areas that enable the growth of canopy trees; and...'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.132	Aedifice Property Group	Amend Policy H6.3(14) as follows: 'Require development to contribute to a safety improvements of the immediate urban road environment, in particular where to achieve pedestrian connectivity is provided to public transport.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.133	Aedifice Property Group	Delete Policy H6.3(15).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.134	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.135	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A2B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.136	Aedifice Property Group	Approve activity H6.4.1(A3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.137	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H.6.4.1(A3B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.138	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A3C).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.139	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A14) status to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.140	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H.6.4.1(A14) from 'dairies' to 'retail'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.141	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.5(6) Notification.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.142	Aedifice Property Group	Amend activity H6.4.1 (A15) status [infer small food and beverage] to permitted where located within a walkable catchment.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.143	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.12(e)[2] as follows: 'Any part of the landscaped area must have a minimum dimension of 1m and minimum area of 4m2 as shown below in Figure H6.6.20.1 Example of Deep soil area requirements and the relationship with the Landscaped area and the Safety and privacy buffer.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.144	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A31) and (A31A) as one permitted activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.145	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H.6.4.1(A32) and (A32A) as one activity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.146	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.147	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1(A33A).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.148	Aedifice Property Group	Delete activity H6.4.1 (A33B).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.149	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.5 (5) Notification as follows: '(a) Standards that apply across the zone: (i) Standard H6.6.9 Yards (ii) Standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area; (iii) Standard H6.6.11 Building coverage (iv) Standard H6.6.12(1) - (3) Landscaped area; (v) Standard H6.6.13(1) – (9) Outlook space; (vi) Standard H6.6.14 Daylight; (vii) Standard H6.6.15(1) – (4) Outdoor living space; (viii) Standard H6.6.16 Front, side and rear fences and walls; (ix) Standard H6.6.17 Minimum dwelling size; (x) Standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; (xi) Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; (xii) Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; (xiii) Standard H6.6.22. Residential waste management.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.150	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.151	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.152	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.153	Aedifice Property Group	Retain H6.6.5(2)(b) Height in relation to boundary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS288	Andrea Frances Duncan	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.154	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.10 Maximum impervious area.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.155	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.11(2) Building Coverage.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.156	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.12 Landscaped Area by amalgamating parts H6.6.12(A1) with H6.6.12(1) and deleting headings relating to the number of dwellings.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.157	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.13(1), H6.6.13(2), H6.6.13(3), H6.6.13(4), H6.6.13(5), H6.6.13(6), H6.6.13(7), H6.6.13(8), H6.6.13(9) so all outlook space is applied under H6.6.13(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.158	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.14 Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.159	Aedifice Property Group	Delete H6.6.15(1), H6.6.15(2), H6.6.15(3), H6.6.15(4) so all outlook [outdoor] living space is applied under H6.6.15(A1).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.160	Aedifice Property Group	Amend standard H6.6.19(2) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as follows: 'Any dwelling, integrated residential development, supported residential care, boarding house. And visitor accommodation facing the street or private vehicle or private pedestrian accessway, must have a minimum of 20 per cent of the relevant façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.'	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.161	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.20 Deep Soil area and canopy tree.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.162	Aedifice Property Group	Delete standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.163	Aedifice Property Group	Approve standard H6.6.22 (1)(b) Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.164	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of canopy tree as follows: 'A tree that that has a minimum mature height of 8 metres 4 metres and canopy diameter of 6 metres 3 metres [to be achieved at maturity].'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.165	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'deep soil area' as follows: ' A vegetated or mulched area.... Deep soil areas must be free of buildings, parking spaces, servicing and manoeuvring. Deep soil Artificial grass and pavers are excluded from the deep soil area.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.166	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'dwelling' as follows: 'Living accommodation used or designed to be used for a residential purpose as a single household residence contained within one or more buildings, and served by a food preparation facility/kitchen...Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.' or amend definition to only read as follows: 'Includes a residential unit which has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.167	Aedifice Property Group	Retain operative definition of 'Landscaped area'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.168	Aedifice Property Group	Approve the definition of 'relevant residential zone'.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.169	Aedifice Property Group	Amend the definition of 'servicing area' as follows: 'The area used to accommodate facilities necessary for the day to day needs of occupants, including:... heat pumps or air conditioning external units... Servicing facilities exclude:... external gas heaters boxes, heat pump or air conditioning external units; fibre internet boxes...'	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.170	Aedifice Property Group	No decision requested re the definition of 'urban heat island' .	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.171	Aedifice Property Group	Delete LDR and rezone [all properties subject to the LDR] to Single House (where outside of a relevant residential environment) or otherwise upzone to MHU or THAB .	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.172	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Albany].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Albany	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.173	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Avondale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Avondale	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.174	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Baldwin Ave].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Baldwin Ave	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.175	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Constellation].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Constellation	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.176	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Drury Central].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Drury Central	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.177	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ellerslie].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ellerslie	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.178	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Fruitvale Road].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Fruitvale Rd	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.179	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Eden	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.180	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Glen Innes].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Glen Innes	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.181	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Grafton].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Grafton	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.182	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Greenlane].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Greenlane	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS339	New Zealand General Real Estate Limited	support
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.183	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Henderson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Henderson	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.184	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Homai].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Homai	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.185	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Kingsland].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Kingsland	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.186	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manukau].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manukau	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.187	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Manurewa].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Manurewa	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.188	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Meadowbank].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Meadowbank	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.189	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Middlemore].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Middlemore	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.190	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Morningside].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Morningside	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS67	Michael John Graham Goodger	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.191	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Albert].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Albert	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.192	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Mt Eden].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Mt Eden	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.193	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [New Lynn].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN New Lynn	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.194	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Newmarket].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Newmarket	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.195	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōrākei].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōrākei	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.196	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Ōtāhuhu].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Ōtāhuhu	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.197	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Paerata].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Paerata	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.198	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pakuranga].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pakuranga	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLearn	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.199	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Panmure].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Panmure	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.200	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papakura].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papakura	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.201	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Papatoetoe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Papatoetoe	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.202	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Parnell].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Parnell	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.203	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Penrose].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Penrose	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.204	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Puhinui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Puhinui	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.205	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Pukekohe].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Pukekohe	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.206	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rānui].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rānui	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS474	Alastair Porter	support
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.207	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Remuera].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Remuera	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.208	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Rosedale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Rosedale	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS341	Christopher Robert Smale	support
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.209	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Smales Farm].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Smales Farm	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.210	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sturges Rd].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sturges Rd	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.211	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnynook].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnynook	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.212	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sunnyvale].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sunnyvale	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS05	Andy S W An	Support
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.213	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Swanson].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Swanson	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.214	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Sylvia Park].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Sylvia Park	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS367	Dalkara GP Limited	support
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.215	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Takaanini].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.216	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Te Mahia].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Te Mahia	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.217	Aedifice Property Group	Provide THAB zoning within a 1200m walking catchment of all rapid transit stations [Future stops].	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Future stops	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.218	Aedifice Property Group	Delete the requirement for the deep soil area to be provided in one contiguous area and allow it to be provided within private outdoor living areas under H6.6.20 [if the standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.219	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.6.21 to reduce the buffer requirement to 600mm and only require it to be provided when adjacent to the outlook of a habitable room [if standard is retained].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS164	Parnell East Commur	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS279	Laurence Newhook, Judith Newhook, Tony Eede, Carolyn Eede, Anne Bollard, Tony Garnier, Peter Sargisson, Hannah Sargisson, Wayne Hughes and Jane Hughes	Oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1962.220	Aedifice Property Group	Amend H6.8.2 to reflect consequential amendments requested as part of the submission [refer to page 34 of submission for details].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1963.1	Aedifice Development Limited	Include 4 Scott Road Hobsonville in the plan change or alternatively apply to all of the Scott Precinct area.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1963.2	Aedifice Development Limited	Rezone 4 Scott Road Hobsonville to MHU or alternatively rezone all of the Scott Point Precinct area to MHU.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1963.3	Aedifce Development Limited	Delete the affordability provisions from the Scott Point Precinct.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1963.4	Aedifce Development Limited	Delete the Scotts Point Precinct [if zoning to MHU is approved].	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1966.1	Noel Wallace Ingram	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1967.1	Jennifer Andrew	Apply [SCAR] QM to Glen Innes, Point England, Panmure and Glendowie to matters of height.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1967.1	Jennifer Andrew	Apply [SCAR] QM to Glen Innes, Point England, Panmure and Glendowie to matters of height.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1967.2	Jennifer Andrew	Apply [heritage] QM to Glen Innes, Point England, Panmure and Glendowie to matters of height.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1967.2	Jennifer Andrew	Apply [heritage] QM to Glen Innes, Point England, Panmure and Glendowie to matters of height.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1967.3	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks restrictions on intensification relating to natural hazards in relation to coastal erosion, tsunami zone, earthquakes and volcanic events.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1967.3	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks restrictions on intensification relating to natural hazards in relation to coastal erosion, tsunami zone, earthquakes and volcanic events.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Oppose
1967.3	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks restrictions on intensification relating to natural hazards in relation to coastal erosion, tsunami zone, earthquakes and volcanic events.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1967.4	Jennifer Andrew	Seek amenity provisions such as access to sunlight, shading and wind effects are incorporated into the plan change.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1967.4	Jennifer Andrew	Seek amenity provisions such as access to sunlight, shading and wind effects are incorporated into the plan change.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1967.5	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks design of all buildings in a metropolitan area and MDRS zone provide a good quality of life to residents to ensure liveable communities.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support
1967.5	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks design of all buildings in a metropolitan area and MDRS zone provide a good quality of life to residents to ensure liveable communities.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1967.6	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks design of all buildings in a metropolitan area and MDRS zone provide a good quality of life to residents to ensure liveable communities.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1967.6	Jennifer Andrew	Seeks design of all buildings in a metropolitan area and MDRS zone provide a good quality of life to residents to ensure liveable communities.	Business Zones provisions	Business Zones (General or other)	FS524	69 Roberta Avenue Limited	oppose
1970.1	Stuart East and Lisa East	Remove the SCAR overlay that applies to 165 St Andrews Road, Epsom and revert to the preliminary SCAR overlay proposal in April 2022 [SCAR overlay was removed].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1970.1	Stuart East and Lisa East	Remove the SCAR overlay that applies to 165 St Andrews Road, Epsom and revert to the preliminary SCAR overlay proposal in April 2022 [SCAR overlay was removed].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1971.1	Graham Miln Sewell	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1972.1	Alan Stokes and 41 Signatories	Amend the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay to include the area shown in the plan attached to the submission (properties along Remuera Road between Pukeora Avenue and 740 Remuera Road, Remuera which are inferred to include: 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 722 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road and 682 Remuera Road; 1A Kelvin Road, 2 Kelvin Road and 3 Kelvin Road; and 1 Pukeora Avenue, 1A Pukeora Avenue, 2 Pukeora Avenue, 4 Pukeora Avenue, 5 Pukeora Avenue and 12 Pukeora Avenue, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1973.1	Graeme Roderick Joyce and Jessie McQueen Joyce	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1975.1	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS252	Eke Panuku Develop	oppose
1975.1	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1975.1	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS274	Sanford Limited	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1975.1	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1975.2	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS134	Orams Group Limited	support in
1975.2	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS252	Eke Panuku Develop	oppose
1975.2	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1975.2	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS274	Sanford Limited	Support in part
1975.2	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Delete the FAR and maximum floor total floor area controls that apply to the Wynyard Quarter.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1975.3	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard of each area within Wynyard Quarter by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS134	Orams Group Limited	support in
1975.3	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard of each area within Wynyard Quarter by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS252	Eke Panuku Develop	oppose
1975.3	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard of each area within Wynyard Quarter by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1975.3	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard of each area within Wynyard Quarter by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS274	Sanford Limited	Support in part
1975.3	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard of each area within Wynyard Quarter by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I214 Wynyard Precinct	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1975.4	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard in each sub-precinct within Takapuna by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1975.4	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Add additional provisions which increase the maximum height standard in each sub-precinct within Takapuna by no more than 15 metres on a restricted discretionary basis provided the applicant demonstrates the proposed development will achieve good design outcomes in keeping with the special character of the area and its waterfront location.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I540 Takapuna 1 Precinct	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1975.5	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Approve the Height Controls within the City Centre zone as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1975.5	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Approve the Height Controls within the City Centre zone as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS274	Sanford Limited	Support in part

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1975.5	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Approve the Height Controls within the City Centre zone as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1975.6	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Approve the removal of the FAR controls within the City Centre zone as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS266	Judith Gayleen Mackereth	oppose
1975.6	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Approve the removal of the FAR controls within the City Centre zone as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS274	Sanford Limited	Support in part
1975.6	Willis Bond and Company Limited	Approve the removal of the FAR controls within the City Centre zone as notified.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS285	Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited	support in part
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS391	Tūpuna Maunga o Tā	oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
1976.1	Susan King and Abe King	Delete the Regional Maunga Viewshafts and Height and Building Sensitive Areas overlay as a QM	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
1977.1	Wayne Moffat	Amend City Centre WC to 800m.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1978.5	Carolina Patricia Boyle	Amend the boundary for the WC to be along the north side of Owens Road, Epsom to provide a clearer more logical boundary.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Newmarket	FS389	Southern Cross Health	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1979.1	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	[Amend the extent of the SCAR overlays as a QM in the AUP to specifically include] those areas within WCs that the Council wrongly excluded because the methodology adopted applies a stricter threshold to areas within WCs than to areas outside WCs.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1979.2	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	[Amend the extent of the SCAR overlays as a QM in the AUP to specifically include] those areas on the slopes of Maunga subject to regionally important viewshafts (i.e., Mount Albert and Mount Hobson) which have wrongly been excluded due to inadequate consideration under sections 5, 6 and 7 of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1979.3	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	Add all existing SCARs in the AUP(OP) as QMs as part of the plan change [if areas within WCs and areas subject to regionally significant viewshafts are not identified as QMs] [see page 5 of submission for details].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1979.4	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	Review all residential properties built post 1945 to determine whether or not they should be included within the SCAR as a QM and adjust the extent of the QM accordingly.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1979.5	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	Review the interface between areas subject to the SCAR QM and their neighbouring zones to ensure that the zoning interface does not compromise the special character that has been identified.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1979.6	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	Amend the plan change to ensure that there is an intervening zone or open space between LDR zoned sites identified as special character and any other zone that provides for a maximum height standard in excess of 11 m.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - general or non-specific	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1979.7	Ruth Natalie Allan and Douglas Andrew Allan	Amend the plan change to ensure that there is an intervening zone or open space between LDR zoned sites identified as special character and any other zone that provides for a maximum height standard in excess of 11 m.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.1	University of Auckland	Delete or amend objectives H8.2(4)(d) and H8.2(13) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.2	University of Auckland	Delete or amend Polices H8.3(12A), H8.3(14) and H8.3(30A) to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.3	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.10, H8.6.11, H8.6.12, H8.6.13, H8.6.14, H8.6.15, H8.6.16, H8.6.17, H8.6.18, H8.6.18, H8.6.19, H8.6.20, and H8.6.21.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.4	University of Auckland	Delete standards H8.6.22, H8.6.24, H8.6.24A, H8.6.25, H8.6.25A, H8.6.32, and H8.6.34 or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.5	University of Auckland	Delete special information requirement H8.10.1.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.6	University of Auckland	Delete Figures 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 in Appendix 11 Business – City Centre Zone sunlight admission into public places, or amended to address the concerns set out in the submission	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.7	University of Auckland	Delete standards I207.6.3 and I207.6.4 and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.8	University of Auckland	Delete rules I207.4(A23) and I207.4(A24) and any consequential provisions.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.9	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I207 Learning Precinct	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS14	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Support
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.10	University of Auckland	Apply building heights of at least 30m to 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton.	Height	Business Height - Strategic Approach (use of a single control HVC/Zone/Precinct to limit height)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1980.11	University of Auckland	Delete the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control within the Learning Precinct from the planning maps.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Combined wastewater network	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1980.12	University of Auckland	Supports the identification of 85 Park Road Grafton, 1-71 Park Road, Grafton, and 123-135 Park Road, Grafton being located within a walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1981.1	Southpark	Amend H5.1 MHU zone description to clarify that the MHU zone has a role in the reduction in carbon emissions through promoting a compact urban form and through criteria for 4+ dwellings that encourages deep soil areas for canopy trees to act as carbon sinks.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1981.1	Southpark	Amend H5.1 MHU zone description to clarify that the MHU zone has a role in the reduction in carbon emissions through promoting a compact urban form and through criteria for 4+ dwellings that encourages deep soil areas for canopy trees to act as carbon sinks.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1981.1	Southpark	Amend H5.1 MHU zone description to clarify that the MHU zone has a role in the reduction in carbon emissions through promoting a compact urban form and through criteria for 4+ dwellings that encourages deep soil areas for canopy trees to act as carbon sinks.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1981.8	Southpark	Amend objective H5.2(6) as follows: Development contributes to a high-quality built environment that is resilient to the effects of climate change. and supports a reduction in carbon emissions.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support
1981.21	Southpark	Amend standards to be complied with for H5.4.1.(A3) Up to three dwellings per site, as follows: Delete reference to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> H5.6.3B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian vehicle accessways; Standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management. 	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
1981.63	Southpark	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2.(18), H5.8.2.(19), H5.8.2.(20), and special information requirements H5.9.(1) Landscape plans, H5.9.(2) Deep soil area and canopy tree, and H5.9.(3) residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
1982.1	Dalkara GP Limited	Include 3 and 4 Cunningham Place, Conifer Grove in the Takanini RTN walkable catchment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Takaanini	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1982.3	Dalkara GP Limited	Apply NPS-UD and MDRS zoning changes regardless of qualifying matters that are managed by overlays or other specific provisions in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1982.3	Dalkara GP Limited	Apply NPS-UD and MDRS zoning changes regardless of qualifying matters that are managed by overlays or other specific provisions in the AUP.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1982.5	Dalkara GP Limited	Ensure Costal Hazard overlay qualifying matter does not affect the zoning of 3 and 4 Cunningham Place, Conifer Grove.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1983.1	Cassiny Limited	Reject standards I600.6.1 that restrict minimum site size in the proposed zones to 450m2 (MHU zone) and 2000m2 (Large Lot Residential zone).	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I600 Babich Precinct	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1983.4	Cassiny Limited	Remove the flood plain qualifying matter from 16 Babich Road, Henderson Valley.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS25	Amber Jewel Johnston	Support
1983.8	Cassiny Limited	Remove any proposed standards or existing standards that are more onerous or restrictive than those for permitted activities under the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1983.8	Cassiny Limited	Remove any proposed standards or existing standards that are more onerous or restrictive than those for permitted activities under the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1984.1	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the SEA qualifying matter applies only to the SEA-T area overlay identified on a site by amending D9.1.1 as follows: This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 77I(a) and 77O(a) of the RMA., with the qualifying matter area only applicable to the extent of the feature identified in the SEA-T Overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
1984.1	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the SEA qualifying matter applies only to the SEA-T area overlay identified on a site by amending D9.1.1 as follows: This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 77I(a) and 77O(a) of the RMA., with the qualifying matter area only applicable to the extent of the feature identified in the SEA-T Overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1984.1	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the SEA qualifying matter applies only to the SEA-T area overlay identified on a site by amending D9.1.1 as follows: This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 77I(a) and 77O(a) of the RMA., with the qualifying matter area only applicable to the extent of the feature identified in the SEA-T Overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1984.1	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the SEA qualifying matter applies only to the SEA-T area overlay identified on a site by amending D9.1.1 as follows: This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with sections 77I(a) and 77O(a) of the RMA., with the qualifying matter area only applicable to the extent of the feature identified in the SEA-T Overlay.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1984.3	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay qualifying matter applies only where development on a site within this overlay will infringe the viewshaft, by amending D14.1 as follows: This overlay contributes to Auckland's unique identity by protecting the natural and cultural heritage values of significant volcanic cones maunga. This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with section 77I(a) and (h) and section 77O(a) and (h) of the RMA, and is only applicable as a qualifying matter area where the viewshaft co-ordinates within this overlay are infringed.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS228	JGUO Developments Limited	support
1984.3	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay qualifying matter applies only where development on a site within this overlay will infringe the viewshaft, by amending D14.1 as follows: This overlay contributes to Auckland's unique identity by protecting the natural and cultural heritage values of significant volcanic cones maunga. This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with section 77I(a) and (h) and section 77O(a) and (h) of the RMA, and is only applicable as a qualifying matter area where the viewshaft co-ordinates within this overlay are infringed.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS391	Tūpuna Maunga o Tā	oppose
1984.3	The Surveying Company Ltd	Confirm the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay qualifying matter applies only where development on a site within this overlay will infringe the viewshaft, by amending D14.1 as follows: This overlay contributes to Auckland's unique identity by protecting the natural and cultural heritage values of significant volcanic cones maunga. This overlay has been identified as a qualifying matter in accordance with section 77I(a) and (h) and section 77O(a) and (h) of the RMA, and is only applicable as a qualifying matter area where the viewshaft co-ordinates within this overlay are infringed.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS435	Susan & Abe King	support
1984.12	The Surveying Company Ltd	Amend rule H5.4.1.(A30) to include Standard H5.6.3A Number of dwellings per site, and amend Standard H5.6.10 Building coverage; as follows: Standard H5.6.10(1) Building Coverage;	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1984.20	The Surveying Company Ltd	Amend H5.6.21(1)(c) as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exempt rear sites (ii) not require bins to be transported through dwellings, across unpaved surfaces or up and down stairs or steep gradients (refer to Transport E27.6.6.2) (iii) be accessible for collectors and residents with a minimum on-site footpath width of 1.35m; and (v) provide lighting (refer to Lighting E24.6.2) between storage locations and collection points. Amend H5.6.21 as follows: (2) Where kerbside collection is used, there must be kerbside space of at least 1m per dwelling, contained within the road frontage of the site without impeding the public footpath. Developments that cannot comply with this will instead need to comply with H5.6.21(3) and either H5.6.21(4) or (5).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support in part
1984.21	The Surveying Company Ltd	Amend the definition of a canopy tree to include a reduced minimum mature height and canopy diameter in recognition that the canopy tree is required to be planted in a built up urban environment and can result in reduced daylight and sunlight access to dwellings (both on the site and on adjoining sites).	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1985.2	Craig Dwerryhouse	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1985.3	Craig Dwerryhouse	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1985.7	Craig Dwerryhouse	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
1986.1	Rosemarke Investments Limited	Remove the requirement in Activity Table H5.4.1 for four or more units to comply with standards additional to those required to be complied with as a permitted activity, and amend any of the proposed standards to be consistent with the MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1986.2	Rosemarke Investments Limited	Remove any proposed standards or existing standards that are more onerous or restrictive than those for permitted activities under the MDRS. This includes The new standards of: H5.6.19 Deep Soil area and canopy tree; H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian vehicle accessways; and H5.6.21 Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
1986.2	Rosemarke Investments Limited	Remove any proposed standards or existing standards that are more onerous or restrictive than those for permitted activities under the MDRS. This includes The new standards of: H5.6.19 Deep Soil area and canopy tree; H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian vehicle accessways; and H5.6.21 Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1986.2	Rosemarke Investments Limited	Remove any proposed standards or existing standards that are more onerous or restrictive than those for permitted activities under the MDRS. This includes The new standards of: H5.6.19 Deep Soil area and canopy tree; H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian vehicle accessways; and H5.6.21 Residential waste management.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1987.3	Andrew Denee	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1987.4	Andrew Denee	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
1988.1	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	Rezone 3 Matakana Road, Warkworth to Mixed Housing Urban zone as opposed to the Residential Large Lot zoning and incorporating the MDRS with regard to PC78 objectives.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
1988.1	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	Rezone 3 Matakana Road, Warkworth to Mixed Housing Urban zone as opposed to the Residential Large Lot zoning and incorporating the MDRS with regard to PC78 objectives.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
1988.1	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	Rezone 3 Matakana Road, Warkworth to Mixed Housing Urban zone as opposed to the Residential Large Lot zoning and incorporating the MDRS with regard to PC78 objectives.	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
1988.5	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	Review the application of the Flood plain qualifying matter for 3 Matakana Road, Warkworth in light of section 77L and 77K of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
1988.5	Robyn Alexander and Katherine Heatley	Review the application of the Flood plain qualifying matter for 3 Matakana Road, Warkworth in light of section 77L and 77K of the RMA.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
1990.1	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1990.1	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1990.2	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Rezone the properties at 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road,(and) 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, (and) 56 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road 68A Seaview Road, 68B Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 70A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, Remuera (and) 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road,109 Seaview Road, Remuera (and) 117 Seaview Road, 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1990.2	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Rezone the properties at 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road,(and) 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, (and) 56 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road 68A Seaview Road, 68B Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 70A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, Remuera (and) 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road,109 Seaview Road, Remuera (and) 117 Seaview Road, 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1990.3	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Retain the Special Character Overlay for the following Seaview Road properties:10 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 20A Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 28B Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 38A Seaview, 40 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 46A Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road,50 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, Remuera and 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 49A Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1990.3	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Retain the Special Character Overlay for the following Seaview Road properties:10 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 20A Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 28B Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 38A Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 46A Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road,50 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, Remuera and 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 49A Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1990.4	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes the following Seaview Road, Remuera properties: 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, (and) 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, (and) 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A Seaview Road, 68B Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road,(and) 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road,107 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road,113 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 115A Seaview Road,117 Seaview Road (and)119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1990.4	Fiona Terry and Malcolm Webb	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes the following Seaview Road, Remuera properties: 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, (and) 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, (and) 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A Seaview Road, 68B Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road,(and) 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road,107 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road,113 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 115A Seaview Road,117 Seaview Road (and)119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
1991.10	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve re-naming references from volcanic cones to Maunga.	Plan making and procedural	Te Reo Māori terms	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.2	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve Tūpuna Maunga as a qualifying matter of national importance in zones, overlays and Auckland-wide provisions in Table A1.4.8.1.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.5	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve D14.1 overlay description as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.6	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve Objective D14.2(3) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.7	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve D14.3 Policies as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1991.8	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve D14.4 activity table activities (A3), (A4), (A5), (A6), (A7), (A8), (A10) and (A11) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.9	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve D14.4 Activity table activities (A7), (A7B), (A7C) and (A7D) as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.12	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve Standards D14.6.5 - D14.6.8. [D14.6.5 Building coverage, Standard D14.6.6 Landscaped area, Standard D14.6.7 Earthworks] as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.13	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Approve D14.8 Assessment - restricted discretionary activities as notified.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Oppose
1991.43	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Rezone all residential land within the Height and Building Sensitive Areas Overlay to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1991.45	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Analyse the effects of additional building height on Maunga to Maunga views and make any consequential amendments to Schedule 9 Maunga Viewshafts Schedule and the planning maps.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
1991.46	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Analyse the effects of additional building height on Maunga to Maunga views and make any consequential amendments to Schedule 9 Maunga Viewshafts Schedule and the planning maps.	Plan making and procedural	Mapping - general, clarity of rezoning	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
1991.47	Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority	Analyse the effects of additional building height on Maunga to Maunga views and make any consequential amendments to Schedule 9 Maunga Viewshafts Schedule and the planning maps.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 9 Maunga Viewshafts Schedule	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1992.1	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1992.2	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS286	William Peake	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
1992.3	Te Aitutaki Whanau Trust	Delete all references to special character, delete all of D18, delete all overlay maps/schedules/appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
1995.1	Retirement Village Neighbourhood Group	Rezone 17 Upland Road, 5 Ventnor Road, 37 Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 17 Ventnor Road, 3 Ventnor Road, 31A Upland Road, 7 Ventnor Road, 27A Upland Road, 27B-27C Upland Road, 1 Ventnor Road, 33 Upland Road, 11B Ventnor Road, 11A Ventnor Road, 13 Ventnor Road, 27B Upland Road, 15 Ventnor Road, 1/20 Lucerne Road, 1/636 Remuera Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 11 Upland Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 17 Upland Road, 18 Lucerne Road, 2 Lucerne Road, 2/20 Lucerne Road, 2/636 Remuera Road, 22 Lucerne Road, 3/20 Lucerne Road, 3/636 Remuera Road, 37 Ventnor Road, 39 Ventnor Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4/20 Lucerne Road, 4/636 Remuera Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 5 Upland Road, 5/636 Remuera Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 6/636 Remuera Road, 610 Remuera Road, 612 Remuera Road, 614 Remuera Road, 616-618 Remuera Road, 634 Remuera Road, 640 Remuera Road, 7 Upland Road, 7/636 Remuera Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 8/636 Remuera Road, 9 Upland Road and 9/636 Remuera Road, Remuera from medium density residential [Mixed Housing Urban] to Low Density Residential Zone. [also indicated property to remain MHS or Low Density Residential].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1995.1	Retirement Village Neighbourhood Group	Rezone 17 Upland Road, 5 Ventnor Road, 37 Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 17 Ventnor Road, 3 Ventnor Road, 31A Upland Road, 7 Ventnor Road, 27A Upland Road, 27B-27C Upland Road, 1 Ventnor Road, 33 Upland Road, 11B Ventnor Road, 11A Ventnor Road, 13 Ventnor Road, 27B Upland Road, 15 Ventnor Road, 1/20 Lucerne Road, 1/636 Remuera Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 11 Upland Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 17 Upland Road, 18 Lucerne Road, 2 Lucerne Road, 2/20 Lucerne Road, 2/636 Remuera Road, 22 Lucerne Road, 3/20 Lucerne Road, 3/636 Remuera Road, 37 Ventnor Road, 39 Ventnor Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4/20 Lucerne Road, 4/636 Remuera Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 5 Upland Road, 5/636 Remuera Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 6/636 Remuera Road, 610 Remuera Road, 612 Remuera Road, 614 Remuera Road, 616-618 Remuera Road, 634 Remuera Road, 640 Remuera Road, 7 Upland Road, 7/636 Remuera Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 8/636 Remuera Road, 9 Upland Road and 9/636 Remuera Road, Remuera from medium density residential [Mixed Housing Urban] to Low Density Residential Zone. [also indicated property to remain MHS or Low Density Residential].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS122	Grant Dickson	Support
1995.1	Retirement Village Neighbourhood Group	Rezone 17 Upland Road, 5 Ventnor Road, 37 Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 17 Ventnor Road, 3 Ventnor Road, 31A Upland Road, 7 Ventnor Road, 27A Upland Road, 27B-27C Upland Road, 1 Ventnor Road, 33 Upland Road, 11B Ventnor Road, 11A Ventnor Road, 13 Ventnor Road, 27B Upland Road, 15 Ventnor Road, 1/20 Lucerne Road, 1/636 Remuera Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 11 Upland Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 17 Upland Road, 18 Lucerne Road, 2 Lucerne Road, 2/20 Lucerne Road, 2/636 Remuera Road, 22 Lucerne Road, 3/20 Lucerne Road, 3/636 Remuera Road, 37 Ventnor Road, 39 Ventnor Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4/20 Lucerne Road, 4/636 Remuera Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 5 Upland Road, 5/636 Remuera Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 6/636 Remuera Road, 610 Remuera Road, 612 Remuera Road, 614 Remuera Road, 616-618 Remuera Road, 634 Remuera Road, 640 Remuera Road, 7 Upland Road, 7/636 Remuera Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 8/636 Remuera Road, 9 Upland Road and 9/636 Remuera Road, Remuera from medium density residential [Mixed Housing Urban] to Low Density Residential Zone. [also indicated property to remain MHS or Low Density Residential].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS235	HND Upland Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1995.2	Retirement Village Neighbourhood Group	Reject intensification as stormwater and wastewater is not capable of intensive development (in relation to 17 Upland Road, 5 Ventnor Road, 37 Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 17 Ventnor Road, 3 Ventnor Road, 31A Upland Road, 7 Ventnor Road, 27A Upland Road, 27B-27C Upland Road, 1 Ventnor Road, 33 Upland Road, 11B Ventnor Road, 11A Ventnor Road, 13 Ventnor Road, 27B Upland Road, 15 Ventnor Road, 1/20 Lucerne Road, 1/636 Remuera Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 11 Upland Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 17 Upland Road, 18 Lucerne Road, 2 Lucerne Road, 2/20 Lucerne Road, 2/636 Remuera Road, 22 Lucerne Road, 3/20 Lucerne Road, 3/636 Remuera Road, 37 Ventnor Road, 39 Ventnor Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4/20 Lucerne Road, 4/636 Remuera Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 5 Upland Road, 5/636 Remuera Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 6/636 Remuera Road, 610 Remuera Road, 612 Remuera Road, 614 Remuera Road, 616-618 Remuera Road, 634 Remuera Road, 640 Remuera Road, 7 Upland Road, 7/636 Remuera Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 8/636 Remuera Road, 9 Upland Road and 9/636 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
1995.2	Retirement Village Neighbourhood Group	Reject intensification as stormwater and wastewater is not capable of intensive development (in relation to 17 Upland Road, 5 Ventnor Road, 37 Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 17 Ventnor Road, 3 Ventnor Road, 31A Upland Road, 7 Ventnor Road, 27A Upland Road, 27B-27C Upland Road, 1 Ventnor Road, 33 Upland Road, 11B Ventnor Road, 11A Ventnor Road, 13 Ventnor Road, 27B Upland Road, 15 Ventnor Road, 1/20 Lucerne Road, 1/636 Remuera Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 11 Upland Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 17 Upland Road, 18 Lucerne Road, 2 Lucerne Road, 2/20 Lucerne Road, 2/636 Remuera Road, 22 Lucerne Road, 3/20 Lucerne Road, 3/636 Remuera Road, 37 Ventnor Road, 39 Ventnor Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4/20 Lucerne Road, 4/636 Remuera Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 5 Upland Road, 5/636 Remuera Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 6/636 Remuera Road, 610 Remuera Road, 612 Remuera Road, 614 Remuera Road, 616-618 Remuera Road, 634 Remuera Road, 640 Remuera Road, 7 Upland Road, 7/636 Remuera Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 8/636 Remuera Road, 9 Upland Road and 9/636 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS123	Upland Realty Ltd	Support
1995.2	Retirement Village Neighbourhood Group	Reject intensification as stormwater and wastewater is not capable of intensive development (in relation to 17 Upland Road, 5 Ventnor Road, 37 Upland Road, 31 Upland Road, 17 Ventnor Road, 3 Ventnor Road, 31A Upland Road, 7 Ventnor Road, 27A Upland Road, 27B-27C Upland Road, 1 Ventnor Road, 33 Upland Road, 11B Ventnor Road, 11A Ventnor Road, 13 Ventnor Road, 27B Upland Road, 15 Ventnor Road, 1/20 Lucerne Road, 1/636 Remuera Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 11 Upland Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 17 Upland Road, 18 Lucerne Road, 2 Lucerne Road, 2/20 Lucerne Road, 2/636 Remuera Road, 22 Lucerne Road, 3/20 Lucerne Road, 3/636 Remuera Road, 37 Ventnor Road, 39 Ventnor Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4/20 Lucerne Road, 4/636 Remuera Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 5 Upland Road, 5/636 Remuera Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 6/636 Remuera Road, 610 Remuera Road, 612 Remuera Road, 614 Remuera Road, 616-618 Remuera Road, 634 Remuera Road, 640 Remuera Road, 7 Upland Road, 7/636 Remuera Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 8/636 Remuera Road, 9 Upland Road and 9/636 Remuera Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Infrastructure - Areas with long-term infrastructure constraints	FS235	HND Upland Limited	oppose
1996.1	Gregory John McKeown	Review methodology used for assessing Special Character Areas as has errors. Refer to full submission for details.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS283	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	support
1996.2	Gregory John McKeown	Retain [inferred reinstate] all Special Character Areas that were in the AUP before the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in
1996.14	Gregory John McKeown	Retain [inferred reinstate] all Special Character Areas that were in the AUP before the plan change.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
1998.2	Loraine Dorothy Walsh	Retain the use of height in relation to boundary controls to minimise loss of light and sun.	Residential Zones	Residential Zones (General or other)	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
1998.3	Loraine Dorothy Walsh	Reject 6 storey buildings within walking distance of city centres, metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
1998.4	Loraine Dorothy Walsh	Reject the proposed height and bulk of buildings, will impact on visual appearance, especially in heritage areas.	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimaru	support
2000.1	Natalie McClay	Retain the Special Character Overlay for Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
2000.2	Natalie McClay	While supporting the retention of the Special Character area of Hillpark as a qualifying matter, we also encourage Council to consider/recognise the ecological and landscape value of Hillpark. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including Lawrence Crescent, David Avenue, Lynmore Drive, Vista Place, Collie Street, Arthur Road, Claude Road, Dennis Avenue, Earls Court, Frank Place, Freshney Place, Grande Vue Road, Great South Road, Halsey Road, Hill Road, Hill Road On Ramp, Hillcrest Grove, Iorangi Place, Jill Place, Kahurangi Place, Kelvyn Grove, Knights Grove, Knox Road, Orams Road, Pantera Way, Patricia Place, Scenic Drive, Southern Motorway, Tampin Road and Walpole Avenue, Hillpark.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS89	Glen Frost	Support
2001.1	Paul Tingey	Support properties at western end of Herne Bay and Galatea Terrace as Special Character Area overlay including its special provisions in the Low Density Residential zone definition in relation to maximum height, setback from the street and building coverage [see appended heritage consultant's report].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support
2001.2	Paul Tingey	Seek demolition and removal restrictions be applied for 2 Galatea Terrace, 3 Galatea Terrace, 4 Galatea Terrace, 6 Galatea Terrace, 8 Galatea Terrace, 12 Galatea Terrace, 14 Galatea Terrace, and 19 Galatea Terrace, Herne Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support
2001.3	Paul Tingey	Acknowledge Galatea Block along with Herne Bay Road as a historic heritage area under Section 6 of RMA. The 'Galatea Block' is defined as 29 Herne Bay Road, 12 Herne Bay Road, 14 Herne Bay Road, 5 Herne Bay Road, 21 Herne Bay Road, 22 Herne Bay Road, 25 Herne Bay Road, 17 Herne Bay Road, 18 Herne Bay Road, 28 Herne Bay Road, 4 Herne Bay Road, 9 Herne Bay Road, 19 Herne Bay Road, 27 Herne Bay Road, 32 Herne Bay Road, 227 Jervis Road, 7 Herne Bay Road, 8 Herne Bay Road, 20 Herne Bay Road, 24 Herne Bay Road, 16 Herne Bay Road, 1 Herne Bay Road, 2 Herne Bay Road, 26 Herne Bay Road, 30 Herne Bay Road, 72 Argyle Street, 3 Herne Bay Road, 11 Herne Bay Road, 15 Herne Bay Road, 34 Herne Bay Road, 6 Herne Bay Road, 13 Herne Bay Road and 31 Herne Bay Road Herne Bay Auckland 1011.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Historic Heritage (D17)	FS129	Bosnyak Investments Ltd.	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2004.1	Peter Harrison	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2004.3	Peter Harrison	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2010.1	Sally Ann Haysom	Add a transport related qualifying matter to all Herald island properties [see attached document].	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
2010.2	Sally Ann Haysom	Rezone all Herald Island properties zoned mixed housing urban to low density residential zone [see attached document].	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
2010.3	Sally Ann Haysom	Reject high density housing on Herald Island given impact on flora and fauna which support natural habitats [see attached document].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
2010.4	Sally Ann Haysom	Reject high density housing on Herald island given the impact on the "changed face" of the Island [see attached document].	Plan making and procedural	General	FS261	Herald Island Environmental Group	support
2011.1	Sam Storey	Reject zoning of Grey Lynn centre as a large local centre as it is a small character local centre. [see attached document].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2011.1	Sam Storey	Reject zoning of Grey Lynn centre as a large local centre as it is a small character local centre. [see attached document].	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
2011.2	Sam Storey	Approve of special character category for Grey Lynn. [see attached document].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2011.2	Sam Storey	Approve of special character category for Grey Lynn. [see attached document].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
2011.3	Sam Storey	Reject city centre walkable catchment being defined as 1200 metres. [see attached document].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2011.3	Sam Storey	Reject city centre walkable catchment being defined as 1200 metres. [see attached document].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
2011.3	Sam Storey	Reject city centre walkable catchment being defined as 1200 metres. [see attached document].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
2011.4	Sam Storey	Retain and approve the use of Qualifying Matters to maintain cone view of Mt Eden from Arch Hill [see attached document].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2011.4	Sam Storey	Retain and approve the use of Qualifying Matters to maintain cone view of Mt Eden from Arch Hill [see attached document].	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	support
2018.1	Paterson Family Trust	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2018.1	Paterson Family Trust	Retain the Low Density Residential zone for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 113 Seaview Road and 115 Seaview Road, Remuera as notified.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2018.2	Paterson Family Trust	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2018.2	Paterson Family Trust	Rezone 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera to the Low Density Residential zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2018.3	Paterson Family Trust	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2018.3	Paterson Family Trust	Retain the Special Character Overlay for 1 Seaview Road, 3 Seaview Road, 5 Seaview Road, 7 Seaview Road, 9 Seaview Road, 9A Seaview Road, 9B Seaview Road, 10 Seaview Road, 11 Seaview Road, 12 Seaview Road, 13 Seaview Road, 15 Seaview Road, 16 Seaview Road, 18 Seaview Road, 19 Seaview Road, 20 Seaview Road, 21 Seaview Road, 22 Seaview Road, 22A Seaview Road, 23 Seaview Road, 23A Seaview Road, 24 Seaview Road, 25 Seaview Road, 26 Seaview Road, 28 Seaview Road, 28A Seaview Road, 29 Seaview Road, 30 Seaview Road, 31 Seaview Road, 32 Seaview Road, 33 Seaview Road, 34 Seaview Road, 35 Seaview Road, 35A Seaview Road, 36 Seaview Road, 37 Seaview Road, 38 Seaview Road, 39 Seaview Road, 40 Seaview Road, 41 Seaview Road, 42 Seaview Road, 43 Seaview Road, 44 Seaview Road, 45 Seaview Road, 46 Seaview Road, 47 Seaview Road, 48 Seaview Road, 49 Seaview Road, 50 Seaview Road, 51 Seaview Road, 52 Seaview Road, 54 Seaview Road, 55 Seaview Road, 56A Seaview Road, 57 Seaview Road, 59 Seaview Road, 61 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 63 Seaview Road, 67 Seaview Road, 69 Seaview Road, 71 Seaview Road, 71A Seaview Road, 71B Seaview Road, 73 Seaview Road, 75 Seaview Road, 77 Seaview Road, 77A Seaview Road, 77B Seaview Road, 79 Seaview Road, 81 Seaview Road, 83A Seaview Road, 83 Seaview Road and 85 Seaview Road, Remuera inclusive as notified	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2018.4	Paterson Family Trust	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2018.4	Paterson Family Trust	Extend the Special Character Overlay so that it includes 2 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 56 Seaview Road, 56B Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 66A Seaview Road, 66B Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 72A Seaview Road, 72 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 99 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 117 Seaview Road, and 119 Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2019.2	Darryl Edward Gregory	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2019.4	Darryl Edward Gregory	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2019.8	Darryl Edward Gregory	Reject 1200m walkable catchment from the City Centre edge [no specific decision requested].	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
2020.1	Counties Energy Limited	Introduce a qualifying matter for electricity distribution at the resource consent stage; or other mechanism (at the building consent stage), requiring developers to consult with Counties Energy Limited to ensure compliance with NZECP34 can be achieved where new development will be near existing overhead lines in the road corridor or other existing equipment listed in NZECP34.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
2020.2	Counties Energy Limited	Add requirement for developers to first consult with Counties Energy Limited where transformers and switch gear must be installed within new developments to provide for the increased demand for electricity in an area, in order to establish the layout and maximum number of dwellings that can be established, while ensuring access to and the safe operation of network equipment.	Plan making and procedural	Consultation and engagement - general	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS228	JGUO Developments Limited	oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS260	Henla Limited	oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.1	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Residential currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS260	Henla Limited	oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.2	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reinstate all Special Character Areas Business currently in the AUP as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Business - add new property/area to SCAB	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS228	JGUO Developments Limited	oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Residents Association	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.3	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Epsom (see Attachment A to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including parts of Balmoral Road, all of Kakariki Avenue, all of Ferryhill Road, all of Morevern Road, part of Saint Andrews Road, part of Merivale Avenue, all of Glenalmond Road, part of Mount Eden Road, even numbers of Watling Street, part of Onslow Avenue, part of Atherton Road, part of Saint Leonards Road, all of Cedar Road, part of Rahiri Road, part of Landscape Road, all of Coronation Road, part of Empire Road, all of Bingley Avenue, odd numbers of Quentin Avenue, and part of Buckley Road, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.4	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Northcote Point (see Attachment B to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 2 Alfred Street, 4 Alfred Street, 6 Alfred Street, 8 Alfred Street, 2 Beach Road, 1 Beach Road, 86 Princes Street, 84 Princes Street, 80-82 Princes Street, 78 Princes Street, 74 Princes Street, 72 Princes Street, 70 Princes Street, 68 Princes Street, 66 Princes Street, 64 Princes Street, 60 Princes Street, 58 Princes Street, 56 Princes Street, 55 Queen Street, 57 Queen Street, 59 Queen Street, 61 Queen Street, 65 Queen Street, 67 Queen Street, 71 Queen Street, 73 Queen Street, 75 Queen Street, 77 Queen Street, 79 Queen Street, 81 Queen Street, 83 Queen Street, Northcote Point.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.4	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Northcote Point (see Attachment B to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 2 Alfred Street, 4 Alfred Street, 6 Alfred Street, 8 Alfred Street, 2 Beach Road, 1 Beach Road, 86 Princes Street, 84 Princes Street, 80-82 Princes Street, 78 Princes Street, 74 Princes Street, 72 Princes Street, 70 Princes Street, 68 Princes Street, 66 Princes Street, 64 Princes Street, 60 Princes Street, 58 Princes Street, 56 Princes Street, 55 Queen Street, 57 Queen Street, 59 Queen Street, 61 Queen Street, 65 Queen Street, 67 Queen Street, 71 Queen Street, 73 Queen Street, 75 Queen Street, 77 Queen Street, 79 Queen Street, 81 Queen Street, 83 Queen Street, Northcote Point.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.4	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Northcote Point (see Attachment B to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 2 Alfred Street, 4 Alfred Street, 6 Alfred Street, 8 Alfred Street, 2 Beach Road, 1 Beach Road, 86 Princes Street, 84 Princes Street, 80-82 Princes Street, 78 Princes Street, 74 Princes Street, 72 Princes Street, 70 Princes Street, 68 Princes Street, 66 Princes Street, 64 Princes Street, 60 Princes Street, 58 Princes Street, 56 Princes Street, 55 Queen Street, 57 Queen Street, 59 Queen Street, 61 Queen Street, 65 Queen Street, 67 Queen Street, 71 Queen Street, 73 Queen Street, 75 Queen Street, 77 Queen Street, 79 Queen Street, 81 Queen Street, 83 Queen Street, Northcote Point.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.4	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Northcote Point (see Attachment B to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 2 Alfred Street, 4 Alfred Street, 6 Alfred Street, 8 Alfred Street, 2 Beach Road, 1 Beach Road, 86 Princes Street, 84 Princes Street, 80-82 Princes Street, 78 Princes Street, 74 Princes Street, 72 Princes Street, 70 Princes Street, 68 Princes Street, 66 Princes Street, 64 Princes Street, 60 Princes Street, 58 Princes Street, 56 Princes Street, 55 Queen Street, 57 Queen Street, 59 Queen Street, 61 Queen Street, 65 Queen Street, 67 Queen Street, 71 Queen Street, 73 Queen Street, 75 Queen Street, 77 Queen Street, 79 Queen Street, 81 Queen Street, 83 Queen Street, Northcote Point.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.4	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Northcote Point (see Attachment B to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 2 Alfred Street, 4 Alfred Street, 6 Alfred Street, 8 Alfred Street, 2 Beach Road, 1 Beach Road, 86 Princes Street, 84 Princes Street, 80-82 Princes Street, 78 Princes Street, 74 Princes Street, 72 Princes Street, 70 Princes Street, 68 Princes Street, 66 Princes Street, 64 Princes Street, 60 Princes Street, 58 Princes Street, 56 Princes Street, 55 Queen Street, 57 Queen Street, 59 Queen Street, 61 Queen Street, 65 Queen Street, 67 Queen Street, 71 Queen Street, 73 Queen Street, 75 Queen Street, 77 Queen Street, 79 Queen Street, 81 Queen Street, 83 Queen Street, Northcote Point.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.4	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Northcote Point (see Attachment B to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 2 Alfred Street, 4 Alfred Street, 6 Alfred Street, 8 Alfred Street, 2 Beach Road, 1 Beach Road, 86 Princes Street, 84 Princes Street, 80-82 Princes Street, 78 Princes Street, 74 Princes Street, 72 Princes Street, 70 Princes Street, 68 Princes Street, 66 Princes Street, 64 Princes Street, 60 Princes Street, 58 Princes Street, 56 Princes Street, 55 Queen Street, 57 Queen Street, 59 Queen Street, 61 Queen Street, 65 Queen Street, 67 Queen Street, 71 Queen Street, 73 Queen Street, 75 Queen Street, 77 Queen Street, 79 Queen Street, 81 Queen Street, 83 Queen Street, Northcote Point.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.5	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Ayr Street and Laurie Street, Parnell (see Attachment C to the submission) to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay, including 6 Ayr Street, 8A Ayr Street, 8B Ayr Street, 10 Ayr Street, 12 Ayr Street, 14 Ayr Street, 16 Ayr Street, 16A Ayr Street, 18 Ayr Street, 20 Ayr Street, 23 Ayr Street, 21 Ayr Street, 19 Ayr Street, 19A Ayr Street, 17 Ayr Street, 15 Ayr Street, 15A Ayr Street, 11 Ayr Street, 9 Ayr Street, 7 Ayr Street and 506-512 Parnell Road, Parnell; and 18 Laurie Avenue, 20 Laurie Avenue, 22 Laurie Avenue, 24 Laurie Avenue, 14 Laurie Avenue, 16 Laurie Avenue, 12 Laurie Avenue, 10 Laurie Avenue, 6 Laurie Avenue, 4 Laurie Avenue, 4 Ayr Street, 3 Laurie Avenue, 5 Laurie Avenue, 7 Laurie Avenue, 9 Laurie Avenue, 11 Laurie Avenue, 13 Laurie Avenue and 15 Laurie Avenue, Parnell.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.6	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on West End Road, Herne Bay to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred 14 West End Road, 16 West End Road, 20 West End Road, 22-24 West End Road, and 26 West End Road, Herne Bay].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.7	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on St Stephens Avenue, Parnell to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 130 Saint Stephens Avenue, 128 Saint Stephens Avenue, 126 Saint Stephens Avenue, 124 Saint Stephens Avenue, 118 Saint Stephens Avenue, 114 Saint Stephens Avenue, 112 Saint Stephens Avenue, 1A Bridgewater Road, 1B Bridgewater Road, 109 Saint Stephens Avenue, 107 Saint Stephens Avenue, 103 Saint Stephens Avenue, 101 Saint Stephens Avenue, 99 Saint Stephens Avenue, 95 Saint Stephens Avenue, 93 Saint Stephens Avenue, 91 Saint Stephens Avenue, 89 Saint Stephens Avenue, 87 Saint Stephens Avenue, 85 Saint Stephens Avenue, 83 Saint Stephens Avenue, 81 Saint Stephens Avenue, 110 Saint Stephens Avenue, 108 Saint Stephens Avenue, 106 Saint Stephens Avenue, 104 Saint Stephens Avenue, 100 Saint Stephens Avenue, 96 Saint Stephens Avenue, 94 Saint Stephens Avenue and 86 Saint Stephens Avenue, Parnell].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.8	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in the Onhinerau/Mt Hobson area, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 1 Pere Street, 43 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 25 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 17 Market Road, 15 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 13 Market Road, 9 Market Road, 233 Remuera Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.8	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in the Onhinerau/Mt Hobson area, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 1 Pere Street, 43 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 25 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 17 Market Road, 15 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 13 Market Road, 9 Market Road, 233 Remuera Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.8	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in the Onhinerau/Mt Hobson area, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 1 Pere Street, 43 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 25 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 17 Market Road, 15 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 13 Market Road, 9 Market Road, 233 Remuera Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.8	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in the Onhinerau/Mt Hobson area, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 1 Pere Street, 43 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 25 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 17 Market Road, 15 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 13 Market Road, 9 Market Road, 233 Remuera Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.8	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in the Onhinerau/Mt Hobson area, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 1 Pere Street, 43 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 25 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 17 Market Road, 15 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 13 Market Road, 9 Market Road, 233 Remuera Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.8	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in the Onhinerau/Mt Hobson area, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 2 Pere Street, 167 Remuera Road, 169 Remuera Road, 171 Remuera Road, 7 Pere Street, 5 Pere Street, 3 Pere Street, 1 Pere Street, 43 Market Road, 35 Market Road, 33 Market Road, 27 Market Road, 27A Market Road, 25 Market Road, 19 Market Road, 19A Market Road, 17 Market Road, 15 Market Road, 13A Market Road, 13 Market Road, 9 Market Road, 233 Remuera Road, 227 Remuera Road, 229A Remuera Road, 229 Remuera Road, 231 Remuera Road, 231A Remuera Road, 231B Remuera Road, 231E Remuera Road, 231C-D Remuera Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.9	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Middleton Road, Ada Street, Bassett Road, and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay [inferred including 116 Bassett Road, 118 Bassett Road, 120 Bassett Road, 122 Bassett Road, 124 Bassett Road, 126A Bassett Road, 126 Bassett Road, 126B Bassett Road, 128 Bassett Road, 115-117B Bassett Road, 113 Bassett Road, 116 Bassett Road, 111 Bassett Road, 109 Bassett Road, 107 Bassett Road, 105 Bassett Road, 103 Bassett Road, 101 Bassett Road, 112 Bassett Road, 108 Bassett Road, 77 Middleton Road, 75 Middleton Road, 73 Middleton Road, 69-71 Middleton Road, 65-67 Middleton Road, 61-63 Middleton Road, 59 Middleton Road, 57 Middleton Road, 55 Middleton Road, 53 Middleton Road, 51 Middleton Road, 45 Middleton Road, 43 Middleton Road, 2-2A Ada Street, 4 Ada Street, 4A Ada Street, 6 Ada Street, 6A Ada Street, 8 Ada Street, 8A Ada Street, 10 Ada Street, 12 Ada Street, 14 Ada Street, 14A Ada Street, 16 Ada Street, 16A Ada Street, 18 Ada Street, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 8 Seaview Road, 12A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 5 Ada Street, 12 Mamie Street, 10 Mamie Street, 8 Mamie Street, 3 Ada Street, 1A-1B Ada Street, 41 Middleton Road, 39 Middleton Road, 37 Middleton Road, 35 Middleton Road, 31 2-6 Mamie Street, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Residents Association	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.10	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Lucerne Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 2 Lucerne Road, 4 Lucerne Road, 4A Lucerne Road, 6 Lucerne Road, 8 Lucerne Road, 10A Lucerne Road, 10B Lucerne Road, 12 Lucerne Road, 14 Lucerne Road, 14A Lucerne Road, 16 Lucerne Road, 18 Lucerne Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bres	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.11	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Bassett Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay [inferred including 99 Bassett Road, 95-97 Bassett Road, 93 Bassett Road, 89 Bassett Road, 87 Bassett Road, 85 Bassett Road, 81-83 Bassett Road, 77 Bassett Road, 75 Bassett Road, 73 Bassett Road, 71 Bassett Road, 67 Bassett Road, 65 Bassett Road, 63 Bassett Road, 61 Bassett Road and 59 Bassett Road, Remuera].	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS432	Sally Helen Jacobson	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.12	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties at Arney Road and Seaview Road, Remuera to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 60 Arney Road, 62 Arney Road, 64 Arney Road, 66 Arney Road, 68 Arney Road, 70 Arney Road, 72 Arney Road, 72B Arney Road, 76 Arney Road, 76A Arney Road, 78 Arney Road, 80 Arney Road, 82 Arney Road, 84 Arney Road, 86 Arney Road, 88 Arney Road, 90 Arney Road, 94 Arney Road, 96 Arney Road, 98 Arney Road, 100 Arney Road, 102 Arney Road, 104 Arney Road, 108 Arney Road, 114 Arney Road, 116 Arney Road, 8 Seaview Road, 4 Seaview Road, 2 Seaview Road, 70 Seaview Road, 68 Seaview Road, 68A-68B Seaview Road, 66 Seaview Road, 64 Seaview Road, 62 Seaview Road, 60 Seaview Road, 58 Seaview Road, 115 Seaview Road, 111 Seaview Road, 109 Seaview Road, 107 Seaview Road, 105 Seaview Road, 103 Seaview Road, 101 Seaview Road, 97 Seaview Road, 97A Seaview Road, 89A Seaview Road, 93 Seaview Road, 93A Seaview Road, 95 Seaview Road, 91 Seaview Road, 89 Seaview Road, 85 Seaview Road, 87 Seaview Road, 61A Seaview Road, 14A Seaview Road, 14 Seaview Road, 14B Seaview Road and 12A Seaview Road, Remuera.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Stef	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.13	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties in Remuera East to the Special Character Areas Residential Overlay including 740 Remuera Road, 738 Remuera Road, 732A Remuera Road, 730A Remuera Road, 730 Remuera Road, 728 Remuera Road, 726 Remuera Road, 726A Remuera Road, 724 Remuera Road, 720 Remuera Road, 718 Remuera Road, 716 Remuera Road, 714 Remuera Road, 712 Remuera Road, 710 Remuera Road, 708 Remuera Road, 706 Remuera Road, 706A Remuera Road, 704 Remuera Road, 702 Remuera Road, 700 Remuera Road, 698 Remuera Road, 696 Remuera Road, 694 Remuera Road, 692 Remuera Road, 1A Kelvin Road, 3 Kelvin Road, 5 Kelvin Road, 690 Remuera Road, 688 Remuera Road, 686 Remuera Road, 1A Pukeora Road, 1 Pukeora Road, 5 Pukeora Road, 682 Remuera Road, 4 Pukeora Road, 2 Pukeora Rad, and 12 Pukeora Road, Remuera (see Attachment D to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.14	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add properties on Aldred Avenue and Victoria Road, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 5A Aldred Road, 7 Aldred Road, 9-11 Aldred Road, 15 Aldred Road, 17 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 19 Aldred Road, 21 Aldred Road, 2 Aldred Road, 4 Aldred Road, 6 Aldred Road, 10 Aldred Road, 5A Aldred Road, 14 Aldred Road, 16 Aldred Road, 18 Aldred Road, 20 Aldred Road, 22 Aldred Road, 24 Aldred Road, 26 Aldred Road, 28 Aldred Road, 30 Aldred Road, 158 Victoria Avenue, 154 Victoria Avenue, 152 Victoria Avenue, 150 Victoria Avenue, 148 Victoria Avenue, 144 Victoria Avenue, 142 Victoria Avenue, 140 Victoria Avenue, 138 Victoria Avenue, 136 Victoria Avenue, 134 Victoria Avenue, 132 Victoria Avenue, 128 Victoria Avenue, 124 Victoria Avenue, 120 Victoria Avenue, 110 Victoria Avenue, 108 Victoria Avenue, 100 Victoria Avenue, 98 Victoria Avenue, 84 Victoria Avenue, 82 Victoria Avenue, 103 Victoria Avenue, 95 Victoria Avenue, 67 Victoria Avenue and 59 Victoria Avenue, (see Attachment E to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.15	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add the properties from Kelvin Avenue, Remuera, to the Special Character Areas Residential overlay including 28 Kelvin Road, 30 Kelvin Road, 32 Kelvin Road, 36 Kelvin Road, 36A Kelvin Road, 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road, 31 Kelvin Road, 37 Kelvin Road, 39 Kelvin Road, 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road and 65 Kelvin Road, Remuera (see Attachment F to the submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.16	Character Coalition Incorporated	Express concern with the application of the Low Density Residential Zone only to sites subject to a Qualifying Matter, as a formal zone change will be required for any site to which a Qualifying Matter is applied to or removed from in the future.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS178	Devonport Heritage	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmonds	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.17	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.2 Objectives (5) - (11) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these objectives are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single objective which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS178	Devonport Heritage	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.18	Character Coalition Incorporated	Make H3A.3 Policies (8) - (17) link to specific Qualifying Matters, as it is unclear how these policies are to be applied to properties which are not subject to relevant Qualifying Matters [see submission for further detail] or rationalise into a single policy which requires development to take into account any applicable Qualifying Matters.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.19	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.2 Objectives (12) and (13).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.20	Character Coalition Incorporated	Remove H3A.3 Policies (18) - (22).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.21	Character Coalition Incorporated	Clarify the meaning of 'lower intensity neighbourhood' as included in H3A.3 Policies (8).	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Obs & Pols Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.22	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete rules (A31) - (A38) in Table H3A.4.1. Activity Table.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Re	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.23	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.5 Notification (4) to read: 'Any application for a resource consent which is listed in H3A.5(3) above which also requires resource consent under another rule or rules in the Plan which are subject to the normal tests for notification, will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the RMA.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.24	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend H3A.6.7(2) Building height to clarify that it refers to new buildings, or delete H3A.6.7 (2) Building height., or consider moving H3A.6.7(2). Building height to Schedule 7 Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay Schedule and/or Schedule 8 Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character Overlay Schedule.	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.25	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table H3A.6.9.2 Yards to read: '10m from the edge of all other permanent and intermittent streams.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Standards Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.26	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve the following wording in H3A.4: 'The rules and standards in this zone are replaced by the rules and standards of Chapter D18 Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential and Business as they apply to residentially zoned land.'	Low Density Residential Zone provisions	H3A Activity Table Low Density Residential Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmonds	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS384	Retirement Villages	oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS433	The Seaview Road Residents Association	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.27	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a requirement in all zones that adjoin a Special Character Area or Historic Heritage Area to match the recession plane of the zone or overlay on the common boundary (see submission for more detail).	Height	Special Character Residential - transitions/height next to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS384	Retirement Villages A	oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS386	Ryman Healthcare Li	oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS388	Pam Shearer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.28	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a Qualifying Matter to sites adjacent to and within a 'visual catchment' of Special Character Areas which controls the visual qualities of these sites in order to protect Special Character values.	Qualifying Matters - Additional	Qualifying Matters - Additional	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS238	Ministry of Education	oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS433	The Seaview Road Re	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.29	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone residential land adjacent to Special Character Areas occupied by community facilities (such as schools) Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.30	Character Coalition Incorporated	Rezone all residentially zoned sites subject to the Historic Heritage Qualifying Matter to Low Density Residential Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS178	Devonport Heritage	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Stef	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.31	Character Coalition Incorporated	Reconsider the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to better reflect the specific descriptions of areas in the AUP (see submission for more detail).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS433	The Seaview Road Re	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.32	Character Coalition Incorporated	Consider streetscapes in the methodology used to assess Special Character Areas.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.33	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas so that the Historic Heritage Places within Special Character Areas contribute to an area's scoring.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS241	Peter Watts and Stef	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS388	Pam Shearer	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.34	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend the methodology used to score and assess Special Character Areas to consider potential elements to be restored or enhanced.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - methodology / scoring system	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.35	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.2 Objectives (4) to read: 'Existing and proposed residential buildings provide for and respond to housing needs and demand in a way that maintains and enhances the special character values of the area.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Residents Association	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.36	Character Coalition Incorporated	Add a new policy to D18.3 Policies (7) to 'provide for a new dwelling where the special character values of the area, as identified in the special character area statement, are maintained, on sites where demolition of an existing dwelling is permitted.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.37	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Table D18.4.1 (A1) Activity table – Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential (A1) to make restoration and repair of a building a permitted activity that does not require compliance with standards.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.38	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1A.2 (3) Minor dwelling standards to read: 'Where the minor dwelling is a standalone building, it must be sited to the rear of the existing principal dwelling. This standard does not apply to rear sites.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmonds	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.39	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend D18.6.1.1. Building height and D18.6.1.2. Height in relation to boundary in the Residential Isthmus B SCA to higher standards of 10m+1m maximum height and 3.5m + 45 degrees height in relation to boundary to provide for the additional scale of development in this SCA.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmonds	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.40	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete in D18.6.1.2 Height in relation to boundary (3) the exception for height to boundary plane to be measured from 'the farthest boundary of the legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway' where the right of way adjoins a rear site, and add the following: '(3) Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, or access site, Standard D18.6.1.2(1) applies from the farthest boundary of the immediately adjoining legal right of way, entrance strip or pedestrian accessway for the first 20m from the road frontage.'	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.41	Character Coalition Incorporated	Amend Figure D18.6.1.3.1 Setback for buildings on rear sites in the Special Character Areas Overlay – Residential to show a variety of positions of rear and side yards on rear sites.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.42	Character Coalition Incorporated	Delete D18.8.1.1. (B1) and D18.8.2.1 (AB1) to avoid duplication.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS138	Eden Epsom Residen	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bres	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.43	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.3 Policies (7A).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.44	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve D18.4 Activity table (3).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS82	Marc Barron	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS112	Sara Bruce	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS120	Waipu Trust	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS217	MD Family Trust	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS250	Citylife Investments	oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS286	William Peake	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS318	David Alison	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS358	James Hu	Oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS365	Civic Trust Auckland	Support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS433	The Seaview Road Res	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS508	Eden Park Neighbours' Assoc. Inc	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2021.45	Character Coalition Incorporated	Approve Table D18.6.1.3.1 Yards, specifically noting for approval the wording of D18.6.1.3.1 Yards (2).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2023.1	Chloride Trust	Include within the scope of the plan change the Auckland Light Rail Corridor, including providing appropriate zones within these areas. Make available Light Rail Corridor upzoning by 21 February 2023.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2023.2	Chloride Trust	See PC 80 (RPS Well-Functioning Urban Environment, Resilience to the Effects of Climate Change and Qualifying Matters)	Other Plan Change	0	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2023.3	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2023.4	Chloride Trust	Delete all references to special character, including deleting all of chapter D18, all overlay maps and schedules and appendices relating to special character.	Schedules and Appendices	Schedule 15 Special Character Schedule, Statements and Maps	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2023.5	Chloride Trust	Remove the Special Character Area Residential from the Market Road, Epsom area [See Plate B map in the submission] and includes: 39 Mount St John Avenue, 41 Mount St John Avenue, 43 Mount St John Avenue, 45 Mount St John Avenue, 47 Mount St John Avenue, 47A Mount St John Avenue, 49 Mount St John Avenue, 51 Mount St John Avenue, 51A Mount St John Avenue, 53A Mount St John Avenue, 53 Mount St John Avenue, 55 Mount St John Avenue, 55A Mount St John Avenue, 55B Mount St John Avenue, 57 Mount St John Avenue, 57A Mount St John Avenue, 59 Mount St John Avenue, 59A Mount St John Avenue, 61 Mount St John Avenue, 61A Mount St John Avenue, 63 Mount St John Avenue, 65 Mount St John Avenue, 67 Mount St John Avenue, 69 Market Road, 71 Market Road, 73 Market Road, 75 Market Road, 75A Market Road, 77 Market Road, 79 Market Road, 79A Market Road, 81 Market Road, 81A Market Road, 83 Market Road, 85 Market Road, 89 Market Road, 1 Maxfield Place, 3 Maxfield Place, 3A Maxfield Place, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2023.5	Chloride Trust	Remove the Special Character Area Residential from the Market Road, Epsom area [See Plate B map in the submission] and includes: 39 Mount St John Avenue, 41 Mount St John Avenue, 43 Mount St John Avenue, 45 Mount St John Avenue, 47 Mount St John Avenue, 47A Mount St John Avenue, 49 Mount St John Avenue, 51 Mount St John Avenue, 51A Mount St John Avenue, 53A Mount St John Avenue, 53 Mount St John Avenue, 55 Mount St John Avenue, 55A Mount St John Avenue, 55B Mount St John Avenue, 57 Mount St John Avenue, 57A Mount St John Avenue, 59 Mount St John Avenue, 59A Mount St John Avenue, 61 Mount St John Avenue, 61A Mount St John Avenue, 63 Mount St John Avenue, 65 Mount St John Avenue, 67 Mount St John Avenue, 69 Market Road, 71 Market Road, 73 Market Road, 75 Market Road, 75A Market Road, 77 Market Road, 79 Market Road, 79A Market Road, 81 Market Road, 81A Market Road, 83 Market Road, 85 Market Road, 89 Market Road, 1 Maxfield Place, 3 Maxfield Place, 3A Maxfield Place, Epsom.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose
2023.6	Chloride Trust	Rezoning the Market Road, Epsom area to THAB Zone. [See Plate B map in the submission] and includes: 39 Mount St John Avenue, 41 Mount St John Avenue, 43 Mount St John Avenue, 45 Mount St John Avenue, 47 Mount St John Avenue, 47A Mount St John Avenue, 49 Mount St John Avenue, 51 Mount St John Avenue, 51A Mount St John Avenue, 53A Mount St John Avenue, 53 Mount St John Avenue, 55 Mount St John Avenue, 55A Mount St John Avenue, 55B Mount St John Avenue, 57 Mount St John Avenue, 57A Mount St John Avenue, 59 Mount St John Avenue, 59A Mount St John Avenue, 61 Mount St John Avenue, 61A Mount St John Avenue, 63 Mount St John Avenue, 65 Mount St John Avenue, 67 Mount St John Avenue, 69 Market Road, 71 Market Road, 73 Market Road, 75 Market Road, 75A Market Road, 77 Market Road, 79 Market Road, 79A Market Road, 81 Market Road, 81A Market Road, 83 Market Road, 85 Market Road, 89 Market Road, 1 Maxfield Place, 3 Maxfield Place, 3A Maxfield Place, Epsom.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2023.6	Chloride Trust	Rezone the Market Road, Epsom area to THAB Zone. [See Plate B map in the submission] and includes: 39 Mount St John Avenue, 41 Mount St John Avenue, 43 Mount St John Avenue, 45 Mount St John Avenue, 47 Mount St John Avenue, 47A Mount St John Avenue, 49 Mount St John Avenue, 51 Mount St John Avenue, 51A Mount St John Avenue, 53A Mount St John Avenue, 53 Mount St John Avenue, 55 Mount St John Avenue, 55A Mount St John Avenue, 55B Mount St John Avenue, 57 Mount St John Avenue, 57A Mount St John Avenue, 59 Mount St John Avenue, 59A Mount St John Avenue, 61 Mount St John Avenue, 61A Mount St John Avenue, 63 Mount St John Avenue, 65 Mount St John Avenue, 67 Mount St John Avenue, 69 Market Road, 71 Market Road, 73 Market Road, 75 Market Road, 75A Market Road, 77 Market Road, 79 Market Road, 79A Market Road, 81 Market Road, 81A Market Road, 83 Market Road, 85 Market Road, 89 Market Road, 1 Maxfield Place, 3 Maxfield Place, 3A Maxfield Place, Epsom.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose
2024.1	Tania Fleur Mace	Reclassify the Grey Lynn Local Centre from a 'large' to a 'small' local centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (centre selection)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.2	Tania Fleur Mace	Delete the intensification within 200 meters of the Grey Lynn Local Centre.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Grey Lynn Local Centre - extent of intensification	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.3	Tania Fleur Mace	Amend the Mixed Housing Urban Zone rules so that neighbours' amenity is preserved.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.4	Tania Fleur Mace	Amend the rules for THAB zoned properties which adjoin residential properties zoned for lower density housing so that neighbours' amenity is preserved.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.5	Tania Fleur Mace	Retain residential and business Special Character Areas as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.6	Tania Fleur Mace	Undertake a survey and special character assessment of areas not already covered by the Special Character Areas Overlay in Grey Lynn and add qualifying areas to the Special Character Areas Overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.7	Tania Fleur Mace	Retain infrastructure constraints as a Qualifying Matter.	Qualifying Matters - Infrastructure	Appropriateness of QM (Infrastructure)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.8	Tania Fleur Mace	Retain notable trees and notable groups of trees as Qualifying Matters.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2024.9	Tania Fleur Mace	Add the avenues of London plane trees in Selbourne Street, Browning Street, Castle Street and Francis Street, Grey Lynn to the schedule [schedule 10] as notable groups of trees.	Qualifying Matters Other	Notable Trees (D13)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	support
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support in part
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.1	Greater Auckland	Better align the plan change through hearings process with Councils own strategic direction, especially the Transport Emissions Reduction Pathway work and the Auckland Plan 2050 which proposes a quality compact urban form and strong integration between land use and transport, with growth focused in areas with good travel options.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.2	Greater Auckland	Remove the exclusion of the Auckland Light Rail Corridor areas as soon as possible.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.3	Greater Auckland	Reconsider the extent of the Special Character Areas Overlay, especially in areas with very good access to public transport and active modes.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.4	Greater Auckland	Delete the 72.5m height control in the City Centre.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.5	Greater Auckland	Amend to a 2400m walkable catchment around the City Centre.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS476	Stride Property Limited	support
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.6	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Rapid Transit Stops in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS377	Metlifecare Limited	support
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS463	Investore Property Limited	support
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS476	Stride Property Limited	support
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.7	Greater Auckland	Amend to 1600m walkable catchment around Metropolitan Centres in the Isthmus.	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centres - Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	support in
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS477	K Vernon	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.8	Greater Auckland	Recognise that bus corridors with bus lanes/ transit lanes for most of their length and carry Frequent Transit Network services meet the criteria to be considered 'rapid transit' under the NPS UD.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS477	K Vernon	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.9	Greater Auckland	Add Great North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.10	Greater Auckland	Add New North Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.11	Greater Auckland	Add Great South Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.12	Greater Auckland	Add Remuera Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.13	Greater Auckland	Add Sandringham Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS477	K Vernon	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.14	Greater Auckland	Add Dominion Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS477	K Vernon	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.15	Greater Auckland	Add Manukau Road to the list of rapid transit services/stops.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.16	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Eastern Busway which now has a confirmed design.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS476	Stride Property Limited	support
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.17	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Northwest Rapid Transit, which has an interim upgrade underway and appears on Auckland Transport's rapid transit maps.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS307	Board of Airline Repr	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.18	Greater Auckland	Add as a walkable catchment Rapid Transit Stop the Airport to Botany corridor, which has a confirmed design and some funding in the Regional Long Term Plan for interim improvements ahead of a longer-term major investment.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Other	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.19	Greater Auckland	Amend approach to determining walkable catchment from a GIS approach to instead using a more flexible and generous approach that reflects the ability for rapid transit station access improvements to be made in the future.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS245	Avondale Jockey Club	support in
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimar	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.20	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for residential zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS107	Wyborn Capital Limited	Support
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS379	Mission Bay Kohimar	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.21	Greater Auckland	Amend to provide greater heights for business zones in walkable catchments, rather than just a blanket 6 storey height limit. Amend to heights of 8-12 storeys across most of the Isthmus walkable catchments.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.22	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Mixed Housing Urban zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.23	Greater Auckland	Amend the plan change to enable small-scale retail and commercial services to operate as permitted activities in the Terraced Housing and Apartments Building zone, subject to appropriate development controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.24	Greater Auckland	<p>Rezone the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	<p>[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties:</p> <p>3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and</p> <p>46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and</p> <p>3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]</p>	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.25	Greater Auckland	[Inferred] Reconsider the Special Character Areas Residential overlay for the properties on Hamilton Road and Sentinel Road, Herne Bay where the Special Character Areas Overlay applies to MHU zone (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties: 3 Sentinel Road, 4 Sentinel Road, 5 Sentinel Road, 7 Sentinel Road, 9 Sentinel Road, 9A Sentinel Road, 9B Sentinel Road, 10 Sentinel Road, 11 Sentinel Road, 12 Sentinel Road, 14 Sentinel Road, 15 Sentinel Road, 16 Sentinel Road, 17 Sentinel Road, 18 Sentinel Road, 20 Sentinel Road, 21 Sentinel Road, 22 Sentinel Road, 25 Sentinel Road, 26 Sentinel Road, 27 Sentinel Road, 28 Sentinel Road, 29 Sentinel Road, 31 Sentinel Road, 32 Sentinel Road, 33 Sentinel Road, 35 Sentinel Road, 35A Sentinel Road, 36 Sentinel Road, 37 Sentinel Road, 37A Sentinel Road, 37B Sentinel Road, 38 Sentinel Road, 39 Sentinel Road, 40 Sentinel Road, 41 Sentinel Road, 42 Sentinel Road, 43 Sentinel Road, 44 Sentinel Road, 45 Sentinel Road, 46 Sentinel Road, 47 Sentinel Road, 48 Sentinel Road, 49 Sentinel Road, 50 Sentinel Road, 52 Sentinel Road, 53 Sentinel Road, 53B Sentinel Road, 54 Sentinel Road, 55 Sentinel Road, 56 Sentinel Road, 57 Sentinel Road, 59 Sentinel Road, Herne Bay and 46 Sarsfield Street, 48 Sarsfield Street, 50 Sarsfield Street, 54 Sarsfield Street, 56 Sarsfield Street, 1/58 Sarsfield Street, 2/58 Sarsfield Street, 3/58 Sarsfield Street, 60 Sarsfield Street, 62 Sarsfield Street, 64 Sarsfield Street, 66 Sarsfield Street, 66A Sarsfield Street, 68 Sarsfield Street, 70 Sarsfield Street, 72 Sarsfield Street, 74 Sarsfield Street, 76 Sarsfield Street, 78 Sarsfield Street, 80 Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay and 3 Hamilton Road, 11 Hamilton Road, 12 Hamilton Road, 14 Hamilton Road, 15 Hamilton Road, 16 Hamilton Road, 17 Hamilton Road, 18 Hamilton Road, 19 Hamilton Road, 20 Hamilton Road, 21 Hamilton Road, 1/21 Hamilton Road, 2/21 Hamilton Road 3/21 Hamilton Road, 22 Hamilton Road, 23 Hamilton Road, 23A Hamilton Road, 23B Hamilton Road, 24 Hamilton Road, 24A Hamilton Road, 26 Hamilton Road, 27 Hamilton Road, 28 Hamilton Road, 29 Hamilton Road, 29A Hamilton Road, 29B Hamilton Road, 29C Hamilton Road, 30 Hamilton Road, 31 Hamilton Road, 32 Hamilton Road, 33 Hamilton Road, 34 Hamilton Road, 36A Hamilton Road, 36B Hamilton Road, 38 Hamilton Road, 39 Hamilton Road, 40 Hamilton Road, 40A Hamilton Road, 41 Hamilton Road, 42 Hamilton Road, 43 Hamilton Road, 44 Hamilton Road, 45 Hamilton Road, 46 Hamilton Road, 47 Hamilton Road, 47A Hamilton Road, 48 Hamilton Road, 49A Hamilton Road, 2/49 Hamilton Road, 50 Hamilton Road, 51 Hamilton Road, 52 Hamilton Road, 53 Hamilton Road, 54 Hamilton Road, 56 Hamilton Road, 57 Hamilton Road, 58 Hamilton Road, 59 Hamilton Road, 60 Hamilton Road, Herne Bay.]	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission). [Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, Hackett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.]	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Steff	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.26	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.27	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas east of Shelly Beach Road, Herne Bay from Mixed Housing Suburban to THAB due to proximity to the city centre and pedestrian connectivity improvements would bring them within walkable catchments. (See map in submission).[Infer includes properties along Shelly Beach Road, Ring Terrace, Amiria Street, Percival Parade, HAckett Street, Vine Street and Swift Ave, Ponsonby.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.28	Greater Auckland	Rezone Ivanhoe Road, Western Springs and surrounding area from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB zone due to proximity to high quality public transport and planning rapid transit corridor along SH16. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.29	Greater Auckland	Remove the Special Character Areas Overlay near the Grey Lynn shops (e.g. Dryden Street, Grey Lynn) due to proximity to high quality transport outweighing character.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezoning areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.30	Greater Auckland	Rezone areas of Segar Avenue, Tasman Avenue, Sutherland Road and Fontenoy Street, Mount Albert from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone to reflect potential for pedestrian improvements across SH16 in future and very high-quality public transport along Carrington Road. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.31	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.32	Greater Auckland	Remove Special Character Areas around Baldwin Avenue train station, in Mount Albert as the proximity of these locations to rapid transit outweighs the small fragmented character they may have. (See map in submission) and rezone to an appropriate zone [infer THAB zone].	Urban Environment	Single or small area rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.33	Greater Auckland	Rezone the random and patchy areas of Mixed Housing Urban (e.g. Lillington Road and Wairakei Street, Remuera and Clonbern Road, Greenlane) to THAB Zone as Auckland Transport are already investigating ways to improve access to train stations such as Greenlane and Remuera, which would bring these areas within the walkable catchments of those stations. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.34	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.35	Greater Auckland	Remove the Low Density Residential Zone and Special Character Areas Overlay from areas around Ellerslie train station as proximity to rapid transit and frequent public transport service outweighs any character this very isolated area of Special Character Overlay may have.[Inferred as area around Main Highway, Findlay Street, Hewson Street, Cawley Street, Ellerslie]. (See map in submission).	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - remove property/area from SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.36	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Ellerslie - Panmure Highway from Mixed Housing Urban to THAB Zone as it is a planned and funded rapid transit corridor that will meet the NPS UD definition of rapid transit. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS410	Grey Lynn Residents Association	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS421	Tania Fleur Mace	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.37	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Eastern Busway Corridor - Ti Rakau Drive to THAB Zone where it currently Mixed Housing Urban as project now confirmed and funded as rapid transit project. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezoning the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2025.38	Greater Auckland	Rezone the Airport to Botany Corridor - Ti Irirangi Drive to THAB Zone as meets the criteria for being a planned rapid transit corridor. Project design is now well advanced and station locations confirmed. (See map in submission).	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2026.4	Neil MacLennan	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2027.1	Grant James O'Neill	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
2028.1	Alana Hazel O'Neill	Reduce the Central City Zone walkable catchment to 800 metres.	Walkable Catchments	WC City Centre - Extent	FS428	The Rosanne Trust	Support in part
2030.2	Askew Consultants Limited	Include the land covered by the decision (16 May 2022) on Private Plan Change 55: Patumahoe South into the Urban Environment of Patumahoe (see figure 4 in submission).	Urban Environment	Changes to lodged Plan Changes (not covered by notified Variations)	FS43	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS318	David Alison	support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2031.1	Paul Gregory Gunn	Reinstate the building height/height variation control and height in relation to boundary provisions that apply to 16 Spring Street, Freemans Bay in the AUP Operative in Part. [See Figure H6.6.5.1 in the draft consent order (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) attached to the consent memorandum (ENV-2016-AKL-000187) included in the submission].	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS318	David Alison	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS530	Allan Tyler	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.2	Paul Gregory Gunn	Amend the Special Character Overlay in Freemans Bay to include the entire area previously covered by the AUP Operative overlay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - add new property/area to SCAR	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2031.3	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Special Character Areas in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Special Character Residential - support property/area in SCAR as notified	FS532	John Francis Mather	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS79	Brendan Drury	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS86	Liz Adams	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS273	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	oppose
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS318	David Alison	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	support
2031.4	Paul Gregory Gunn	Retain the Low Density Residential Zone in Freemans Bay. [Inferred] includes some or all of the properties on streets including College Hill, Victoria Street West, Franklin Road, Scotland Street, Ireland Street, Spring Street, Cascade Street, Runnell Street, Middle Street, England Street, Wood Street, Georgina Street, Costley Street, Renall Street, Russell Street, Elizabeth Street, Arthur Street, Margaret Street, Pember Reeves Street, Ponsonby Road, Collingwood Street, Heke Street, Anglesea Street, Winn Road, Paget Street, Picton Street, Barrie Street, Hepburn Street, Smith Street, Tahuna Street, Beresford Street West, Hopetoun Street, Howe Street, Beresford Street Central, Wellington Street, Pratt Street, Gwilliam Place, Napier Street, Napier Lane, Foundries Lane, Sheridan Lane, Grattan Place, Weld Street and Wilkins Street, Freemans Bay.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	support
2033.1	Classic Group	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS-UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.1	Classic Group	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS-UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.1	Classic Group	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS-UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.1	Classic Group	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS-UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
2033.1	Classic Group	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS-UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
2033.2	Classic Group	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework	Plan making and procedural	General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.2	Classic Group	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework	Plan making and procedural	General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.3	Classic Group	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.3	Classic Group	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.4	Classic Group	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.4	Classic Group	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.5	Classic Group	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.5	Classic Group	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2033.5	Classic Group	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.6	Classic Group	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.6	Classic Group	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.7	Classic Group	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.7	Classic Group	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.8	Classic Group	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.8	Classic Group	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.8	Classic Group	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS339	New Zealand General Real Estate Limited	support
2033.9	Classic Group	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.9	Classic Group	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.9	Classic Group	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
2033.10	Classic Group	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.10	Classic Group	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.10	Classic Group	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
2033.11	Classic Group	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.11	Classic Group	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.12	Classic Group	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
2033.12	Classic Group	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
2033.12	Classic Group	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.12	Classic Group	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.13	Classic Group	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.13	Classic Group	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.14	Classic Group	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.14	Classic Group	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.15	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.15	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.16	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.16	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.17	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.17	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.18	Classic Group	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.18	Classic Group	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.19	Classic Group	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.19	Classic Group	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.20	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.20	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.21	Classic Group	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.21	Classic Group	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.22	Classic Group	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
2033.22	Classic Group	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.22	Classic Group	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.23	Classic Group	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.23	Classic Group	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.24	Classic Group	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.24	Classic Group	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.25	Classic Group	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
2033.25	Classic Group	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.25	Classic Group	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.25	Classic Group	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.26	Classic Group	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
2033.26	Classic Group	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.26	Classic Group	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2033.26	Classic Group	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.27	Classic Group	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.27	Classic Group	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.28	Classic Group	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.28	Classic Group	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.29	Classic Group	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.29	Classic Group	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.30	Classic Group	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.30	Classic Group	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.31	Classic Group	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.31	Classic Group	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.32	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.32	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2033.32	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.33	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.33	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.34	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.34	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.35	Classic Group	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.35	Classic Group	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.36	Classic Group	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.36	Classic Group	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.37	Classic Group	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.37	Classic Group	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.38	Classic Group	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.38	Classic Group	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.39	Classic Group	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.39	Classic Group	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.40	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.40	Classic Group	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.41	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.41	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.42	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.42	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.43	Classic Group	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.43	Classic Group	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.44	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.44	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.45	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.45	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.46	Classic Group	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.46	Classic Group	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.47	Classic Group	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.47	Classic Group	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.48	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.48	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.49	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.49	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.50	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.50	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.51	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.51	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.51	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2033.52	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.52	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.53	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.53	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.53	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2033.54	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.54	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.55	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.55	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.56	Classic Group	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.56	Classic Group	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.57	Classic Group	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.57	Classic Group	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.58	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.58	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.59	Classic Group	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.59	Classic Group	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.60	Classic Group	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.60	Classic Group	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.61	Classic Group	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.61	Classic Group	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.62	Classic Group	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.62	Classic Group	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.63	Classic Group	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.63	Classic Group	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.64	Classic Group	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.64	Classic Group	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.65	Classic Group	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.65	Classic Group	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.66	Classic Group	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.66	Classic Group	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.67	Classic Group	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.67	Classic Group	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.68	Classic Group	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.68	Classic Group	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.69	Classic Group	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.69	Classic Group	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.69	Classic Group	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2033.70	Classic Group	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.70	Classic Group	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.71	Classic Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.71	Classic Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.72	Classic Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.72	Classic Group	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.73	Classic Group	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.73	Classic Group	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.74	Classic Group	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.74	Classic Group	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.75	Classic Group	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.75	Classic Group	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.75	Classic Group	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
2033.76	Classic Group	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.76	Classic Group	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.77	Classic Group	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.77	Classic Group	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.78	Classic Group	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.78	Classic Group	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.79	Classic Group	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.79	Classic Group	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.80	Classic Group	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.80	Classic Group	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.81	Classic Group	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.81	Classic Group	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.82	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.82	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.83	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.83	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.84	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.84	Classic Group	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.85	Classic Group	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.85	Classic Group	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.86	Classic Group	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.86	Classic Group	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.87	Classic Group	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.87	Classic Group	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.88	Classic Group	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.88	Classic Group	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.89	Classic Group	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.89	Classic Group	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.90	Classic Group	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.90	Classic Group	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.91	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.91	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.92	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.92	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.93	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.93	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.94	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.94	Classic Group	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.95	Classic Group	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.95	Classic Group	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.96	Classic Group	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.96	Classic Group	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.97	Classic Group	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.97	Classic Group	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2033.97	Classic Group	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.98	Classic Group	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.98	Classic Group	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2033.98	Classic Group	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.99	Classic Group	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.99	Classic Group	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.99	Classic Group	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2033.100	Classic Group	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.100	Classic Group	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.101	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.101	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.102	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.102	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.103	Classic Group	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.103	Classic Group	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.104	Classic Group	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.104	Classic Group	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.105	Classic Group	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.105	Classic Group	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.106	Classic Group	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.106	Classic Group	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.107	Classic Group	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.107	Classic Group	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13 so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.108	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.108	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.109	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.109	Classic Group	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.110	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.110	Classic Group	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.111	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.111	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.112	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.112	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.113	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.113	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.113	Classic Group	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2033.114	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.114	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.115	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.115	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.116	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.116	Classic Group	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.117	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.117	Classic Group	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.118	Classic Group	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.118	Classic Group	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.119	Classic Group	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.119	Classic Group	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.120	Classic Group	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.120	Classic Group	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.121	Classic Group	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.121	Classic Group	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.122	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.122	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.123	Classic Group	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.123	Classic Group	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.124	Classic Group	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.124	Classic Group	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.125	Classic Group	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.125	Classic Group	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.126	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.126	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.127	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.127	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.128	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.128	Classic Group	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.129	Classic Group	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.129	Classic Group	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.130	Classic Group	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.130	Classic Group	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.131	Classic Group	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.131	Classic Group	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.131	Classic Group	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2033.132	Classic Group	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.132	Classic Group	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.133	Classic Group	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.133	Classic Group	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.134	Classic Group	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.134	Classic Group	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.135	Classic Group	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.135	Classic Group	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.135	Classic Group	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	support
2033.136	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.136	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.137	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.137	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.138	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.138	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.139	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.139	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.140	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.140	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.141	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.141	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.142	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.142	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.143	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.143	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.144	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.144	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.145	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.145	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.146	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.146	Classic Group	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.147	Classic Group	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.147	Classic Group	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.148	Classic Group	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.148	Classic Group	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.149	Classic Group	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.149	Classic Group	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.150	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.150	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.151	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.151	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.151	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS476	Stride Property Limited	support
2033.152	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.152	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.153	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.153	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.154	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.154	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.155	Classic Group	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS125	692D Limited	Support
2033.155	Classic Group	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.155	Classic Group	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.156	Classic Group	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS125	692D Limited	Support
2033.156	Classic Group	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.156	Classic Group	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.157	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.157	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.158	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.158	Classic Group	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.159	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.159	Classic Group	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.160	Classic Group	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.160	Classic Group	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.161	Classic Group	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.161	Classic Group	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.162	Classic Group	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.162	Classic Group	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.163	Classic Group	Rezone the area identified in the submission map along a block of Manuroa Road, Takanini from Low Density Residential Zone to Mixed Housing Urban Zone (includes: 290 Porchester Road, and 118 Manuroa Road, 140 Manuroa Road, 150 Manuroa Road, 150A Manuroa Road, 110 Manuroa Road, 110A Manuroa Road, 108 Manuroa Road, 108A Manuroa Road, 106 Manuroa Road, 106A Manuroa Road, 104A Manuroa Road, 104 Manuroa Road, 102 Manuroa Road, 102A Manuroa Road, 100A Manuroa Road, 100 Manuroa Road, 98A Manuroa Road, 98 Manuroa Road, 96 Manuroa Road, 96A Manuroa Road, 94 Manuroa Road, 94A Manuroa Road, 92 Manuroa Road, 92A Manuroa Road, 92 Manuroa Road, 90 Manuroa Road, 90A Manuroa Road, 88 Manuroa Road, 88A Manuroa Road, 86 Manuroa Road, 86A Manuroa Road, 84 Manuroa Road, 82 Manuroa Road and 49 Takanini School Road, 51 Takanini School Road, and 53 Takanini School Road, Takanini). Include any subsequent changes that would give effect to the relief sought, including changes to the Takanini Precinct Plan.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I438 Takanini Precinct	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.163	Classic Group	Rezone the area identified in the submission map along a block of Manuroa Road, Takanini from Low Density Residential Zone to Mixed Housing Urban Zone (includes: 290 Porchester Road, and 118 Manuroa Road, 140 Manuroa Road, 150 Manuroa Road, 150A Manuroa Road, 110 Manuroa Road, 110A Manuroa Road, 108 Manuroa Road, 108A Manuroa Road, 106 Manuroa Road, 106A Manuroa Road, 104A Manuroa Road, 104 Manuroa Road, 102 Manuroa Road, 102A Manuroa Road, 100A Manuroa Road, 100 Manuroa Road, 98A Manuroa Road, 98 Manuroa Road, 96 Manuroa Road, 96A Manuroa Road, 94 Manuroa Road, 94A Manuroa Road, 92 Manuroa Road, 92A Manuroa Road, 92 Manuroa Road, 90 Manuroa Road, 90A Manuroa Road, 88 Manuroa Road, 88A Manuroa Road, 86 Manuroa Road, 86A Manuroa Road, 84 Manuroa Road, 82 Manuroa Road and 49 Takanini School Road, 51 Takanini School Road, and 53 Takanini School Road, Takanini). Include any subsequent changes that would give effect to the relief sought, including changes to the Takanini Precinct Plan.	Precincts - NPSUD MDRS Response	I438 Takanini Precinct	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.164	Classic Group	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.164	Classic Group	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2033.165	Classic Group	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2033.166	Classic Group	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.166	Classic Group	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2033.167	Classic Group	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2033.167	Classic Group	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2034.1	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2034.2	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2034.2	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2034.2	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2034.2	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2034.2	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2034.2	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters Other	Appropriateness of QMs (Other)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2034.3	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject Qualifying Matters as proposed to reduce the height and density of development as it contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects, rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2034.3	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject Qualifying Matters as proposed to reduce the height and density of development as it contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects, rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2034.3	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject Qualifying Matters as proposed to reduce the height and density of development as it contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects, rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2034.3	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject Qualifying Matters as proposed to reduce the height and density of development as it contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects, rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2034.3	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject Qualifying Matters as proposed to reduce the height and density of development as it contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects, rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2034.3	Craigieburn Range Trust	Reject Qualifying Matters as proposed to reduce the height and density of development as it contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects, rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters - Special Character	Appropriateness of QM (Special Character)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.1	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reject plan change as not consistent with the NPS UD or MDRS.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.2	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the plan change to go further to give effect to the NPS UD. Amend to provide provision in the policy framework for a contextual analysis of the appropriateness of additional height and intensity outside of walkable catchments and centres to ensure these opportunities are not lost by an overly prescriptive planning framework.	Plan making and procedural	General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.3	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend plan change as it ignores the intent of Policy 6(b) of the NPS UD in terms of that the planned urban built form may involve changes to an area that 'detract from amenity values appreciated by some people but improve amenity values appreciated by other people, communities and future generation, including by providing increased and varied housing densities and types and are not, of themselves, an adverse effect'.	Plan making and procedural	Central Government process - mandatory requirements	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.4	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.5	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.6	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a ‘walkable catchment’ that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a ‘walkable catchment’ that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a ‘walkable catchment’ that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a ‘walkable catchment’ that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.7	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS339	New Zealand General Real Estate Limited	support
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.8	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.9	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.10	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.11	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.12	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.13	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.14	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.15	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.16	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.17	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.18	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.19	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.20	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.21	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.22	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.23	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.24	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.25	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS169	CH Ventures Ltd	support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.26	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.27	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.28	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.29	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.30	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.31	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.32	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.33	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.34	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.35	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.36	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.37	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.38	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.39	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.40	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.41	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.42	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.43	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.44	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.45	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.46	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.47	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.48	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.49	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.50	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.51	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.52	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.53	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.54	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.55	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.56	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.57	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.58	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.59	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.60	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.61	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.62	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.62	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.62	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.63	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.64	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.65	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.66	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.67	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.68	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.69	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.70	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.71	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.72	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.73	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.74	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.75	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.76	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.77	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.78	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.79	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.80	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.81	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.82	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.83	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.84	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.85	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.86	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.87	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.88	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breen	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.89	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.90	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.91	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.92	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.93	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.94	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.95	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.96	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.97	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.98	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.99	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.100	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.101	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.102	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.103	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.104	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.105	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.106	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.107	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.108	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.109	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.110	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.111	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.112	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.113	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.114	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.115	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2036.116	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.117	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.118	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.119	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.120	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.121	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.122	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.123	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.124	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.125	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.126	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.127	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.128	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.129	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.130	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.131	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.132	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.133	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.134	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.135	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.136	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.137	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.138	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.139	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.140	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.141	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.142	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.143	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.144	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.145	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.146	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.147	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents Association	oppose in
2036.148	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.149	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.150	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.151	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS475	Porter Group Limited	support
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.152	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.153	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.154	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS125	692D Limited	Support
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Residents Association	oppose in
2036.155	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.156	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.157	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.158	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Residents Association	oppose in
2036.159	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.160	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.161	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.162	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Residents	oppose in
2036.163	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Residential Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2036.164	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2036.165	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2036.166	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2036.166	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2036.166	Evans Randall Investors Ltd	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2037.1	Susan Rana Jackson	Reject proposed zoning on the bottom of Kelvin Road, Remuera (including 55 Kelvin Road, 57 Kelvin Road, 59 Kelvin Road, 61 Kelvin Road, 63 Kelvin Road, 65 Kelvin Road and 40 Kelvin Road, 42 Kelvin Road, 46 Kelvin Road, 48 Kelvin Road, 52 Kelvin Road, 54 Kelvin Road, 56 Kelvin Road, 58 Kelvin Road and 60-64 Kelvin Road, Remuera) to Single House Zone.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Support
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS332	Alan Clive Stokes	oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS333	Mark Dolling Andrews	oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2038.1	Highbrook Living Limited	Reject the use of qualifying matters to reduce the height and density of development. Express concern this approach contradicts the current AUP structure, which relies on overlays methodology to manage effects rather than using these as a reason for reducing density.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Appropriateness of QMs (A-I)	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS398	Citizens Against The	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.1	Mike Greer Developments	Approve C1.6A Overlay and precinct rules as the revised wording proposed by the plan change clarifies the status of the precinct or Auckland Wide Rules.	Plan making and procedural	Plan Interpretation (Chapter A and Chapter C)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.2	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional activities in activity Table D14.5, being A7A, A7B, A7C and A7D (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.3	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed Standards D14.6.5 – D14.6.8 (and any other consequential changes that would give effect to the relief sought in this submission).	Qualifying Matters A-I	Maunga Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas (D14)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.4	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in G2. RUB and Walkable Catchments the explanation for walkable catchments to note that in some cases, there may be sites located outside a 'walkable catchment' that are suitable for additional intensification and building height. Such sites should be considered on their merits having regard to context, and ability to provide additional housing choice in an area well served by a range of amenities, including public transport....(Refer to submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC General	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS339	New Zealand General Real Estate Limited	support
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.5	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the extent of a walkable catchment to be 1200m from the edge of Metropolitan Centre Zones, as well as the City Centre Zone. (Refer submission for full details).	Walkable Catchments	WC Metropolitan Centre - Albany: Extent of catchment	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezoning all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.6	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, flood plain and Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Urban Environment	Larger rezoning proposal	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS457	Pinewoods Motor Park Ltd	Support
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.7	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Significant Ecological Areas identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	SEAs (D9)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.8	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	ONL and ONF (D10)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS71	Yu Family Investments Limited	Support
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS152	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS436	D and AP and J Bow and others	support
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS455	Bobby Gong	support
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.9	Mike Greer Developments	Rezone all flood plains identified as Qualifying Matters that have been downzoned to a Low Density Residential Zone as a result of these and rezone to a zoning that is based on the most appropriate zone based on accepted land use principles.	Qualifying Matters A-I	Significant Natural Hazards	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.10	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the revised wording for objective H5..2.(1) as it is consistent with the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.11	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing AUP wording for objective H5..2.(3)(a),(b)(c) as 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS standards of the zone as they exist or are proposed. These words are also open to subjectivity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.12	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H5.2 (6) to remove reference to 'high quality built environment'.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.13	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site that is located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.14	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H5.2 (9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with in Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.15	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend objective H5.2 (10) to specifically refer to Beachlands only which is identified in the mapping control for transport constraints.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS06	Balmoral Residents Association Incorporated	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS94	Remuera Heritage Inc	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS151	Seaview Road Residents Group	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS263	Herne Bay Residents Association Inc.	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS296	Character Coalition Incorporated	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS442	South Epsom Planning Group (Inc)	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS505	Gregory John McKeown	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.16	Mike Greer Developments	Amend in Policies H5.3. the text of Policy 6A as will not be efficient or effective in achieving the outcomes sought by MDRS standards. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.17	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of policy H5.3(12) as it infers the quality of drinking water can be influenced by the applicant. This is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing assessment criteria.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.18	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(14) entirely as it does not correspond to an effect from the construction of four or more dwellings. Development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.19	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H5.3(15) entirely as effects of development on a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.20	Mike Greer Developments	Delete or amend H5.3(16) to specifically refer to Beachlands only.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Obs & Pols MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.21	Mike Greer Developments	Amend A1 within H5.4 Activity table to be a Discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.22	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2A from within H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS511	Angelique Ward	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS515	Jessica Ward	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.23	Mike Greer Developments	Delete A2B and A33B from the H5.4 Activity table as the effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15. (Refer to submission for full reasons).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.24	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all standards not identified within the MDRS in Activity Table - Standards to be complied with (multiple activities).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.25	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in A4 within H5.4 Activity table - four or more dwellings per site.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.26	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MRDS in H5.5. Notification. (Refer to submission for full details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.27	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the notification standard in H5.5 to make it clear that restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains and technical parking infringements do not remove the notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particularly restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those particular consent matters.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.28	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.5 Notification - (6) as all developments will likely trigger additional AUP reasons for consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Activity Table MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.29	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3B - Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.30	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.3C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control as effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.31	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.5. Height in relation to boundary wording and retain existing purpose.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.32	Mike Greer Developments	Approve the proposed text changes to H5.6.5.- Height in relation to boundary (1) as it will be efficient and effective in achieving outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.33	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the existing minor protrusions: Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space more than 2,000m2 area in accordance with the current standard. Exclusions should be retained as they deal with minor intrusions, helpful on sloping sites and beneficial to provide activation on Open Space zones.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.34	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H5.6.9 Maximum impervious area standard to 70% [instead of 60%] as retention of standard not efficient use of land and not demonstrated to have adverse effects. Will also not achieve outcomes sought by MDRS standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.35	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional wording in H5.6.10. Building coverage as effects of development in proximity to Significant Ecological Areas already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E 15. Undermines existing AUP framework.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10. Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.36	Mike Greer Developments	Approve proposed text changes in H5.6.10.Building coverage (1) [increases from 45 to 50 per cent of net site area].	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.37	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.6.10.Building coverage (2) (a)(b) and (c) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.38	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.11 Landscaped area (5) and (6) (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.39	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.12 Outlook space (development containing four or more dwellings...) to match the MDRS standard that applies for 1-3 dwellings.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.40	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the daylight standard H5.3.13 as it is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.41	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.42	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H5.6.14.(4) Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard. Reject the addition of communal outdoor living space requirements. Responsibility for wider open space responsibility of Council, not developers. Specific open space development contribution already applicable for each dwelling.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.43	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.44	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H5.6.18 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways as any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.45	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.19 Deep soil area and canopy tree as not a method retained in MDRS. (See full submission for further details).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.46	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.47	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.20 Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS344	EnviroNZ Limited	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.48	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.49	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS standard and better placed as a broader assessment criteria rather than a standard. Amend assessment criteria as required.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.50	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H5.6.21 Residential waste management as the new information requirement for a waste management plan for 10+ dwellings is an additional unwarranted cost and would not be monitored following granting of consent.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Standards MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.51	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.7.1(1) and H5.7.2.(1) as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already suitably dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.52	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1(1)(c)-restricted discretionary activities as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.53	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities relating to deep soil within B, the introduction of interfaces with identified Special Character Areas or Scheduled Historic Heritage Places. As well as references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.54	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the new proposed assessment criteria in H5.8.1. (3) (a) (b) (c) and (d) - Matters of discretion as the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.55	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria H5.8.1. Matters of discretion (6) and (7) as these effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwelling and additions and alterations. Furthermore, H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of ‘intensity’ though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.56	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain H5.8.2 Assessment criteria (1). Approve removal of 'intensity' though remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.57	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 Deep soil and communal open space in (ab)(vii) and (fe). As well as references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd) Also delete (ad) in relation to the interface with special character or scheduled historic places..	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.58	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2. deep soil and communal open space in 3(g), references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k) so the cross reference under 3(jb) is unnecessary.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.59	Mike Greer Developments	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.59	Mike Greer Developments	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.59	Mike Greer Developments	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.59	Mike Greer Developments	Amend new proposed assessment criteria within H5.8.2 (4) in relation to visual dominance to recognise that consideration of the effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.60	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria within H 5.8.2(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.61	Mike Greer Developments	Delete additional assessment criteria H5.8.2.(12) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.62	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.8.2. in its entirety as it is not mentioned in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.63	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15) in relation to outdoor living space references to 'communal open spaces' and 'attractive'. Refer to full submission for details.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.64	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H5.8.2 assessment criteria (15A) references to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways' as goes beyond the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.65	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (18) relating to deep soil area and tree canopy as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.66	Mike Greer Developments	Delete entire assessment criteria H5.8.2 (19) relating to residential waste management as is not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.67	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within assessment criteria H5.8.2 section (20) and move necessary criteria to H5.8.2. (1), (2) and (3) as matters related to waste should be included in the assessment criteria for 4+ dwellings and integrated residential development.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Breyer	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.68	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(21) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.69	Mike Greer Developments	Delete assessment criteria H5.8.2(22) as effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and the assessment criteria under H5.8.2.(1), (2) and (3).	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.70	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9(1) Landscaped Plan as required by H5.6.11 Landscape area as a special information requirement. Chapter C1.2.(1) already addresses this.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.71	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H5.9.(2) Deep Soil Area and Canopy Tree special information requirements as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.72	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify within H5.9.(3) relating to residential waste management that this only relates to communal collection.	Mixed Housing Urban Zone provisions	H5 Assessment MHU Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.73	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the H6.1 THAB Zone description to include 'or where appropriate, additional height, having regard to the context of the site and the ability to provide additional housing choice in an area that is well served by a range of amenities, including public transport'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.74	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(A1)(ii) and introduce a new (iii). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.75	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(1A) to delete reference to high quality building as is open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.76	Mike Greer Developments	Amend objective H6.2(2) to recognise in some cases there may still be sites outside the walkable catchments suitable for intensification and building height. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.77	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(2) as the inclusion of 'high' and 'amenity' does not reconcile with the outcomes of the MDRS and open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.78	Mike Greer Developments	Retain operative wording in objective H6.2(4) as providing for non-residential activities will provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being of the community and support the MDRS outcomes.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.79	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording or reword objective H6.2(5) to delete reference to 'high quality building' as open to subjectivity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.80	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(8) as the policy addresses aspects of a site located outside the zone boundary. Chapter 27 Transportation addresses pedestrian safety for developments that adjoin the road.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.81	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed wording of objective H6.2(9) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas are already suitably dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.82	Mike Greer Developments	Retain proposed policy H6.3(A1), (B1), (C1) and (E1) as generally gives effect to MDRS and NPS UD.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.83	Mike Greer Developments	Add additional wording to policy H6.3.(1) to recognise that some sites located outside walkable catchments may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.84	Mike Greer Developments	Reword policy H6.3.(2) as 'high-quality built environment' is open to subjectivity. Insert additional wording to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.85	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(A4) as the term 'high-quality environment' is subjective.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.86	Mike Greer Developments	Amend policy H6.3(4) to recognise that some sites located outside the walkable catchment may still be suitable for additional intensity. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.87	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the wording of H6.3(9) as providing for small scale non-residential activities will support the social and economic wellbeing of the community.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.88	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(12) in its entirety as it infers the quality of drinking water is able to be influenced by the applicant. It is the responsibility of Watercare. Any wording should be similar to that already identified in the existing Assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.89	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(13) in its entirety as infrastructure already addressed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.90	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(14) in its entirety as requirement for the need for wider upgrades of adjacent road network needs to be linked to an effect. The level of service within the existing road reserve is the responsibility of Auckland Transport. The development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.91	Mike Greer Developments	Delete policy H6.3.(15) in its entirety as is effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas already dealt with through Chapter D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Obs & Pols THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.92	Mike Greer Developments	Amend within activity table H6.4 (A1) to be a discretionary activity as activities not provided for should be Discretionary as per Chapter C.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.93	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to provide for a wider range of commercial activities (at a small scale) in the walkable catchment of the THAB Zone as permitted activities. Insert new standards to give effect to all commercial activities at ground level and/or street level up to 100m2 Gross Floor Area per premises as a Permitted activity in Table H6.4.1 with consequential amendments.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.94	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activity H6.4 (A2A) as effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS277	Steven and Shirley Wang	Support
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.95	Mike Greer Developments	Delete activities (A2B) and (A32B) As effects of development on Significant Ecological Areas dealt with through Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Activity Table THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.96	Mike Greer Developments	Delete those standards not identified within the MDRS, being: Standard H6.6.4A.(1) Number of dwellings per site; Standard H6.6.4B Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control as identified on the planning maps; Standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Stormwater Disposal Constraints Controls; Standard H6.6.5(1)(a) Building height; Standard H6.6.6(1) Height in relation to boundary; Standard H6.6.9 Yards; Standard H6.6.10(3) Maximum impervious area; Standard H6.6.11(2) Building coverage; Standard H6.6.12(A1), (A2) and (4) Landscaped area; Standard H6.6. 13(A1) Outlook space; Standard H6.6.15(A1) – (B1) Outdoor living space; Standard H6.6.19(1) Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways; Standard H6.6.20 Deep soil area and canopy tree; Standard H6.6.21 Safety and privacy buffer to private pedestrian and vehicle accessways; and Standard H6.6.20 Residential waste management.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS281	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	support in part
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.97	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standards not identified within the MDRS in relation to H6.5. Notification. Amend notification standard to make it clear restricted discretionary matters such as earthworks, contamination, flood plains, and technical parking infringements do not remove notification exclusions. In terms of notification, those effects outside the zone standards that form part of a bundled application will be considered in accordance with their particular restricted discretionary status and the associated matters for discretion for those consent matters.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.98	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4B. Dwellings within the Infrastructure – Combined Wastewater Network Control.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.99	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.4C Dwellings within the Infrastructure - Stormwater Disposal Constraints Control. Effects already managed by Chapter E8.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.100	Mike Greer Developments	Retain within H6.6.6. Height in relation to boundary the existing minor protrusion exclusions. Height in relation to boundary is excluded from applying where the site adjoins open space greater than 2,000m2 in accordance with the current standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.101	Mike Greer Developments	Approve new height in relation to boundary control H6.6.6, but amend standard of 19 metres and 60 degrees for the first 25 metres of the site. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.102	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H6.6.11. - Building coverage to delete (2)(a)(b)(c) and amend (1) to include 'outside the walkable catchment and 60% inside the walkable catchment'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.103	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed text changes in H6.6.12. Landscaped area to match the MDRS standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.104	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the relevant outlook standards in H6.6.13.so proposed residential dwellings main outlook area, for level 4 and above, is a 6m depth x 4m width and the depth (at whichever level it is applied) measured from the largest portion of glazing as opposed to from the edge of any balcony. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.105	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.14. Daylight.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.106	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed text changes in H6.6.15. Outdoor living space to match the MDRS standard and delete the communal outdoor living space requirements	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.107	Mike Greer Developments	Delete standard H6.6.19 Windows to street and private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and rely on the MDRS wording. Any additional text beyond MDRS wording should be captured via assessment criteria not as a standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.108	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.20 - Deep soil area and canopy tree. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.109	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.2 1- Safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways as not a method retained in the MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bren	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.110	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new standard H6.6.22 - Residential waste management as not a method retained in the MDRS and better placed as assessment criteria rather than standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Standards THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.111	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6 - assessment matters relating to Significant Ecological Areas and the pedestrian network. Also delete reference to deep soil and introduction of interfaces with special character area or scheduled historic heritage place.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.112	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria H6.7.1. and H6.7.2. for controlled activities as effects of development in proximity to a Significant Ecological Area are already dealt with via Chapters D9 and E15.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.113	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.1.(c) as does not correspond to an effect from the activities identified in H5(1) on the wider adjacent network.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.114	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the proposed new assessment criteria within H6.8.(2) in relation to: deep soil within (ia)B. Delete the introduction of interfaces for special character areas or a scheduled historic heritage place under (ia)E. Furthermore, delete references to wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (2)(d). There are already matters for pedestrian access under (2)(iii) and the development contributions already include a component for local transport upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.115	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.(3)(C) to: 'the effect of the proposed 'additional' height 'above the zone standards' on the surrounding and neighbouring development'.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.116	Mike Greer Developments	Amend proposed new matters of discretion H6.8.1.(6) and (7) as effects already managed by Chapter E8 for 1 dwellings and additions and alterations to. H5.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities for four or more dwellings per site deals with infrastructure capacity.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.117	Mike Greer Developments	Amend and retain text in assessment criteria H6.8.2.(1) (a - i) as identified in reasons in submission. Approve removal of 'intensity' though ensure remove from (1)(b)(i) for consistency. Remove requirement for off-site roading or pedestrian upgrades.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.118	Mike Greer Developments	Delete portions of H6.8.2.(2) Assessment criteria. In particular, in (aa) reference to 'is of a high quality and'. Delete (ac) as use of quality, durable and easily maintainable materials is a matter for the Building Act. Delete (ab)(vii) relating to deep soil and communal open space. Delete (ad) relating to the interface with special character areas/scheduled historic heritage places, and delete references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (d) and (gd). Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.119	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(3) assessment criteria references to: deep soil and communal open space in 3(g); references to the need for wider upgrades of the adjacent road network under (l). Furthermore, infrastructure provision is already included within existing assessment criteria (k), delete the cross reference under 3(jb) as is unnecessary.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.120	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(f)(f) (iii) to refer to 'additional' and 'above the zone standards' as consideration of effect of the proposed height on the surrounding and neighbouring development should only be required in terms of additional height over and above the zone standard. Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2.(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.121	Mike Greer Developments	Amend the proposed new assessment criteria H6.8.2..(6) to refer to additional height in relation to boundary infringements over the standard.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.122	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the additional assessment criteria in H6.8.2.(12)(e) as there are no general tree protection controls.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.123	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2 (15)(f) assessment criteria reference to 'communal open space' and 'and 'attractive' Refer to full submission for details.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A)assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.124	Mike Greer Developments	Delete within H6.8.2.(15A) assessment criteria reference to 'private vehicle and pedestrian accessways and references to accessways under (i).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brierley	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.125	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(18)(a) and (b) relating to deep soil area and canopy tree. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.126	Mike Greer Developments	Delete all assessment criteria within H6.8.2.(19)(a) and (b) relating to safety and privacy buffer from private pedestrian and vehicle accessways. Not a method retained in MDRS and would be better placed as assessment criteria rather than as standards.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.127	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H6.8.2 (20) relating to residential waste management and move necessary criteria to H6.8.2. (1), (2) and (3).	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.128	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H6.8.2.(21) as these effects are already managed by Chapter E8 and assessment criteria under H5.8.2(1),(2) and (3),.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.129	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text in H5.9. Special information requirements - Landscaped Plan as required by H6.6.12 Landscape area as Chapter C1.2.(1)	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.130	Mike Greer Developments	Delete proposed text for Deep Soil and Canopy Tree (2) and Table H5.9(3) Minimum tree canopy dimension as not a method retained in the MDRS.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.131	Mike Greer Developments	Clarify that Residential waste management requirements in (3), and (4) a),b) and c) are only related to communal collection.	Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone provisions	H6 Assessment THAB Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.132	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.10. - basic floor area ratio.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.133	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.12. - basic floor area ratio - light and outlook.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.134	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.13. - use or transfer of historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.135	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.14. - securing historic heritage and special character floor space bonus.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.136	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.15. - bonus floor space calculation for scheduled heritage buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.137	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.16. - bonus floor space calculation for identified special character buildings.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.138	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.17. - bonus floor area - public open space.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.139	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.18. - bonus floor area - through site link.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.140	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.19. - bonus floor area - through site links through identified blocks.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.141	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.20. - bonus floor area - works of art.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brennan	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Ste	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.142	Mike Greer Developments	Approve deletion of City Centre Zone standard H8.6.21. - maximum total floor area ratio	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - intensity/floor area ratio/bonus provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.143	Mike Greer Developments	Delete City Centre H8.6.24. Maximum tower dimension, setback from the street and tower separation in special height area (shown on Map H8.11.3), Chapter H8.6.24A Maximum east-west tower dimension, Chapter H8.6.25. Building frontage alignment and height and Chapter H8.6.25A Building setback from boundaries. Revert tower standard to the operative AUP. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - tower dimension and setback provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.144	Mike Greer Developments	Delete H8. City Centre H8.6.34. Through Site Links and replace with assessment criteria for new buildings. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.145	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H9.6.1. Building height to provide for unlimited height within the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - height provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.146	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.1.).	Business Zones provisions	City Centre Zone - all other provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.147	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 32.5 metres within all Town Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.148	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H10.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Town Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.149	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Local Centre Zones.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.150	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H11.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.151	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Business Zones provisions	Local Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS125	692D Limited	Support
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS460	Fletcher Residential Limited	Support
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.152	Mike Greer Developments	Increase the walkable catchment of the Local Centres Zone to 400 metres and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment to provide for at least six storeys.	Centres - NPS-UD Policy 3d response	Town/Local/Neighbourhood - Methodology (distance of adjacent)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.153	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H12.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Neighbourhood Centre Zone - provisions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.154	Mike Greer Developments	Amend H10.6.1. Building height to provide for a height of 24 metres within all Mixed Use Zones. Refer to full submission for details.	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS286	William Peake	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.155	Mike Greer Developments	Retain the 19m, plus 60-degree height in relation to boundary control (H13.6.2.).	Business Zones provisions	Mixed Use Zone	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.156	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the canopy tree definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.157	Mike Greer Developments	Delete the deep soil area definition.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.158	Mike Greer Developments	Amend to clarify the Landscaped area definition as its intention is unclear and what landscape definition will apply to any development of up to three dwellings. In the alternative the existing definition is retained.	Plan making and procedural	Definitions	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS198	Kenny Desmond Bre	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLear	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS241	Peter Watts and Stephen	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.159	Mike Greer Developments	Amend so that six storeys is ascribed a 24 metre height allowance (as a minimum) as part of any walkable catchment provisions. In the alternative, this could be applied to the Mixed Use Zone within a walkable catchment, or the plan could also note six storey in height in addition to 24 metres to limit applications that try to squeeze in a 7th floor.	Height	Business Height - Policy Principles (NPS UD Policy 3b and 3c - at least 6 storeys)	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS103	Eden We Love Society	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS106	Denise Lyn Civil	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.160	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Auckland Light Rail Corridor (Study Area) into the plan change.	Outside of Plan Change Area	Light Rail Corridor - Excluded from IPI PC	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS62	Deborah Cox	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS63	James Thompson Hudson	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS64	Margo Jacqueline Hudson	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS65	Matthew Philip Dickinson	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS72	Sarah Hamilton Kember	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS73	Simon Jeremy Kember	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS77	Keith Maddison	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS79	Brendan Drury	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS80	Elizabeth Westbrooke	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS81	Mark Grenville Gascoigne	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS83	Heidi Baker	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS84	Julien Leys	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS85	Raynor McMahon	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS86	Liz Adams	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS87	Anthony Duncan	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS88	Michael Gordon Croft	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS95	Dominique Bonn	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS96	Irene Bonn	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS97	Amoze Bonn	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS98	Tony Skelton	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS99	Jock Schoeller	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS100	Michele Clare Maddison	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS109	Sean Molloy	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS110	Stephen Victor Donoghue-Cox	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS113	Sarah Allen	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS114	Barbara Joan Chapman	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS135	Cameron Loader	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS139	Oscar Fransman	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS143	Patrick Richard Forrester	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS156	Pieter Lionel Holl	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS177	John Colebrook	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS186	Sheila McCabe	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS195	Felicity Jane Cains	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS196	Katie Isabel Holl	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS198	Kenny Desmond Brei	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS199	Dawn Irene MacLean	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS200	Darryl Roots	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS201	Robert Butler	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS202	Donald Gendall	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS203	Jillian Gendall	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS204	Satvinder Sembhi	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS207	Pamela Ingram	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS208	Carolyn Walker	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS209	Tanya Newman	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS225	Gerard Robert Murphy	Oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS241	Peter Watts and Step	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS242	Sarah Louise Edmond	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS271	Thomas Purkis	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS272	Trevor Purkis	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS286	William Peake	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS287	Ivan Tottle	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS305	Garry Downs	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS306	Fi Groves	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS308	Mount St John Resid	oppose in
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS309	Carolyn Reid	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS353	Christopher Lynch	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS355	Wendy Ann Moffett	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS356	Tina Louise Lynch	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS363	Lynne Diane Butler	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS388	Pam Shearer	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS395	Dawn Bertasius	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS396	Roma Bertasius	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS402	Graham Dick	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS409	Janet Grant	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS425	Holly Purkis	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS429	Freemans Bay Residents Association	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS437	St Mary's Bay Association	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS438	Chris Cherry	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS439	Helen Cherry	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS440	Darryl Gregory	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS456	Tom Birdsall	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.161	Mike Greer Developments	Incorporate the Special Housing Areas into the plan change.	Outside Urban Environment	SHA Precincts	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS13	Keith Law	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS16	Robert Hay	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS17	Greg Jones	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS20	Dennis Michael Simpson	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS21	Sarah Anne Kerr	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS23	Malcolm MacDonald	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS24	Christopher DH. Ross	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS26	Anita Jackson	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS27	Hugo Jackson	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS41	Simon Birkenhead	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS42	Bruce Lloyd Gilbert	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS44	Michael Gordon Hillyer	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS45	Gaynor Steel	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS46	Mark Hardie	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS47	Sara Hardie	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS48	Richard Rolfe	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS49	William Akel and Robyn Hughes	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS50	Martin Dobson	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS51	Frederick Ball and Josephine Ball	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS55	Gregory Edward Jones	Oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS57	Alison Hunter	Oppose

sub#/point	Submitter name	Summary	Topic	Subtopic	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	support/oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS492	Paul Willetts and Laurence Nash	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS503	Erica Hellier	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS504	Brett Hellier	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS506	Charlotte Adams-Drury	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS526	Lydia Hewitt	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS529	Wayne E R Russell	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS530	Allan Tyler	oppose
2040.162	Mike Greer Developments	Review definition of 'rapid transit service' to include frequent bus services on the identified key arterial corridors, and up zone those sites within the walkable catchment of the rapid transit service to provide for at least six storeys.	Walkable Catchments	WC RTN Methodology	FS532	John Francis Mather	oppose